

Judgement cum Complianced Report as on Dated 25-Sep-17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
1	<u>LPA 1014/15 in CWP No.25889/12</u> Coordination	Manju Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Biology	09-03-2017	<i>For the reasons afore-stated, the appeal is allowed; the order passed by learned Single Judge is set aside and the appellant's writ petition is accepted with a direction to the authorities to treat her eligible for the post of PGT Biology. In case the appellant, on the basis of her performance in the interview and overall selection criteria has made to the selection list, she shall be offered appointment within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The appellant in that eventuality shall be entitled to notional seniority from the date candidate lower in merit was offered appointment. However, she shall not be paid any arrears of pay. (10) Ordered accordingly</i>	22-05-2017	21-09-2017	Directorate	Communication made to to HSSC vide No.4/365-12 Co(1) dt.13.12.16
2	<u>CWP 10252/01 HR(ME)</u>	Yoginder Nath v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Higher Standard Pay Scale	16-05-2017	<i>Perused the affidavit of Joint Director, Office of the Director Secondary Education, Haryana along with its enclosures dated 15.03.2017, 29.03.2017 and 04.05.2017. Necessary steps have been taken to extend the benefit what has been sought in the present petition. Since there is an enormous delay in settling the petitioner's grievance relating to extending re-fixation of pay and monetary benefits. Therefore, on arrears amount petitioner is entitled for interest @ 6% per annum i.e. from the due date till actual payment is made. The same shall be paid within a period of 3 months from today. With the above observation, CWP stands disposed of.</i>	05-06-2017	15-08-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
3	<u>CWP 1040/14</u> PGT-I	Parveen Kumar v/s State & ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as State of Haryana Vs.Gurmail Kaur
4	<u>CWP 10476/17</u> Coordination	Wazir Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay Fixation	16-05-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair submission. Keeping in view the plight as portrayed, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice of the petitioners and pass a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Ordered accordingly. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	14-06-2017	13-09-2017	Directorate	
5	<u>CWP 10569/17</u> HR(ME)	Chandermukhi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	25-07-2017	<i>Without commenting upon the merits of the case and the eligibility of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the said issue. Respondent No.2 shall decide the claim raised in the legal notice dated 13.11.2016 (Annexure P-8) within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. Needless to say that, in case, the relief is to be denied, then a speaking order be passed and be conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	29-08-2017	28-11-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
6	<u>CWP 10588/17</u> PGT-I	Gurtej Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay	17-05-2017	<i>In sum and substance, the claim of the petitioners in this writ petition is to apply multiplier of 1.86 to the upgraded grade pay/pay-scale as per the formula for pay fixation given in Clause A to Rule 7 of the pay rules notified on 30.12.2008. In short, the petitioners' claim is that they are entitled to step-up their pay at par with their juniors with the applicability of the above-mentioned formula. The above-stated claim has been raised by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 25.01.2017 (P-10) also. In this view of the matter, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to the authorities to examine the claim of the petitioners as contained in the above-mentioned legal notice and decide the same by way of reasoned order within a period of six months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.</i>	08-06-2017	07-12-2017	Directorate	
7	<u>CWP 1067/14</u> PGT-I	Balbir Singh v/s State & ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lect.in Physical Education	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
8	<u>CWP 10852/99</u> PGT-II	Anant Ram Singla v/s State & Ors.	Reg.promotion as lect.	11-05-2017	<i>Perusal of Annexure R-1, it is evident that the petitioner has been denied the monetary benefits from 1988 to 2000 on the promotion post of Lecturer in Commerce. The concerned respondent is hereby directed to calculate difference of pay from 26.9.1988 to 27.6.2000 and disburse the same within a period of three months from today. With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.</i>	31-05-2017	10-08-2017	Directorate	
9	<u>CWP 1098/16</u> PGT-II	Yashpal Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the transfer orders	15-02-2016	<i>A perusal of the afore-referred preliminary fact finding inquiry by the DEO, Rohtak shows recommendation of disciplinary proceedings against the Principal and the TGTs mentioned therein. It is directed that the final decision with regard to the same be taken by the competent Authority expeditiously but not later than one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	04-05-2016	03-06-2016	Directorate	
10	<u>CWP 11213/16</u> HR(G-I)	Vandana Gupta v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the order of stoppage of two increment	28-07-2016	<i>The statutory appeal against the impugned order is pending before the appellate authority in the State Government since 9.12.2015 without any effective orders passed thereon. The petitioner has placed on record the copy of appeal by way of C.M. No.7207 of 2016, which is retained on record. In the circumstances, a direction is issued to the competent authority amongst the respondents to consider and decide the appeal by passing a reasoned order after hearing the petitioner. Let the exercise be done within 6 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. With the above directions, the petition stands disposed of.</i>	03-08-2016	14-09-2016	Directorate	Heard by W.ACSSE. Record awaited from Smt.Vandana Gupta DEO Jind

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
11	<u>CWP 11214/14</u> HR(G-I)	Dr.Deepak Kapur v/s State & Ors	Reg.Medical Reimbursement	12-07-2016	<i>In view of the above discussion, this petition is partly allowed; the order/letter dated 23.10.2013 (Annexure P-6) is set aside and a direction is given to the respondents to completely reimburse the medical bills pertaining to the treatment of late Mrs. Ved Prabha Kapur at PGIMER, Chandigarh as indoor and outdoor patient as per instructions/letter dated 28.05.2003(Annexure P-11), which provides for complete reimbursement of indoor and outdoor treatment for chronic disease.</i>	08-08-2016		Directorate	LPA filed by the petitioner and same is fixed for hearing on 06.12.17
12	<u>CWP 11233/17</u> PGT-II	Harish Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Grant him seniority alongwith monetary benefits as due with interest	22-05-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	19-06-2017	18-09-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
13	<u>CWP 11265/15</u> HR(PS)	Surinder Kumar Goel v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Excessive Fees Hike for different classes	14-09-2016	<p><i>For orders, see detailed order of even date passed in CWP No.19821 of 2014 'Parent's Welfare Association Vs. Central Board of Secondary Education and others'. CWP No.19821 OF 2014 ORDER</i></p> <p><i>Accordingly, the present writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner in CWP No.11265 of 2015 to file an appeal, in case so desired. The appeal be filed before the Appellate Authority For Subsequent orders see more within a period of one month from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. The said Appellate Authority will, thereafter, proceed to decide the same expeditiously. With the abovesaid observations, the present writ petitions are disposed of.</i></p>	22-09-2016	21-10-2016	Directorate	Heard by W.ACSSE on 22.11.16 and postponed for 30.11.16. Order awaited from W.ACSSE
14	<u>CWP 11285/17</u> PGT-II	Ramesh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT English	22-05-2017	<p><i>Petitioners have questioned the tentative seniority list of Lecturers (Mewat Cadre) as on 05.04.2017. The petitioners are stated to have submitted objections to the same. The concerned respondent has not considered the objections and suggestions and finalized the seniority list. Writ petition is premature. The concerned respondent is hereby directed to consider petitioners' objections/suggestions with reference to tentative seniority list and finalize the same and communicate to the petitioners at the earliest. With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.</i></p>	26-05-2017		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
15	<u>CWP 11576/12</u> T.Edu. Cell	Jyoti Ahlawat v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Allow to appear in the 4th semester of D.Ed course	04-11-2015	<i>Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed and direction is issued to respondent No.2 to issue the required enrolment number on the request of respondent No.3 dated 09.02.2011 (Annexure R-3/A) and declare the result of the petitioner. Needful be done within a period of one month from the receipt of the certified copy of this order</i>	07-12-2015	06-01-2016	Directorate	
16	<u>CWP 11592/13</u> PGT-I	Sukhdev Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Higher Pay with all consequential benefits i.e. arrears of pay, interest etc	11-07-2016	<i>Consequently, all the seven petitions are allowed. The monetary benefits flowing from this order are directed to be calculated and paid to the petitioner(s) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner(s) would be entitled to simple interest at the rate of 6% p.a. on the payment to be disbursed on account of the fact that the Department should have on its own accorded similar treatment to the petitioners in the wake of the decision rendered in Neelam Rani's case.</i>	03-08-2016	02-11-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
17	<u>CWP 11629/17</u> HR(ME)	Mohd. Irfan & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Appointment for the post of Clerk	18-07-2017	<p><i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent no.2 to immediately forward the application made by the petitioner to respondent no.3 within a period of three days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>Upon respondent no.1 receiving the forwarded application, it shall not be rejected only on the ground that it has been received beyond the cut off date, the petitioner having approached this Court within time.</i></p> <p><i>If there are any genuine reasons as to why the petitioners' application could not have been forwarded, no such reason having been shown even after notice was issued and the specific contention of learned counsel for the petitioner being that no formal order of rejection has been passed, such reasons would be given on an affidavit with a proper application to be moved in this case itself, by the respondent, the affidavit being of the Director, School Education Haryana, i.e. respondent no.2, but that too only after the petitioners' application has been duly forwarded in terms of this order.</i></p> <p><i>A copy of this order be given to the learned State Counsel under signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.</i></p>	28-07-2017	31-07-2017	Directorate	
18	<u>CWP 11692/02</u> HR(G-II)	Shiv Charan v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment as H.M	02-06-2017	<p><i>Accordingly, Annexures P-6 and P-9 are set aside. Concerned respondent is hereby directed to re-consider the petitioner's claim for selection and appointment to the post of Head Master notionally within a period of three months from today.</i></p> <p><i>Petition stands allowed accordingly.</i></p>	28-07-2017	01-09-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
19	<u>CWP 11820/15</u> PGT-II	Jagvir Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count Adhoc Service for purpose of Seniority	11-02-2016	<p><i>Learned State counsel, on instructions from Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, Panchkula, has informed that the claim of the petitioner for rectification in the seniority has been examined by the department and on the basis of service record of respondent Nos.5 to 9, it has been found that the claim of the petitioner is admissible since the seniority of all the five respondents as on 01.10.2011 is liable to be 1 of 2 rectified. The benefit of seniority has been given by counting the Adhoc service of the respondent(s), whereby respondent No.5 was initially appointed as Lecturer in Chemistry in the year 1995 but in the seniority as on 01.10.2011, his initial appointment has shown w.e.f. 1994 and the department has started the process of rectifying the seniority list.</i></p> <p><i>In view of above, the present writ petition, at this stage, is disposed of with the directions to the respondent(s) department to rectify the seniority as per the service record, in accordance with law.</i></p>	01-04-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
20	<u>CWP 11869/00</u> HR(AS)	Girwar Singh v/s State & Ors.	Quashing of termination order passed by Management	19-07-2013	<i>The petitioner is entitled to give his salary particulars from the date of his termination of service till the age of superannuation and send a communication through a registered post making a demand for the amount which is due and payable with a copy mark to the State functionary as well. On such receipt, the respondent-Management shall pay the amount after satisfying itself about the correctness of the minimum scale applicable. The amounts shall be released with interest at 9% from the respective date when they fell due till the date of payment. The State shall also ensure that the amount is duly released within the period as stipulated and secure compliance report from the school. The State shall also be at liberty to take appropriate action for the misappropriation of funds that is clearly evident from the facts disclosed in this writ petition. It shall also be ensured that if the amounts are not paid, appropriate action as provided under the Act for non-compliance of direction of statutory breach is taken against the management. 7. Both the writ petitions are ordered as above.</i>	23-08-2013		Directorate	Compliance to be made by school management. Written to DEO Y.Ngr and management on 19.12.14, 01.1.15, 28.01.15 and 16.07.15 for compliance. Review petition filed by the school management pending in High Court for 28.11.17
21	<u>CWP 11940/15</u> PGT-I	Shri Bhagwan v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	30-07-2015	<i>Be that as it may, once it is accepted that the facts of the present case are covered by Subhash Chand's case (supra), the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	07-09-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
22	<u>CWP 11976/16</u> HR(PS)	Geeta vVidya Mandir School, Shahbad, Markanda v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the letter dt.21.3.16 (Annexure P+8) wherein direction has been issued by respondent No.3, not to make any admission from the Academic Session 2016-17	28-06-2016	<p><i>Counsel appearing for the writ petitioner submits that the writ petition itself may be directed to be treated as a representation of the petitioner and a direction may be issued to the respondents to consider the representation and pass an appropriate orders within the time frame fixed by this Court.</i></p> <p><i>In view of the above limited prayer sought for by the counsel for the petitioner, the respondents are directed to treat the writ petition itself as representation of the petitioner-institution and dispose it within two months from the date of receipt of the order.</i></p> <p><i>The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i></p>	22-07-2016	21-09-2016	Directorate	First Land is be provided by M.C shahabad to the school. Report awaited and reminder also has been issued on 06.06.17 to Director Urban Local Bodies and DEO Kurukshetra
23	<u>CWP 12022/15</u> HR(G-I)	Deb Singh Deswal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Selection Grade	23-02-2017	<p><i>It is not disputed that facts of the present case is covered with the CWP No.14527-2015 titled as Maman Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 28.03.2016.</i></p> <p><i>Learned Addl.AB has fairly accepted this fact that the judgment has been implemented.</i></p> <p><i>The instant petition stands disposed of in the same terms.</i></p>	22-03-2017	21-04-2017	Directorate	Write to concerned DEO Rohtak for compliance on dt.10.05.17. Reminder also being issued shortly

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
24	<u>CWP 12151/11</u> HR(PS)	Saraswati Vidya Mandir Sr.Sec.School Siha v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Permanent Recognition	18-10-2012	<p><i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.10273 of 2010 (Modern Hind Senior Secondary School, village Khori Lalan, Tauru, District Mewat Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on October 18,2012.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER OF CWP NO.10273 OF 2010</i></p> <p><i>The students, who have been admitted without any fault on their part, cannot be made to suffer for this illegal action of the petitioner schools. Accordingly, their result which has been declared is ordered to be regularised but this is no reason to permit the petitioner schools to escape responsibility for this action which is required to be curbed so as to avoid its reoccurrence in future by any Institution. The case, thus, is made out to burden the petitioners-Institutions with costs of `6,000/- per students admitted by petitioner -institutions in those classes for which the petitioner-institutions did not have recognition or affiliation from the competent authority as is required by the statute. Half of the cost imposed shall be deposited in the accounts of Legal Services Authority, Haryana and the remaining half shall be deposited in the Medication and Conciliation Cell functioning in this Court. The writ petitions otherwise are disposed of with the above directions.The copy of the order be sent to the Director, School Education, Haryana, for ensuring compliance of the order.</i></p> <p><i>The copy be also forwarded to the Advocate General, Haryana to ensure that the orders are properly complied with and implemented to recover the costs/damages and these are deposited in the appropriate</i></p>	29-11-2012		Directorate	Matter is being re-examined with regard to be students admitted by the schools.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
					<i>head as directed above.</i>				
25	<u>CWP 12198/16</u> PGT-II	Usha Verma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Hindi	22-08-2016	<i>In the light of the facts and circumstance noticed herein above, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition in terms of granting the liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent No.2 as regards her claim and grievance. In the eventuality of any such representation being filed, respondent No.2 would be obligated to consider the same strictly in accordance with law and to dispose of the same by passing a well reasoned speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Disposed of.</i>	27-09-2016	26-01-2017	Directorate	
26	<u>CWP 12527/15</u> HR(G-II)	Ravi Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Disciplinary Proceeding against the petitioner for providing bogus experience certificate of Hindi Teacher	02-05-2016	<i>In view of the above discussion, the impugned show cause notice dated 27.05.2015/29.02.2015 (Annexure P-7) is set aside. However, a liberty is granted to the respondents to proceed further and pass fresh order after affording proper opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as per law. I(n case, any enquiry has to be conducted, the enquiry report should be made available before giving any fresh show cause notice to the petitioner. It is also made clear that in case, any adverse order is passed against the petitioner, he will be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy. Allowed in the aforesaid terms.</i>	10-08-2016		Directorate	Sh.Roshan Lal, Retd. HCS has been appointed for conduct inquiry. The inquiry report is put up to Higher Authority. A photocopy of the Enquiry Report sent to the applicant on 30.05.17 and asked the petitioner for submit his reply within 15 days.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
27	<u>CWP 12697/15</u> PGT-I	Kulwant Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	30-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur & others Versus State of Haryana & another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
28	<u>CWP 12728/16</u> HR(PS)	KM.Saraswati Seniro Secondary School, Tohana v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Schools are running in violation as they do not have recognition upto 10th and 12th but are still giving admissions for classes for which they are not recognized/authorized, therefore, they are operating without any lawful recognition, Schools are giving admissions without having any recognition and affiliation; the official respondents have no power to give temporary recognition/affiliation but still the schools are running on temporary affiliations.	22-06-2016	<i>In view of the limited prayer that is now made on behalf of the writ petitioner, we direct respondent Nos.1 to 4 to dispose of the representation purported to have been submitted on 26.04.2016 (Annexure P-1) on merits after giving an opportunity to the writ petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The writ petition stands disposed of.</i>	20-07-2016	19-09-2016	Directorate	Heard on 19.05.17 by W.DSE and final orders are to be passed by W.DSE

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
29	<u>CWP 12849/15</u> PGT-I	Parveen Bala v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Economics	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
30	<u>CWP 12853/15</u> PGT-I	Meena Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
31	<u>CWP 12906/15</u> PGT-I	Jagrati Lunial v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	30-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
32	<u>CWP 12915/17</u> PGT-I	Kharak Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay	02-06-2017	<i>In this view of the matter, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to the authorities to examine the claim of the petitioners as contained in the above-mentioned legal notice and decide the same by way of reasoned order within a period of six months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.</i>	16-06-2017	15-12-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
33	<u>CWP 12936/15</u> PGT-I	Banke Behari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGTHindi	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
34	<u>CWP 12937/15</u> PGT-I	Anita Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
35	<u>CWP 12947/15</u> PGT-I	Vijay Kumari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
36	<u>CWP 12973/05</u> Coordination	Jasbir Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	02-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as order Annexure P-6. The petitioners are entitled to 38 months arrears as well prior to filing of the present petition. The respondents are directed to release the amount/s due to the petitioners within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioners would be entitled to interest @ 12% per annum from the date/s the amount/s fell due. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	25-04-2017	24-07-2017	Directorate	File sent to F.D
37	<u>CWP 1306/15</u> PGT-II	Parshot Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Retired from service on invalid pension	07-03-2017	<i>In the circumstances, the petition is allowed. The impugned order is set aside and the respondents are directed to grant the benefits of Section 47 (1) and (2) of the Act to the petitioner. Let the necessary exercise be carried out within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner would be entitled to claim interest @ 12 % per annum on the amount/s from the date/s it fell due. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	28-03-2017	27-06-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
38	<u>CWP 13064/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Kartar Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Closing of Block Institutes of Teacher Education	03-07-2015	<i>The petitioner, who is a teacher and resident of District Sirsa, has filed this petition challenging the decision of the State Government to close down Block Institutes for Teacher Education (BITE) at Ambala and Sirsa, with effect from 30.06.2015. In this regard, the petitioner has also submitted a detailed representation dated 19.06.2015 to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Haryana, copy of which has been annexed with the petition as Annexure P-22. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, without issuing notice of motion as it will un-necessary delay the matter, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, to consider and decide the said representation in accordance with law, expeditiously, keeping in view the needs of the area. Ordered accordingly.</i>	20-07-2015		Directorate	
39	<u>CWP 13339/15</u> PGT-I	Hardhyan Singh & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	07-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
40	<u>CWP 13521/15</u> PGT-I	Manju Lata v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	09-07-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur & others Versus State of Haryana & another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	07-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
41	<u>CWP 13544/10</u> PGT-II	Ravinder Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release of Salary with 18% interest alongwith all consequential benefits	30-07-2015	<i>It is apparent from the facts as mentioned above that there was no fault on the part of the petitioner and the petitioner has been harassed by sending him at different places where post was not vacant. The absence from duty cannot be attributed to the petitioner in any manner and as such, the present writ petition is allowed and the petitioner is held entitled to the salary for the period, he has been treated as absent from his duties and the respondents are directed to release the salary and to regularize the petitioner for that period.</i>	17-09-2015		Directorate	File submitted to F.D

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
42	<u>CWP 1368/12</u> HR(ME)	Virender Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay Scale w.e.f 27.04.08 instead of 21.04.11	20-04-2017	<p><i>Short question for consideration in the present petition is whether the petitioner could be promoted to the post of Superintendent w.e.f. 26.04.2008 or not? The method of recruitment to the post of Superintendent grade is from Deputy Superintendent grade with 3 years of experience. The petitioner is stated to have been promoted to the post of Deputy Superintendent on 27.04.2005. He would be completing 3 years of service as Deputy Superintendent on 26.04.2008. However, it is to be noted that on 29.09.2006 itself Superintendent post was available. Consequently, the petitioner was promoted to the post of Superintendent on his own pay scale (Deputy Superintendent). For want of experience qualification of 3 years in the Deputy Superintendent between 29.09.2006 to 21.04.2011, the petitioner has not been displaced from the post of Superintendent. In other words, the petitioner continued to hold the post of Superintendent between 29.09.2006 to 21.04.2011. Therefore, the date of promotion to the post of Superintendent is required to be rectified from 21.04.2011 to 26.04.2008, the date on which the petitioner has completed 3 years of service in the cadre of Deputy Superintendent for the purpose of promotion to the post of Superintendent. Accordingly, the competent authority is hereby directed to rectify the date of promotion to the post of Superintendent from 21.04.2011 to 26.04.2008 and further extend the consequential benefits including the monetary benefits from 26.04.2008 in the cadre of Superintendent.</i></p> <p><i>6. Above exercise shall be completed by the concerned respondent within a period of 3</i></p>	08-06-2017	19-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
					<i>months from today. 7. CWP stands allowed.</i>				
43	<u>CWP 13774/15</u> PGT-I	Anindita v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	21-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of order dated 27.05.2015 passed in CWP No.7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others vs. State of Haryana and another.</i>	26-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
44	<u>CWP 13876/15</u> PGT-I	Parveen Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	21-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of order dated 27.05.2015 passed in CWP No.7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others vs. State of Haryana and another.</i>	28-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
45	<u>CWP 14266/11</u> PEN-II	Mange Ram Saini v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Retiral Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. 14.05.2015</i>	19-06-2015	18-10-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.10564/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
46	<u>CWP 14315/17</u> HR(ME)	Satpal Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the benefit of seniority and notional pay fixatio	21-07-2017	<i>Without commenting on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner for the above said relief and keeping in view the fact that similarly situated persons are stated to have been given the said benefit of the deemed dated from 12.04.1990, the present writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no. 2 to take a decision on the said representation dated 10.09.2015 (Annexure P-6) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case the petitioner is entitled for the said benefit, the order will be given effect expeditiously. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	30-08-2017	29-11-2017	Directorate	
47	<u>CWP 14334/17</u> PGT-II	Rajesh Kumar Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.challenged the impugned order/seniority list (Annexures P3 & P4), alleging in the petition that his juniors (who joined after him) have been placed senior to him.	06-07-2017	<i>As to whether the persons who joined later were actually selected in an earlier selection but could not join due to any litigation etc. is not clear. Hence, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no.1 to 3 (whosoever is the competent authority), to take a decision on the representations made by the petitioner (Annexures P6 & P9), by passing a speaking order dealing with all the contentions of the petitioner, raised therein. The said order will be passed within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	20-07-2017	19-11-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
48	<u>CWP 14476/15</u> HR(G-I)	Karan Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Revised Pay Scales	23-02-2017	<i>It is not disputed that facts of the present case is covered with the CWP No. 14527-2015 titled as Maman Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 28.03.2016. Learned Addl. AG has fairly accepted this fact that the judgment has been implemented. The instant petition stands disposed of in the same terms.</i>	22-03-2017	21-04-2017	Directorate	Write to concerned DEO Bhiwani & Hisar for compliance on dt.08.05.17. Reminder also being issued shortly
49	<u>CWP 14605/15</u> HR(ME)	Inder Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Services	09-03-2017	<i>In the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take a final decision on the claim of the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	05-04-2017	04-07-2017	Directorate	13/157-15 ME(3)

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
50	<u>CWP 14622/15</u> HR(ME)	Sita Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	18-01-2017	<i>Admittedly, the petitioner was initially appointed as a part time employee and her services were not regularized on par with similarly situated persons. Thus, the petitioner filed a petition before this court. This court directed the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner pursuant to the orders of this court dated 04.05.2012 passed in CWP No.8260 of 2012. The respondents have regularized the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 08.05.2003. Denial of arrears from 08.05.2003 till the date of order of regularization is highly arbitrary for the reasons that an employee would get all service benefits from the date of regularization of her service. Contention of the respondents that there were no sanctioned post as on 08.05.2003. If it is so question of regularization would not have arisen as on 08.05.2003. Therefore, denial of arrears from 08.05.2003 till 11.02.2013 is highly arbitrary. Thus, the portion of denial of arrears to the petitioner in Annexure P5 dated 05.03.2014 is set aside. The concerned respondent is directed to calculate arrears of pay from 08.05.2003 to 11.02.2013 and disburse the same to the petitioner within a period of 6 months from today. CWP is allowed.</i>	27-02-2017	17-07-2017	Directorate	As per opinion sought from L.R & A.G, it is not fit case for filing appeal
51	<u>CWP 14953/15</u> PGT-I	Jaspal Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for teh post of PGT Hindi	24-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	30-09-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs.State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
52	<u>CWP 14992/13</u> HR(G-II)	Smt.Kamla Malik & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster High School	27-04-2017	<i>Accordingly, these 5 petitions are disposed of. The concerned respondent is hereby directed to calculate and disburse the arrears of pay to the petitioner within a period of 3 months from today pursuant to the order dated 26.4.2017.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	File put up to Higher Authority for directing the concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrears of pay within a week.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
53	<u>CWP 15072/13</u> HR(G-I)	Shanta Kumari Narang v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Principal	02-06-2017	<p><i>Petitioner's grievance is relating to promotion to the post of Principal in HES-II Cadre. Petitioner's name was not considered for promotion on 20.7.2007 for want of service records. In this regard, respondent-Department have stated in their reply statement that they have asked for annual confidential report of the petitioner from the Chandigarh Administration since petitioner was on deputation as on 25.7.2007.</i></p> <p><i>Whereas, the Chandigarh Administration have disputed the communication dated 25.7.2007 stating that no such letter has been received by the office of Chandigarh Administration or concerned Principal, Government Senior Secondary School, Sector 35-D, Chandigarh. Petitioner is stated to have attained age of superannuation and retired from service. In view of the above facts and c i r c u m s t a n c e s S t a t e Government-Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Haryana Education Department is hereby directed to set right the petitioner's service record and consider petitioner's name for promotion to the post of Principal in HES-II Cadre for officiating capacity from 20.7.2007 and extend all service benefits including monetary benefits during the intervening period from 20.07.2007 till petitioner attains age of superannuation and retired from service. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of 3 months from today. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.</i></p>	03-08-2017	01-09-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
54	<u>CWP 15447/17</u> HR(ME)	Prithavi Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	18-07-2017	<i>In view of the above, without making any comment on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the official respondents to take a decision by passing a speaking order on merits, in respect of the aforesaid legal notice, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	01-08-2017	30-11-2017	Directorate	
55	<u>CWP 155/16</u> PGT-II	Haryana Vidhyalaya Adhyapak Sangh,Ratia v/s Sttate & Ors	Reg.For making promotions of Masters (TGT) to the post of Lecturer (PGT) who are eligible under the rules which were applicable at the relevant time when the post of promotional quota came in existece	12-01-2016	<i>Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 25.08.2015 (Annexure P-11), issued on behalf of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.</i>	09-02-2016	08-06-2016	Directorate	Matter under consideration for the claim of promotion as per Rule 1998. Personal hearing given to the petitioner by W.DSE for 17.06.16
56	<u>CWP 15508/14</u> HR(G-I)	Sumedha Taneja, DEEO (Retd.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.1% cut in pension for whole life has been imposed and further imposed the recovery of Rs.6.32,154/- from the retiral benefits	27-05-2016	<i>For reasons recorded in order of even dated passed in CWP No.15574 of 2014 titled as Sarita Chaudhary versus State of Haryana & another, the instant writ petition is allowed.</i>	10-08-2016	09-10-2016	Directorate	File received from W.ACSSE. Matter is being under process for compliance

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
57	<u>CWP 1556/14</u> PGT-I	Rajesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Physics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
58	<u>CWP 15574/14</u> HR(G-I)	Sarita Chaudhary DEEO (Retd.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned order dt. 05.08.11 vide which 1% cut in pension for whole life has been impugned and further imposed recovery of an amount of Rs.10,25,638/- from the retiral benefits of the petitioner	27-05-2016	<i>The only ground taken by the respondents in the written statement is that vide letter dated 14.02.2008 issued by Financial Commissioner & Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Industries, Department, Chandigarh, the Khadi Board was approved for handmade paper items manufactured by this unit. While filing the written statement carelessly letters Annexure R-2 and R-3 dated 30.04.2001 have been placed on record, which do not relate to the Khadi Board. Hence they do not have any relevance for deciding this writ petition. This petition is allowed in terms of judgment dated 02.08.2013 passed by this Court in CWP No.6315 of 2012 titled Suman Nain Versus State of Haryana.</i>	10-08-2016	09-10-2016	Directorate	File sent to Higher Authority for compliance
59	<u>CWP 15588/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Sri Hari Krishan Education Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Permission for D.Ed Courses	13-07-2015	<i>In view of the aforesaid submissions and prayer made by the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the prayer of the petitioner, which is still pending with it, having been sent by respondent No.3, within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law. Disposed of.</i>	13-08-2015	20-08-2015	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
60	<u>CWP 15605/15</u> PGT-I	Seema v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	31-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of judgment dated 04.06.2015 rendered in CWP No.5781 of 2015 titled as Subhash Chand vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	18-08-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
61	<u>LPA 1561/16 in CWP No.16274/16</u> PGT-II	Sunil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer of Guest Faculty	26-08-2016	<i>Though the permission, if any granted by the Competent Authority for joining LLB degree is not on record, nevertheless, we are of the considered view that transfer being an incidence of service, learned Single Judge has rightly declined to interfere with the same. However, the appellant may approach the Competent Authority and make out a case based upon the mitigating circumstances, including the utility of LLB degree with reference to the nature of duties he is required to perform. We have no reason to doubt that the Competent Authority shall sympathetically consider the appellant's request. It shall be appreciated if the decision is taken within a period of one month from the date of making a request by the appellant. Ordered accordingly.</i>	19-09-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
62	<u>CWP 15614/15</u> HR(G-II)	Shiv Charan v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Ante dated promotion with all consequential benefits	28-03-2017	<p><i>Short question for consideration whether the petitioner is entitled for monetary benefits in the cadre of Headmaster during the period from 28.8.2009 to 16.4.2013 on the sole ground that the petitioner has not discharged the duties of the post of Head Master or not. It is undisputed that the petitioners' juniors were promoted to the post of Head Master on 28.8.2009 ignoring the petitioner's claim despite he being senior. The respondents compelled the petitioner to approach this Court and obtain an order. Pursuant to the order of this Court, the respondents have proceeded to promote the petitioner to the post of Head Master w.e.f. 28.8.2009. Thus, the respondents have prevented the petitioner in discharging the duties of the post of Headmaster. Had the respondents promoted the petitioner as and when juniors to the petitioners were promoted to the post of Head Master on 28.8.2009, the present situation would not have arisen. Therefore, Annexure P-8 dated 29.5.2014 is set aside. The petitioner is entitled for monetary benefits during the intervening period from 28.8.2009 to 16.4.2013. The same shall be calculated and disbursed to the petitioner by the competent authority within a period of 4 months from today. Petition stands allowed.</i></p>	15-05-2017	27-07-2017	Directorate	File is under process for implementing the Hon'ble Court orders.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
63	<u>CWP 1567/17</u> PGT-I	Om Parkash & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Refixation/ACP	30-01-2017	<i>In my opinion, it would be appropriate if the present writ petition is treated as representation and respondent No.2 decides the same by passing speaking order within two months from today. Ordered accordingly. However, it is made clear that till the passing of the speaking order, recovery shall not be made from the petitioners. Disposed of accordingly. Copy of this order be given dasti to the learned State counsel under the signatures of Reader/Bench Secretary.</i>	06-02-2017	29-03-2017	Directorate	
64	<u>CWP 1570/07</u> PEN-I	Narender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant of job under companssinate policy	17-02-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair request. The respondents are directed to make the payment of ` 2.5 lacs to the petitioner within a period of three months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order failing which the petitioner would be entitled to recover the same with interest @ 12% p.a from today. Petition stands disposed of. Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.</i>	07-03-2017	06-06-2017	Directorate	Case of 2.50 lacs calls from DEO JJR

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
65	<u>CWP 15725/17</u> PGT-I	Pinki & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step-up of their pay	20-07-2017	<p>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-6), dated 22.11.2016, in terms of the judgment of this Court in Neelam Rani (supra) within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</p> <p>If the petitioners' case is not covered by the aforesaid judgment or any other judgment on the subject, detailed reasons as to why it is not covered, shall be given in the speaking order to be passed.</p> <p>It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed now, annexing therewith detailed order already passed (if any).</p>	22-08-2017	21-12-2017	Directorate	
66	<u>CWP 1573/15</u> PGT-I	Surinder Kaur & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Punjabi & Mathematics	29-01-2015	<p>After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, however, without opining on the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take a final decision on the show cause notices issued to the petitioners, to which they have already filed replies, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.</p>	10-02-2015	09-04-2015	Directorate	13/24-15 HRL-I(4) File has been put up on 16.06.17 to Hon'ble E.M for providing appointment to the petitioner.
67	<u>CWP 15739/16</u> HR(ME)	Mandeep & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Services	10-11-2016	<p>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 26.05.2016 (Annexure P5) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.</p> <p>The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</p>	28-11-2016	27-03-2017	Directorate	Report awaited from concerned DEO

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
68	<u>CWP 15777/17</u> PGT-II	Sunita Yadav v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	20-07-2017	<i>Without making any comment on the actual merits of the petition, it is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2, i.e. the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector-5 Panchkula, to dispose of the representation stated to have been made by the petitioner on 31.05.2017, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-10 with the petition, followed by a legal notice (Annexure P-11), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	02-08-2017	01-11-2017	Directorate	
69	<u>CWP 15875/14</u> PGT-II	Seema Chaudhary v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	04-05-2016	<i>In view of the above, at this stage, the present petition is disposed of by giving directions to the respondents to make an attempt to adjust the petitioner at Government Senior Secondary School, Sector 19, Panchkula as per the instructions dated 4.5.2016 and further the petitioner shall be retained in Government Model Senior Secondary School, Sector 15, Panchkula till the adjustment is made.</i>	17-05-2016		Directorate	File put up to the Competent Authority for adjustment of the petitioner
70	<u>CWP 15901/14</u> HR(G-II)	Lajpat Rai, Lect.in Economics v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster High School	27-04-2017	<i>For orders, see judgment passed in CWP No.14992 of 2013 titled Smt. Kamla Malik and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another of even date.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	File put up to Higher Authority for directing the concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrears of pay within a week.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
71	<u>CWP 15967/05</u> Coordination	Dilawar Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	02-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as order Annexure P-5. The petitioners are entitled to 38 months arrears as well prior to filing of the present petition. The respondents are directed to release the amount/s due to the petitioners within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioners would be entitled to interest @ 12% per annum from the date/s the amount/s fell due. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	25-04-2017	24-07-2017	Directorate	File sent to F.D
72	<u>CWP 15997/00</u> PGT-II	Amrik Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Lecturer in Punjabi	27-01-2017	<i>Concerned respondents are directed to verify the service particulars of the petitioners as well as juniors to the petitioners and if the petitioners are otherwise eligible to the post of Lecturer in Punjabi, their name shall be considered for promotion to the post of Lecturer in Punjabi from the date of juniors' promotion i.e. 24.3.1998. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of four months from today. Further consequential benefits including monetary benefits i.e. difference of pay shall be extended to the petitioners. Disposed of in the above terms.</i>	14-03-2017	26-05-2017	Directorate	
73	<u>CWP 16132/14</u> HR(G-II)	Virender Singh, Lect.in.History v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster High Schools	27-04-2017	<i>For orders, see judgment passed in CWP No.14992 of 2013 titled Smt. Kamla Malik and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another of even date.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	File put up to Higher Authority for directing the concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrears of pay within a week.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
74	<u>CWP 16204/17</u> HR(ME)	Surjit Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	26-07-2017	<i>Without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioners for the above said relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of, with direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 25.03.2017 (Annexure P-5), within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioners. It is made clear that if any adverse action is to be taken against the said juniors, they would, accordingly, be issued notice before withdrawal of any benefits.</i>	06-09-2017	05-12-2017	Directorate	
75	<u>CWP 16275/17</u> HR(ME)	Puran Mal & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	26-07-2017	<i>Without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioners for the abovesaid relief and keeping in view the fact that the matter is still pending consideration, the present writ petition is disposed of, with direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 16.03.2017 (Annexure P-7), within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the benefit is to be declined, a reasoned order be passed and the same be conveyed to the petitioners. It is made clear that in view of the decision, if any adverse action is to be taken against the private-respondents No.4 & 5, they would, accordingly, be issued notice before withdrawal of any benefits</i>	29-08-2017	28-11-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
76	<u>CWP 16277/13</u> HR(AS)	Rani Devi Gupta v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Charge of Principal and DDO power	28-07-2017	<i>Counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the only grievance would be as to whether the petitioner would be entitled for the benefits of promotion for the prior period. He submits that he would file a detailed representation as such with the respondents, who could take into consideration the same and take a decision on the same within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of the said request. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in the above said terms</i>	08-09-2017	07-12-2017	Directorate	
77	<u>CWP 16414/17</u> HR(ME)	Suman Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.certiorari quashing the order of the respondents, vide which the monthly financial assistance granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 31.05.2011 under the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006 was stopped without passing any formal order and without conducting regular enquiry and without granting any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner	22-07-2017	<i>Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representation dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-2) and to decide the same in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	22-08-2017	21-11-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
78	<u>CWP 16495/15</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	18-08-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	24-08-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
79	<u>CWP 16569/17</u> HR(G-I)	Kulbhushan Sharma & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant benefit of Selection Grade w.e.f. 01.82000 instead of 01.01.96	28-07-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents herein to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	13-09-2017	12-12-2017	Directorate	
80	<u>CWP 16583/17</u> HR(G-I)	Sween Malik & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Selection Grade	28-07-2017	<i>Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents herein to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	18-08-2017	17-11-2017	Directorate	
81	<u>COCP 1699/15</u> <u>in LPA</u> <u>No.642/12</u> PGT-I	Sunila v/s Sh.T.C.Gupta, IAS & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lecturer Hindi	17-01-2017	<i>The petitioner is at liberty to move representation to the authorities concerned within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case, any such representation is moved, the respondents are directed to consider the same within a period of two months thereafter and take necessary action in accordance with law. The contempt petition stands disposed of.</i>	14-02-2017	13-04-2017	Directorate	Matter is under process it will be decided soon.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
82	<u>CWP 17113/15</u> PGT-II	Sanjay Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Refixation of Pay	19-08-2015	<i>After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and noticing the grievance as expressed in the petition, I am of the considered view that this petition needs to be disposed of at this stage with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner as contained in the legal notice dated 8.4.2015 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within a period of two monhs from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Ordered accordingly.</i>	16-10-2015	15-12-2015	Directorate	Claim rejected. File put up for signature.
83	<u>CWP 17151/15</u> HR(PS)	Asian Educational Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Permission for new open school	26-09-2016	<i>In such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the impugned orders dated 19.3.2015 (Annexure P/7) and 8.5.2015 (Annexure P/11) cannot be held to be sustainable and the same are quashed. The respondent-Board is directed to refund the amount of ` 3 lacs to the petitioner-school within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is, however, open to the respondent-Board to take a fresh decision on the said show cause notice after taking into consideration the reply which was filed by the petitioner-school. In case the same is to be done, the necessary exercise be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed.</i>	19-10-2016	18-01-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
84	<u>CWP 17329/13</u> PEN-II	Madan Lal Makkar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Revised Pensionary Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others decided on 08.10.2012 by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	17-06-2015	16-10-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.11063/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 05.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15
85	<u>CWP 17541/15</u> PGT-I	Nirmala Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Skt.	24-08-2015	<i>Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take decision on the representation dated 08.04.2015 (Annexures P-8) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, she would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.</i>	07-10-2015	06-01-2016	Directorate	
86	<u>CWP 1760/14</u> PGT-I	Ravinder Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur & others Versus State of Haryana & another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	21-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
87	<u>CWP 17606/16</u> PGT-II	Dr.Sunil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	29-08-2016	<i>The Director General School Education will look into this grievance within two or three days from the date of receipt of the order and take a fair and just decision on the representation on this special feature which constitutes the ground of challenge. Disposed of.</i>	14-09-2016	17-09-2016	Directorate	
88	<u>CWP 17611/17</u> HR(G-I)	Rajinder Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Benefit of Selection Grade	09-08-2017	<i>Consequently, without making any comment on the merits of the contentions raised in the petition, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-10), by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	08-09-2017	07-12-2017	Directorate	
89	<u>CWP 17719/14</u> HR(G-I)	Sandeep Kumar & Ors. v/s iState & Ors.	Reg.Consequential benefits of promotion including arrears of pay which were payable to the said Sh.Ved Pal Singh	02-07-2015	<i>Consequently, this writ petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to work out arrears and pay the same to the petitioner within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which the petitioner would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% p.a from the date/s the same fell due till the date of payment.</i>	24-08-2015	23-11-2015	Directorate	LPA No.214/16 has been filed and admitted. To be heard within one year. Stayed the impugned order
90	<u>CWP 17743/16</u> PGT-II	Pawan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	30-08-2016	<i>The Director General School Education will look into this grievance within two or three days from the date of receipt of the order and take a fair and just decision on the representation on this special feature which constitutes the ground of challenge. Disposed of.</i>	06-09-2016	09-09-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
91	<u>CWP 17945/10</u> PEN-I	Sushila Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Compansate Appointment	10-03-2017	<i>In the circumstances, it has to be held that case of the petitioner would have to be considered under 2006 Rules. In normal circumstances, I would have asked the litigants to exercise their option under 2006 Rules but since an amount of Rs.2.5 lacs has already been granted to the petitioner and her son, it would have to be considered that they had exercised option of lumpsum payment and therefore would be entitled to further payment of Rs.2.5 lacs in equal share and that amount be paid to the petitioner and her son within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner and her son would be entitled to claim interest thereon @ 12% p.a. from today. Petition stands disposed of. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	03-04-2017	02-06-2017	Directorate	BEO Jind has been submit the draft of LPA in AG office on 02.06.17
92	<u>CWP 17946/17</u> HR(ME)	Chhotu Ram & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	11-08-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case or legal right or entitlement as such of the petitioners, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to take into consideration the grievance raised in the said demand notice dated 30.3.2017 (Annexure P/6) and pass an appropriate order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case any adverse order is to be passed then a reasoned order be passed by the said respondent and copy thereof be supplied to the petitioners. The present writ petition is disposed off with the aforesaid directions.</i>	06-09-2017	05-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
93	<u>CWP 18183/15</u> PGT-II	Ravinder Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay Fixation	31-08-2015	<i>Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take decision on the legal notice dated 05.03.2015 (Annexures P-7) issued on behalf of the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.</i>	15-09-2015	14-01-2016	Directorate	13/166-15 HRL-II(3) File put up to Higher Authority for compliance. Matter under consideration for seniority
94	<u>CWP 18670/11</u> Coordination	Pawan Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Vocation Lecturer to treat as a part of the School Education Cadre	11-05-2016	<i>It has remained undisputed that the relief thought by the petitioners in the instant writ petition has already been sought by another applicants/petitioners before this Court in CWP No.10269/10 titled as Makhan Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and another, decided on 23.09.15. therefore, the parity of reasoning, this petition is disposed of in terms of the judgment dated 23.09.2015 rendered in CWP No.10269/10.</i>	03-08-2016		Directorate	File sent to F.D
95	<u>CWP 18819/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Sarita Jain College Education v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Permission for D.Ed Course	08-09-2015	<i>Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to decide the above issues within a period of 10 days from from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say that in case the relief is to be denied, the order should contain reasons.</i>	30-09-2015	10-10-2015	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
96	<u>CWP 18899/16</u> PGT-II	Anoop Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Physical Education	09-11-2016	<i>Without making any observations on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to disposed of the petition with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	21-11-2016	20-02-2017	Directorate	
97	<u>CWP 19036/17</u> HR(G-I)	Kuldeep Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Selection Grade	24-08-2017	<i>Hence, without making any comment on the merits of the contentions made in the petition, it is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-9), dated 25.05.2017, by passing a speaking order within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Though it is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners' case has never been rejected till date, if any order has however been passed by the respondents already rejecting the petitioners' case and the said rejection is by a detailed speaking order giving reasons for such rejection, then only a short order need be passed now annexing therewith the detailed order already passed (if any). The judgments of this Court on the issue as have been cited in the legal notice, would obviously be looked at by the respondents to see whether the claim of the petitioners is genuine or not in terms of such judgments.</i>	08-09-2017	07-01-2018	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
98	<u>CWP 19186/17</u> PGT-II	Ajit Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Upgraded grade pay or pay scale w.e.f the date of anomaly of revision of scales i.e. 01.01.06	25-08-2017	<i>After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners and noticing the grievance as expressed in the petition, we are of the considered view that this petition needs to be disposed of at this stage with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners as contained in the legal notice dated 25.04.2017 (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible. Ordered accordingly.</i>	13-09-2017		Directorate	
99	<u>CWP 19437/10</u> HR(AS)	Usha Sabharwal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Reinstate with all consequential benefits including arrears of salary with 12% interest from date due till the date of payment.	20-02-2017	<i>Learned State counsel has also raised an argument that under the subsequent 2008 Rules, the cadre of Craft Teacher (Tailoring & Cutting) had been declared as a dying cadre and no fresh appointment is being made in that cadre. In my opinion, the adjustment of the petitioner in terms of Sub Rule 3 of Rule 59 of the Higher Education Rules 2003 would not amount to reviving the cadre. Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as passed in that judgment (Annexure A-1).</i>	17-03-2017		Directorate	Draft of LPA has been prepared by the branch which will be filed shortly

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
100	<u>CWP 19458/16</u> PGT-II	Ram Giri v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	20-09-2016	<i>In the eventuality of any representation being preferred by the petitioner in such regard, respondent No.1 would be obligated to consider the same in accordance with law and against the backdrop of the relevant transfer policy formulated by the State Government and to take a final view thereupon within a period of 07 days from the date of submission of the representation. Status quo as regards place of posting of the petitioner as it exists today shall be maintained for a period of 10 days. A copy of this order be furnished to counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of the Bench Secretary. Disposed of.</i>	22-09-2016	29-09-2016	Directorate	
101	<u>CWP 19620/13</u> HR(G-II)	Nand Kishore & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Headmaster	27-04-2017	<i>For orders, see judgment passed in CWP No.14992 of 2013 titled Smt. Kamla Malik and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another of even date.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	File put up to Higher Authority for directing the concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrears of pay within a week.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
102	<u>CWP 19666/17</u> HR(ME)	Ami Chand v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Fixation of Pay	31-08-2017	<p>Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director Secondary Education Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula (Haryana) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his demand notice dated 06.02.2017 (Annexure P-11) and take a conscious decision, that too, after hearing the petitioner and by passing a speaking order, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief claimed, to release/disburse the same within a period of next 45 days.</p> <p>However, in case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by respondent No.2, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.</p>	13-09-2017	12-12-2017	Directorate	
103	<u>CWP 19736/16</u> Coordination	Brahma Nand & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned Note-III of Appendix-B (Annexure P-I) dated 11.04.12 and in consequence the substituted provision of corrigendum dt. 01.09.16 (Annexure P-8) being ultra vires to Article 14 of the constitution of India and being unjustified, arbitrary and having no nexus with the ultimate object to be achieved amounting to bad effect of birth marks throughout the life of a candidate	03-11-2016	<p>Learned counsel for the petitioners rightly points out that identical issue arose for consideration before this Court in CWP No.22554 of 2016 titled as Lakhbir Singh and others vs State of Haryana and another,decided on 27.10.2016.</p> <p>The instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</p>	28-11-2016	27-03-2017	Directorate	File is being put up

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
104	<u>CWP 19821/14</u> HR(PS)	Parent's Welfare Association v/s Central Board of Secondary Education & Ors.	Reg.Excessive Fees Hike for different classes	14-09-2016	<i>Accordingly, the present writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner in CWP No.11265 of 2015 to file an appeal, in case so desired. The appeal be filed before the Appellate Authority For Subsequent orders see more within a period of one month from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. The said Appellate Authority will, thereafter, proceed to decide the same expeditiously. With the abovesaid observations, the present writ petitions are disposed of.</i>	22-09-2016	21-10-2016	Directorate	Heard by W.ACSSE on 22.11.16 and postponed for 30.11.16. Order awaited from W.ACSSE
105	<u>CWP 19831/16</u> PGT-I	Sangram Singh v/s State & Ors	Reg.ACP	20-07-2017	<i>Keeping in view the above statement made, the present writ petition is disposed of, with direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner under the New Teachers Transfer policy, in the next session, immediately.</i>	08-09-2017		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
106	<u>CWP 19926/16</u> Coordination	Kuldeep & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of PGT	03-11-2016	<p><i>Learned counsel for the petitioners rightly points out that identical issue arose for consideration before this Court in CWP No.22554 of 2016 titled as Lakhbir Singh and others vs State of Haryana and another, decided on 27.10.2016.</i></p> <p><i>The instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</i></p> <p><i>CWP No.22554 of 2016 ORDER</i></p> <p><i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provisions or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and consider their grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1.</i></p> <p><i>Ordered accordingly.</i></p>	14-12-2016	13-03-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
107	<u>CWP 19928/11</u> HR(AS)	Mohammad Farooque v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all consequential benefits	03-03-2015	<p><i>Keeping in mind the entire factual matrix, in my opinion the appropriate punishment for the petitioner would be compulsory retirement.</i></p> <p><i>In the circumstances, it is directed that the petitioner would stand compulsorily retired w.e.f. 10.11.2006. The respondents are directed to compute and pay all his remaining benefits within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner will be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% p.a. from the date of entitlement viz. 10.11.2006 till the date/s of payment/s.</i></p> <p><i>Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.</i></p> <p><i>Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i></p>	13-04-2015	12-07-2015	Directorate	<p>SLP filed by the department and also by the petitioner's Mohamad Farooque SLP CC No.8132/16 Mohamad Farooque Vs. State of Haryana. Vakalatnama & Affidavit submitted in the Haryana Legal Cell on 06.06.16 by hand.SLP fixed for hearing on 25.07.17</p>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
108	<u>CWP 20085/03</u> PEN-II	Labh Singh Kadian v/s State	Reg.pension before the date of 11.5.98	07-11-2013	<p><i>The petition is allowed and it is held that the petitioners are entitled to pension Scheme of 2001 i.e.Haryana Aided Schools (Special Pension and Contributory Provident Fund) Rules, 2001 in view of their preexisting right for the benefits arising out of the said Rules on the basis of judgment of the Apex Court dated July 28, 1988 and this Court dated December 9,1999 which has resulted in the formulation of the Pension Rules,2001.The petitioners will be permitted to exercise option as per the Rules to be governed by the above said rules within the period of one month.</i></p> <p><i>They would also be required to deposit the full amount of employers share alongwith interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the actual amount drawn by them from the date of drawal of the said amount, with the Director within a period of two months after the same is calculated by the respondent State and respective management of the petitioners and communicated to the petitioners.It is further made clear that in case the petitioners make a request for adjustment of the amount out of the pension amount released to them, the same may be permitted as per relevant rules as provided under Rule 16(3)of the 2001 Rules.It is observed that as the petitioners are senior citizens, unnecessary harassment should be avoided to them and that they should be granted the relief within a period three months. It is observed that this judgment is not meant to be treated as a precedent and should be considered as a special relief to the petitioners as they have been denied fruits of prolonged contested litigation.</i></p>	20-12-2013	19-03-2014	Directorate	LPA has been filed and stay granted on 27.10.14. At present no action called for. For withdrawal of LPA No.391 of 2014 the case is under consideration. CM application has been filed in the Hon'ble Court dt.31.05.17 for modification of order dt.07.11.13 and withdrawal of LPA No.391 of 2014

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
109	<u>LPA 2013/16in</u> <u>CWP</u> <u>No.16350/16</u> PGT-II	Dolly Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Quashing the impugned order of transfer dt. 06.08.16 (P-6) qua the petitioner at Sr.No.340 for the reasons mentioned in the writ petition.	06-10-2016	<i>We thus dispose of this appeal with a direction to the respondent-authorities to re-verify the facts and take an appropriate decision regarding posting of the appellant in a School where her services can be availed as PT/Lecturer-in-Political Science. It shall be appreciated if appropriate orders are passed keeping in view the ensuing vacancy arising in district Kurukshetra. Ordered accordingly.</i>	25-10-2016		Directorate	
110	<u>CWP 20457/15</u> HR(AS)	Arya Education Society (Regd.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Reversion from the post of Headmaster to the post of Science Master	10-05-2017	<i>Insofar as compulsory retirement and order of reversion decided by the appellate authority vide order dated 02.09.2015 is concerned, order dated 02.09.2015 is set aside. Insofar as order of compulsory retirement dated 09.06.2012 issue is concerned, matter is remanded to the appellate authority – Director, Secondary Education, Haryana for deciding the appeal of 4th respondent and cross-appeal of the petitioner afresh. The appellate authority is directed to decide the appeal as well as cross-appeal within a period of three months from today. Order of reversion dated 06.06.2011 is set aside. The petitioner is at liberty to take fresh steps if it is warranted by issuing appropriate notice furnishing the details and reasons for reverting respondent No.4. Respondent No.4 is entitled for difference of pay during the intervening period from 06.06.2011 till passing final order of reversion, if any. Arrears of pay shall be calculated and disbursed to 4th respondents within a period of three months from today. 7. Accordingly, instant writ petition stands allowed.</i>	08-06-2017	09-08-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
111	<u>CWP 20652/13</u> HR(G-II)	Daljit Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion to the post of Headmaster from the post of Lecturer Economics	27-04-2017	<i>For orders, see judgment passed in CWP No.14992 of 2013 titled Smt. Kamla Malik and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another of even date.</i>	17-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	File put up to Higher Authority for directing the concerned DEO's to calculate and disburse the arrears of pay within a week.
112	<u>CWP 20857/13</u> Coordination	Narender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Physics	01-05-2017	<i>Resultantly, the petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post of PGT Physics after giving him the benefit of 4 years teaching experience and one time exemption of HTET examination. Let the necessary exercise be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc.Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	08-09-2017	07-12-2017	Directorate	
113	<u>CWP 21035/16</u> PGT-II	Anil Yadav v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	06-10-2016	<i>Whenever the orders are passed, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach this Court again. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is free to take up his grievance with the authority and request for a decision on the representation already made, claiming that on promotion, he should not be posted elsewhere. Needless to say that the competent authority would look into the grievance of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within a week and convey the same to the petitioner.</i>	25-10-2016	02-11-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
114	<u>CWP 21037/16</u> PGT-II	Jai Kumar (Pol.Science) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Service book/Transfer	06-10-2016	<i>Accordingly, a direction is issued to the respondents to complete the service book of the petitioner and make it upto-date and to transmit it to the place where it is required in such a way as to not cause any disturbance in the petitioner's career. The service book be completed forthwith. With these directions, the petition stands disposed of.</i>	20-12-2016		Directorate	
115	<u>CWP 2129/17</u> PGT-I	Rakesh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.HTET	02-05-2017	<i>Before parting with the judgment, it is clarified that this order cannot be held to benefit only those persons who had approached this Court, but it would also have to go to all those other candidates who had applied for the posts but have not approached this Court. Consequently, the respondents are directed to issue a public notice informing all such applicants who had passed the STET examination and had cleared the written test for the posts in question and had not approached this Court, but are otherwise similarly situated as petitioners, that they are now considered to be eligible and then consider their claims also. Since the main cases have been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	11-08-2017		Directorate	
116	<u>CWP 21362/16</u> PGT-II	Manisha Bhutani (Lect.in Physics) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	17-10-2016	<i>This petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner without delay and the petitioner thereafter be informed accordingly.</i>	22-12-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
117	<u>CWP 21734/12</u> PGT-I	Sandeep v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lect.Physical Education	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
118	<u>CWP 21956/13</u> HR(G-I)	Pawan Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion to the post of Principal w.e.f.18.08.06 instead of 01.01.10	04-02-2016	<i>Since penalty of punishment imposed upon the petitioner was not major (it was a minor), order dated 02.07.2012 (AnnexureP/7) is set aside and the petitioner is directed to be promoted notionally w.e.f. 18.08.2006 and a direction is also being given to the respondents to fix his notional pay w.e.f. 18.08.2006 for all intents and purposes within a period of three months. Petition is allowed, accordingly.</i>	18-04-2016	03-05-2016	Directorate	LPA filed and same is fixed for 12.09.17
119	<u>CWP 22095/14</u> PGT-I	Amit Bindra & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Deemed university matter for appointment of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
120	<u>CWP 22168/14</u> PGT-I	Tarun Kaushik v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
121	<u>CWP 22264/16</u> PGT-II	Chottu Ram (Political Science) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	26-10-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 28.9.2016 (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	20-12-2016	19-01-2017	Directorate	
122	<u>CWP 22470/14</u> PGT-I	Ramesh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
123	<u>CWP 22554/16</u> Coordination	Lakhibir Shing & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned Note-III of Appendix-B (Annexure P-I) dated 11.04.12 and in consequence the substituted provision of corrigendum dt. 01.09.16 (Annexure P-8) being ultra vires to Article 14 of the constitution of India and being unjustified, arbitrary and having no nexus with the ultimate object to be achieved amounting to bad effect of birth marks throughout the life of a candidate	27-10-2016	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provisions or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and consider their grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1. Ordered accordingly.</i>	28-11-2016	27-03-2017	Directorate	File is being submitted
124	<u>CWP 22653/14</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/P ost Graduate from deemed universities	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
125	<u>CWP 22737/16</u> Coordination	Zakir Hussain v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT History	04-11-2016	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or it is against the public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions thus is an exercise which essentially falls within the purview of the Rule Making Authority. It is not necessary for this Court to express any views on merits, rather it would be appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievances in accordance with the law. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1. Ordered accordingly.</i>	20-02-2017	19-06-2017	Directorate	
126	<u>CWP 22879/16</u> PGT-II	Narender Singh (Lect in Geography) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	07-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondents No.3 to 5 to consider and decide the legal notice dated (Annexure P5) within a period of two months in accordance with law. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	15-12-2016	06-01-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
127	<u>CWP 22969/14</u> HR(ME)	Satbir Singh Ranga v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	17-07-2017	<i>In view of the above judgment, petitioner is entitled only for compensation. The concerned respondent is hereby directed to pay compensation of Rs.3 Lacs to the petitioner within a period of 3 months from today failing which petitioner is entitled to interest @ 6% per annum on the compensation amount.</i>	28-09-2017	16-10-2017	Directorate	
128	<u>CWP 23095/13</u> PEN-I	Sukha Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pensionary Benefits	30-03-2017	<i>Let the petitioner give her option to the respondents within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The respondents are directed to release the benefit as per her option within a further period of three months. If the due benefit is not granted to the petitioner within the stipulated period, she would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 12% pa from the date/s the amount/s fell due. The petition stands disposed of. Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.</i>	10-04-2017	29-07-2017	Directorate	Option received from the petitioner. File is under process
129	<u>CWP 23282/16</u> PGT-II	Kavinder (PGT Physics) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	09-11-2016	<i>Without making any observations on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the petition with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	21-11-2016	20-12-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
130	<u>CWP 23296/16</u> PGT-II	Indu Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	10-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation of the petitioner dated 23.9.2016 (Annexure P-9), in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	16-01-2017	15-04-2017	Directorate	
131	<u>CWP 24319/13</u> PEN-II	Ram Charan Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Arrear of Revised Pension	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. 14.05.2015</i>	09-07-2015	08-11-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.11117/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15
132	<u>CWP 24479/11</u> PEN-II	Subhash Chander, Retd.SSM from Hindu Sr.Sec.School, Kaithal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pensionary Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	13-07-2015	12-11-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.11117/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
133	<u>CWP 24571/16</u> PGT-II	Harcharan Singh (Lect.in Political Science) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	30-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 18.08.2016 (Annexure P-5), in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	15-12-2016	14-01-2017	Directorate	
134	<u>CWP 24702/16</u> PGT-II	Naresh Kumar (Psychology Lecturer) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the study leave alongwith other benefits to the petitioner during the completion of his B.Ed in the current session 2016-18	01-12-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the application of the petitioner for sanction of study leave with pay, in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	20-12-2016	19-01-2017	Directorate	
135	<u>CWP 24757/13</u> PGT-I	Bal Rishi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lecturer English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
136	<u>CWP 24837/16</u> PGT-II	Sunita Rani (Lect.in Hindi) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	14-02-2017	<i>In view of the aforesaid discussion, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to the respondents to reconsider the posting order of the petitioner and try to accommodate her at a station which is not as inconvenient as Morni Hills, District Panchkula. Let necessary exercise be conducted within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	17-03-2017	16-04-2017	Directorate	
137	<u>CWP 24859/13</u> PGT-I	Shiv Kumar & Anr v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
138	<u>CWP 25875/15</u> PGT-I	Anil Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.ACP	30-01-2017	<i>In these circumstances, the respondents are directed to release the interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits, as per government instructions within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.</i>	24-03-2017	23-05-2017	Directorate	
139	<u>CWP 25877/15</u> HR(G-II)	Beer Bhan Jindal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.3rd ACP	30-01-2017	<i>In these circumstances, the respondents are directed to release the interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits, as per government instructions within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.</i>	21-03-2017	20-05-2017	Directorate	ACP has been granted but the petitioner is claiming the interest on delayed payment. Therefore, the matter sent to DEO Panipat on 19.05.17 for calculating/verify the interest by S.O O/o Sonipat. Information is still awaited from DEO Panipat

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
140	<u>CWP 26183/13</u> HR(ME)	Ram Kumar & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release all the consequential benefits of regular service of the petitioner w.e.f. 12.12.89 to 07.08.90 respectively, from the date of their initial appointment on part time basis which has been later on regularized	22-05-2017	<i>During pendency of this petition, petitioner No.2 has attained the age of superannuation and retired from service. Therefore, LRs of the deceased employee and petitioner No.2 may make an application/representation seeking extending service benefits during intervening period i.e. from the date of ad-hoc appointment till the date of regularization towards pensionary benefits. If such application/representation is made, Competent authority is hereby directed to take a decision and pass a speaking order relating to entitlement. If the petitioners are entitled for such relief, the same shall be extended within a reasonable period. The petitioners are directed to make necessary application/representation within a period of eight weeks from today. Concerned respondent is directed to pass speaking order after receipt of such application/representation by the petitioners within a period of three months. With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.</i>	21-07-2017	20-10-2017	Directorate	
141	<u>CWP 26254/16</u> PGT-II	Suminder Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	19-12-2016	<i>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annex P-5) promptly.</i>	28-12-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
142	<u>CWP 26386/14</u> HR(ME)	Jagdish Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the arrear of Promotion as Dy.Sudt.	21-03-2017	<p><i>Perusal of the records it is evident that no reasons have been assigned as to why the petitioner's name was over looked for promotion to the post of Assistant and Deputy Superintendent as and when it was due.</i></p> <p><i>Therefore, the condition imposed in the order dated 04.10.2013 (Annexure P-1) to the extent that the petitioner is entitled to notional pay fixation with effect from 14.09.2011 is hereby set aside and the concerned respondent is directed to pay arrears during the intervening period from 14.09.2011 to 04.10.2013 in the cadre of Deputy Superintendent and extend petitioner's difference of pay along with the interest at the rate 9% per annum and petitioner is entitled to cost of `10,000/-. Cost shall be paid to the petitioner within three months.</i></p>	12-04-2017	20-06-2017	Directorate	13/52-15 ME(4) Matter is sent to L.R & A.G for opinion
143	<u>CWP 26654/15</u> HR(PS)	S.K.Education Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Recognition	26-08-2016	<p><i>Without commenting upon the arguments as such raised by the counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact the counsel was not present before the Appellate Authority, and in view of the averments made in Para No.4 of the writ petition justifying the absence, the present writ petition is allowed. The Appellate Order dated 11.08.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by the respondent No.1 is, accordingly, set aside. The said respondent shall re-hear the appeal of the petitioner.</i></p> <p><i>Necessary exercise be done within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order.</i></p>	06-09-2016	05-12-2016	Directorate	Personal hearing was fixed for 6.12.16 by W.ACSSE order awaited

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
144	<u>CWP 26820/16</u> HR(PS)	DPS Sector 19 Faridabad Parents Association v/s State & Ors.	Reg.DirectingRespo ndentNo 1 ie the Government of Haryana Department of School Education and RespondentNo 3 ie Director School Education Government of Haryanato conduct a comprehensiveaudi t of the School funds of RespondentNo 6 ie Delhi Public School Sector 19Faridabad Haryana	08-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 and 3 to comply with the order dated 24.7.2015, for the purpose of conducting the audit of the school funds of respondent No.6, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the order is not complied with, the petitioner may, if so advised, avail the remedy of filing application for revival of this petition or for initiating contempt proceedings.</i>	27-03-2017	26-06-2017	Directorate	Letter has been issued on 31.05.17 to conduct the audit under Rule 158-A
145	<u>CWP 27196/16</u> Coordination	Sushma Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	23-12-2016	<i>This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner and consider it sympathetically in the 2nd transfer drive of Post Graduate Teachers in the Education Department, Haryana. Let the final decision be taken within a week or 10 days at most and conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	25-01-2017	04-02-2017	Directorate	Participated in Second Drive

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
146	<u>CWP 27338/13</u> PGT-II	Dev Parkash v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant benefit of Adhoc Service rendered in Govt.Aided Privately managed schools for the purpose of pensionary benefits	01-08-2016	<i>This was subject to the condition laid down in the said instructions. Of course, if the conditions are laid down, the petitioner has to follow these conditions. However, the past service, rendered in the Government Aided School against the sanctioned post, is to be counted for the grant of pension and gratuity. The petitioner worked on the sanctioned post of Hindi teacher to the Government Aided School from 6.8.1984 to 19.11.1993. The said period is to be counted as qualifying service for the purpose of grant of pension and gratuity. The present writ petition is allowed on the abovenoted terms. The respondents are directed to fix the revised pension after counting his said service and pay the same within three months from the date of passing of this order with interest at the rate of 9% per annum, starting three months from the date of retirement till payment.</i>	30-08-2016	30-10-2016	Directorate	
147	<u>CWP 2925/11</u> HR(ME)	Sadhu Ram & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Refixation of pay	09-02-2017	<i>Both the petitions are accordingly allowed. Respondents are directed to revise the pension of the petitioners and release the revised pensionary benefits within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. A copy of the order be placed on the connected file.</i>	11-04-2017	10-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
148	<u>CWP 2941/16</u> PGT-II	Pawan Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the transfer orders	15-02-2016	<i>For orders see judgment of even date passed in CWP No.1098 of 2016 Yashpal Singh & others Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.</i> <i>CWP NO.1098/16 ORDERS</i> <i>A perusal of the afore-referred preliminary fact finding inquiry by the DEO, Rohtak shows recommendation of disciplinary proceedings against the Principal and the TGTs mentioned therein. It is directed that the final decision with regard to the same be taken by the competent Authority expeditiously but not later than one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	04-05-2016	03-06-2016	Directorate	
149	<u>COCP 2984/13</u> <u>in CWP</u> <u>No.8781/12</u> HR(AS)	Sudesh Kumar & Ors. v/s Smt.Surina Rajan, IAS & Ors.	Reg.Grant same pay scales and allowances as admissible to their counter parts working in Govt.Schools	12-07-2017	<i>Learned State counsel undertakes to grant the benefits to the petitioners as per judgment of Single Bench but subject to decision of review application, which is pending. The necessary exercise be done within a period of four weeks from today.</i> <i>The petition is disposed of accordingly. However, in case, the respondents fail to comply with the order as per undertaking, the petitioners are at liberty to move an application for revival of contempt petition.</i>	22-08-2017	09-08-2017	Directorate	
150	<u>CWP 3194/10</u> PGT-II	Vinod Kumar v/s State & Ors.	To grant Adhoc increment in term of the judgment CWP No.7862/06 Hanumant Singh for the purpose of retiral benefits	10-08-2015	<i>We are informed that the relief claimed in this writ petition is similar to that of CWP No.5117 of 2003 titled as Rajinder Kumar & others Vs. State of Haryana & others, which has been disposed of by a separate order of even date.</i> <i>Accordingly, this writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</i>	22-09-2015		Directorate	Documents handover to Legal Cell Haryanaq New Delhi for filing SLP

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
151	<u>CWP 3322/16</u> HR(G-I)	Kul Bhushan Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Fixation of Pay after A.C.P	24-04-2017	<i>Undisputedly refixation and order of recovery are without notice to the petitioners. By virtue of refixation of pay and order of recovery has a civil consequence. Therefore, without hearing petitioner's on the refixation and order of recovery which are under challenge are liable to be set aside. Accordingly, order of refixation of pay and recovery of excess payment are set aside. Reserving liberty to the official respondents to proceed by issuing necessary show cause notice indicating the reasons for refixation and recovery. After receipt of explanation of the petitioners to show cause notice, the concerned respondent may proceed to pass a final order and communicate the same at the earliest. Petition stands allowed.</i>	10-08-2017		Directorate	
152	<u>CWP 3380/16</u> PGT-I	VINOD KUMAR (LECTURER IN PHYSICS) v/s STATE & ORS.	REG.QUASHING THE CHARGESHEET AND GRANT 2ND ACP WHICH FELL DUE TO THE PETITIONER W.E.F. 01.10.12 AND MAKE THE PAYMENT OF SALARY FROM 09.06.07 TO 31.08.07 AND REGULARIZE THE SAI DURATION AND PROMOTE TO THE NEXT POST OF PRINCIPAL WHICH IS DUE W.E.F. 07.11.14	17-04-2017	<i>The petitioner has not made out a case insofar as challenge to charge-memo dated 20.7.2012 (Annexure P-3). Even though, there is a delay in initiation of enquiry, the allegations are relating to financial irregularities. Supreme Court held that if financial irregularities are part and parcel of charges in that event on the ground of delay court cannot interfere with charge memo. Moreover, petitioner has not urged ground of competency, charges are vague or malafide. Thus, no interference is called for. The respondents are hereby directed to complete the disciplinary proceedings within a period of four months from today. With the above observation, the petition stands disposed of.</i>	09-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
153	<u>CWP 3541/99</u> Coordination	Gurmail Chand & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	09-02-2017	<i>For detail order see order of even date in CWP-3542-1999(O&M) titled as "Ranjodh Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others."</i>	25-04-2017		Directorate	File sent to F.D
154	<u>CWP 3542/99</u> Coordination	Ranjodh Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	09-02-2017	<i>Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner states that the facts of the present case are covered by the judgment of this Court passed in CWP-10269-2010 titled as "Makhan Singh vs. State of Haryana and another" decided on 23.09.2015 and pray that the present petitions be disposed of in the same term.</i>	25-04-2017		Directorate	File sent to F.D
155	<u>CWP 3981/17</u> PGT-I	Sangeeta Kumari (Lect.in.Biology) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Maternity Leave on birth third child	01-03-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair submission. Keeping in view the plight as portrayed, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice of the petitioner by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Ordered accordingly. In case the petitioner is found entitled to the relief claimed the same may be granted to her within the aforesaid period. In case any due relief is not granted to the petitioner, the petitioner would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 12% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date/s of payment/s. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	16-03-2017	15-06-2017	Directorate	Case is submitted for decision

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
156	<u>CWP 4107/16</u> HR(AS)	Shri Ganga Ram Sanatan Dharma High School, Sargodha, Abmala v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Management of the School run by the petitioner has been ordered to be taken over by way of appointment of Administrator for a period of one year	31-03-2016	<i>After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the facts and circumstances, this petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file appeal under Section 10(4) of the Act. It is further clarified that if 1 of 2 any such appeal is filed by the petitioner within one week from today, the same shall be decided by the Appellate Authority within two weeks thereafter by passing a speaking order.</i>	25-04-2016	21-04-2016	Directorate	Personal hearing on 09.06.16 before W.ACSSE. No next date hearing is fixed

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
157	<u>CWP 4274/16</u> HR(ME)	Smt.Santosh Kumari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Suspension Allowance	15-05-2017	<p><i>Regulation of suspension period in the present case is not supported by any provision of law so as to count the suspension period only for the purpose of pension. Moreover, in the present case, deceased Sh. Satish Kumar died while he was on suspension. Thus, order of suspension is abated. Consequently, legal heirs are entitled for monetary benefits as if deceased Satish Kumar, Clerk is in office, since there is no finality in respect of allegation in the disciplinary proceedings so as to treat the suspension period as duty only so as to deny financial benefits. Thus, Annexure P/1 dated 24.09.2013 is not supported by any provision of law.</i></p> <p><i>Moreover, order of suspension abated, while it was in force on 21.05.2013. Therefore, legal representative of deceased are entitled for monetary benefits. Hence, order dated 24.09.2013 (Annexure P/1) is set aside to the extent of denying monetary benefits like pay/salary during suspension period. Concerned respondent is hereby directed to regulate the suspension period from 12.05.2011 to 20.05.2013 as a duty for all purposes including financial benefits like difference of pay if the subsistence allowance has been paid to the deceased employee during the intervening period from 12.05.2011 to 20.05.2013. If subsistence allowance is not paid, entire pay which was due to the deceased Sh. Satish Kumar be paid to the legal heir/petitioner within a period of three months from today.</i></p> <p><i>6. Accordingly, instant writ petition stands allowed.</i></p>	27-06-2017	14-08-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
158	<u>CWP 4589/14</u> PGT-I	Pardeep Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
159	<u>CWP 4848/14</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.HTET/STET for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
160	<u>CWP 50/14</u> Aarohi Cell	Raman Kumari & Ors. PGT English in Aarohi School v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Extension in Service	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i> <i>CWP NO.7626 OF 2015 ORDERS</i> <i>In view of what has been observed above, Annexures P-9 and P-10 are hereby quashed. Writ petitions are accordingly allowed. The respondents are directed to issue appointment letters to the petitioners by putting a condition in the same manner as has been put while issuing appointment letters to the candidates who have challenged the exemption clause vis-à-vis sitting in HTET/STET examination forthwith. The respondents are also directed to issue re-appointment letters to the petitioners, whose services have been dispensed with by putting the same condition.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	LPA filed by the department, the Hon'ble High Court granted stay

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
161	<u>CWP 5374/14</u> HR(ME)	Karambir S/o Sh.Balwan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Illegal termination/dismis sal from the service by an incompetent authority and for reinstatement in the service again.	23-03-2017	<i>Reading of the order of dismissal it is evident that it attaches stigma against the petitioner. Moreover, reasons assigned for dismissal is "indiscipline in the school and for misbehaving with the staff and for causing dis-arrangement in the Government High School". These are conclusive and not supported by any reasons. Moreover, when an employee irrespective whether ad hoc or permanent is to be dismissed or removed from service on the ground of misconduct, minimum requirement of notice is also not followed in the present case. Therefore, Annexure P-3 is set aside. The respondents are directed to comply principle of natural justice before proceeding further in the matter. The petitioner is entitled for reinstatement and consequential benefits from 14.8.2012 till date of reinstatement. The above exercise shall be completed by the concerned respondent within a period of four months from today. Petition stands allowed.</i>	25-05-2017	22-07-2017	Directorate	
162	<u>CWP 5568/14</u> PGT-I	Naresh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi/English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
163	<u>CWP 5781/15</u> PGT-I	Subhash Chand v/s State & Ors.	REg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>In view of what has been observed above, the writ petitions are allowed and the directions are issued to the respondents to call the petitioners for counselling and issue the appointment letters to them for the post of PGT in respect of categories for which they have been appeared orinterviewed. The petitioners are also entitled for consequential benefit of seniority.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15
164	<u>COCP 586/17</u> <u>in CWP</u> <u>No.6323/13</u> PGT-I	Seema Rani v/s Sh.P.K.Dass, IAS	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Mathematics	01-05-2017	<i>In view of the statement made by counsel for the respondent, the contempt petition is disposed of with a direction to appoint the petitioner within a period of one month. In case, petitioner is not appointed within the stipulated period, the petitioner is at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.</i>	01-05-2017	31-05-2017	Directorate	Claim rejected being overage. Speaking order has been passed. Short reply by way of affidavit has also signed by W.DSE.
165	<u>CWP 5959/16</u> Admn.	Parveen Sangwan (Asstt.Dir.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count previous service rendered in Haryana Land Reclamation & Development, Corporation Limited as qualifying service for grant of pension and pensionary benefits under Old Pension Scheme	17-01-2017	<i>Counsel for the petitioner also relied upon the judgment passed on 13.05.2015 in the matter of Ashok Kumar vs. State of Haryana in CWP No. 8034-2012 where in also the same has been held. Learned Addl. A.G is not in a position to cite any contrary judgment. In these circumstances, the petition is allowed. It is directed in case the petitioner now deposits the entire amount of Contribution Provident Fund alongwith interest with the State Exchequer within 02 months he would be entitled for pension on retirement.</i>	23-01-2017	22-03-2017	Directorate	LPA is being filed

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
166	<u>CWP 5972/17</u> PGT-II	Sunil Kumar Bansal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.RTI information regarding surplus of some Lecturer	23-03-2017	<i>Presuming that the observation made by a quasi-judicial authority is in consonance with the actual facts, I dispose of this petition with a direction that in case the petitioner approaches the State Information Officer-cum-Superintendent of the office of Director, Secondary Education, Branch PGT-II, and the Director, School Education, Panchkula, within a period of one month, the information pertaining to points No. 2, 3 and 4 which is alleged to have been supplied to the petitioner on 20.07.2016 (as recorded in order of the State Information Commissioner, Haryana dated 29.08.2016, the information at points No. 2, 3 and 4 will be re-supplied to the petitioner at the cost of the petitioner. In case of non-compliance of this order, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again.</i>	16-05-2017	15-06-2017	Directorate	
167	<u>CWP 6020/15</u> PGT-I	Yatender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
168	<u>CWP 6024/15</u> PGT-I	Vinti Gulati v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
169	<u>CWP 604/94</u> HR(AS)	Mahadev & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release Arrear of pay of account of revision of pay scales	01-10-2014	<i>Petitioners have been found entitled for the requisite amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by them. Accordingly, respondent no.1 is directed to release the requisite amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by the petitioners, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which petitioners shall be entitled for receiving the amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by them, alongwith interest @ 12% p.a. from the date the amount became due till its payment. Resultantly, with the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands allowed, however, with no order as to costs.</i>	27-11-2014	26-02-2015	Directorate	Recovery Stayed. State filed LPA No.933/15 filed on 29.06.15 and same is fixed for hearing on 26.10.17
170	<u>CWP 6169/15</u> PGT-I	Baby Goyal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
171	<u>CWP 6277/15</u> PGT-I	Khazan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
172	<u>CWP 6289/15</u> PGT-I	Savita Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
173	<u>CWP 630/17</u> Coordination	Rakesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg. HTET	17-01-2017	<p><i>In our considered view, unless the Rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1.</i></p> <p><i>Ordered accordingly.</i></p> <p><i>Further the petitioner belongs to reserve category of Scheduled Caste. He claims that under the Rules there is a provision to give relaxation to the Scheduled Caste and backward class candidates. If such relaxation is permissible qua academic qualification also, the authorities are directed to consider the petitioner's claim for that relaxation as well.</i></p> <p><i>Needless to say that if the petitioner's claim is accepted, then he will also be entitled to call for the interview as per his merit.</i></p> <p><i>Dasti.</i></p> <p><i>(</i></p>	13-02-2017	27-02-2017	Directorate	Relaxation in HTET Marks

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
174	<u>CWP 6381/15</u> PGT-I	Shakuntla Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
175	<u>CWP 6501/14</u> PGT-I	Harvinder Kaushal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned order dt. 18.01.14 (P-12) and notice dated nil (P-13) to the extent of denying counseling for issuing appointment letter to the candidates like the petitioners who have acquired their qualification of Post Graduate from IASE	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
176	<u>CWP 6520/12</u> PGT-I	Kamla Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Ist ACP	09-02-2017	<i>Now, coming to the second question regarding delayed payment. It comes out that the retiral benefits were releasd with delay. Therefore, the petitioner is entitled to interest on the delayed payment starting three months from the date of retirement till date of actual payment @ 9% per annum. Needful be done within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Petition is accordingly allowed.</i>	09-03-2017	08-06-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
177	<u>CWP 6584/15</u> PGT-I	Balwan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
178	<u>CWP 66/14</u> HR(G-I)	Rekha Dhariwal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Experience Certificate produced at the time of Appointment as Principal found forged and false		<p><i>These two aspects have neither explained in the written statement nor the learned Assistant Advocate General had been able to show me how the action can be justified. In the circumstances, I have no option but to set aside the impugned order of the termination of the services. However that would not result in automatic release of consequential benefits to the petitioner. It is directed that the respondents would issue her regular chargesheet within 1 month from the date of the receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner would be granted a period of 30 days to file reply. Thereafter the respondents would have 3 effective dates spread over a period of 2 months to lead their evidence and similarly the petitioner would get 3 opportunities spread over 2 months to lead her evidence. The disciplinary proceedings must be completed on or before 31.12.2017 and any consequential relief to the petitioner would be dependent on the order to be passed in the disciplinary proceedings. In case the disciplinary proceedings are not completed on or before 31.12.2017 (subject to the petitioner not obstructing the same) she would be entitled to all consequential benefits of this order setting aside her termination and would be entitled to all retiral benefits also.</i></p> <p><i>The instant petition stands disposed of in the above terms. A copy of this order be handed over the learned Assistant Advocate General as well as learned counsel for the petitioner under the signature of the Bench Secretary so that time limits can be adhered to.</i></p> <p><i>Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc.</i></p> <p><i>Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i></p>	08-06-2017	07-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
179	<u>CWP 6602/00</u> HR(G-I)	J.P.Gupta v/s State & Ors.	Appointment of HES-II from waiting list	19-05-2017	<i>It being so, the impugned order dated 12.05.1999 (Annexure P- 5) is hereby quashed. The respondents are ordered to release the amount of gratuity and leave encasement to the petitioner along with interest @ 9% per annum, starting three months from the date of retirement till its payment. Regarding the pay of the suspension period, the respondents are also directed to take a decision within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and follow up action be taken, accordingly. As such, the present petition is allowed.</i>	12-06-2017	11-08-2017	Directorate	
180	<u>CWP 6608/15</u> PGT-I	Mamta Sharma v/s State & Ors.	REg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
181	<u>CWP 6696/15</u> PGT-I	Shakuntla Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
182	<u>CWP 6779/15</u> PGT-I	Abhilasha Arora v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
183	<u>CWP 6781/15</u> PGT-I	Bela Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
184	<u>CWP 6784/15</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
185	<u>CWP 6835/17</u> HR(ME)	Raghubir Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.ACP/Pay Fixation	31-03-2017	<i>It is stated that the facts of the present case are covered by the decision of this Court in the matter of Rajender Parshad Vs. State of Haryana and others, passed in C.W.P. No.2606 of 2017, decided on 13.02.2017 (Annexure P-10). In the circumstances, the petition stands disposed of in the same terms as in C.W.P. No.2606 of 2017. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	26-05-2017	25-08-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
186	<u>CWP 6873/17</u> HR(ME)	Sultan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	26-04-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	22-08-2017	21-11-2017	Directorate	
187	<u>CWP 6901/15</u> PGT-I	Phool Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
188	<u>CWP 6904/15</u> PGT-I	Anil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Sanskrit	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
189	<u>CWP 7057/15</u> PGT-I	Dhanpat Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
190	<u>CWP 7145/15</u> PGT-I	Rajesh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
191	<u>CWP 7522/14</u> HR(PS)	Saraswati Deep Edu.Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Recognition	16-02-2016	<i>Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner will move application as prescribed in the Act. In case the application is filed, on fulfillment of the conditions laid down under the Act and after inspection, if required, the competent authority shall take final decision thereon within a period of six months from the date the application is filed,complete in all respects. The petition stands disposed of.</i>	04-04-2016	03-10-2016	Directorate	D.O letter written to DEO Faridabad on 09.11.16 to intimate the status of school
192	<u>CWP 7626/15</u> PGT-I	Gurmail Kaur & Ors v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>In view of what has been observed above, Annexures P-9 and P-10 are hereby quashed. Writ petitions are accordingly allowed. The respondents are directed to issue appointment letters to the petitioners by putting a condition in the same manner as has been put while issuing appointment letters to the candidates who have challenged the exemption clause vis-à-vis sitting in HTET/STET examination forthwith. The respondents are also directed to issue re-appointment letters to the petitioners, whose services have been dispensed with by putting the same condition.</i>	16-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
193	<u>CWP 7773/15</u> PGT-I	Parmila Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana & others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
194	<u>CWP 7872/15</u> PGT-I	Uma Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	29-06-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed in terms of order dated 04.06.2015 passed in CWP No.5781 of 2015 titled as Subhash Chand vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	09-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
195	<u>C.M 8098/15 in CWP No.23933/13</u> HR(PS)	Shriom v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Forced to petitioner to purchase the books and note books	10-03-2017	<i>In view of the above, let the petitioner now submit a representation to the Divisional Commissioner, Ambala within a period of two weeks from today. If such a representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by the Committee within a period of eight weeks from the date of submission of the representation. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. Application stands disposed of accordingly. Copy of the order be given dasti to the counsel for the parties under signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.</i>	31-03-2017	20-05-2017	Directorate	Matter is to be decided by FFRC Ambala

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
196	<u>CWP 8113/17</u> PGT-I	Krishan Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Step up of pay	21-04-2017	<i>In this view of the matter, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to the authorities to examine the claim of the petitioners as contained in the above-mentioned legal notice and decide the same by way of reasoned order within a period of six months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.</i>	15-06-2017	14-12-2017	Directorate	
197	<u>CWP 8268/17</u> PGT-II	Aruna Bhatia v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	24-04-2017	<i>Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner though we do not find any ground to interfere with the transfer policy, nevertheless, keeping in view the mitigating circumstances highlighted by the petitioner, it appears expedient to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to consider the petitioner's above-mentioned request sympathetically and see the desirability of transferring her at the place where she can properly look-after her husband. It shall be appreciated if some decision is taken within a period of one month from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.</i>	30-08-2017	29-09-2017	Directorate	
198	<u>CWP 8297/14</u> PGT-I	Pawan Kumar, v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur & others Versus State of Haryana & another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	22-06-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
199	<u>CWP 8380/16</u> HR(G-I)	Chander Kanta & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Arrears of revised pay scale of Rs.7500-12000 has been denied to the Head Masters w.e.f. 01.01.96 and granted the above noted pay scale w.e.f. 01.01.96 notionally and actually from prospective effect i.e. 25.03.15	08-02-2017	<i>Therefore, it is held that the petitioners are also entitled for the similar benefit of payment of arrears for 38 months prior to the filing of the present writ petition. Needless to say that the same pay scale shall also be released to the petitioners w.e.f. 01.01.1996. The needful be done within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In view of the above the present petition stands allowed.</i>	22-03-2017	21-05-2017	Directorate	Write to concerned DEO Rohtak, Hisar & Jhajjar for compliance on dt.10.05.17. Reminder also being issued shortly
200	<u>CWP 8437/15</u> PGT-I	Bharat Bhushan & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi, Eng & Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
201	<u>CWP 8463/01</u> HR(G-II)	Umed Singh Deshwal & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.grant of pay scale Rs.7500-12000 we.f 1.1.96	05-08-2015	<p><i>Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of judgment dated 01.12.2010 passed in CWP No.8082 of 2001 titled as R.K.Verma and others vs. State of Haryana and another.</i></p> <p><i>CWP No.8082 of 2001 ORDERS</i></p> <p><i>In view of the ration of law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court, prayer made by the petitioners in these two writ petitions is accepted; order Annexure P-3, to the extent it grants revised pay scale to Headmasters/Headmistresses with effect from 1.8.2000, is quashed and direction is issued to the respondents to grant revised pay scale of Rs.7500-12000 to the petitioners with effect from 1.1.1996, the date when the anomaly,arose. However, it is made clear that the respondents shall remove the anomaly, and fix the pay of the petitioners notionally with effect from 1.1.1996 on which date it arose. However, the arrears of pay are restricted to 38 months from filing of the writ petition. CWP No.8082 of 2001 was filed on 25.5.2001 whereas CWP No.13897 of 2003 was filed on 1.9.2003. The petitioners have retired by now, their terminal benefits shall be also disbursed within six months.</i></p> <p><i>The writ petition are disposed of in the above terms with no order as to costs.</i></p>	14-08-2015	04-02-2016	Directorate	Compliance has been done of 92 petitioners out of 96 petitioners and compliance report of 4 petitioners is still awaited from DEO Jhajjar, therefore, reminder has been issued to the concerned DEO on 05.05.17 & 06.06.17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
202	<u>CWP 8532/17</u> HR(PS)	Sant Nischal Singh Public School v/s State & Ors.	Reg.NOC for conferring of Minority Status	26-04-2017	<i>Without expression of any opinion on merits at this stage, I am of the considered opinion that the ends of justice would be met in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the petitioner as depicted in application annexure P-3 dated March 7, 2017, for grant of NOC for conferring minority status to Sant Nischal Singh Public School, Ladwa, within a period of 15 days after the receipt of a certified copy of the order subject to petitioner fulfilling all the requisites of the guidelines dated August 14, 2015 including pledging of the requisite amount and issue the necessary NOC by passing a speaking order, in case the above said School is found entitled to the same. Disposed of with the above observations. Meanwhile, during the pendency of consideration of the application annexure P-3, the admission process and running of the school will not be interrupted by respondents.</i>	26-05-2017	11-06-2017	Directorate	Recently received.The case of the petitioner is being examined by the branch if found eligible necessary orders will be passed
203	<u>CWP 8757/16</u> PGT-II	Rajesh Kumar (Lect.in Pol.Sci.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned order of adjustment/posting order which he has been adjusted/posted showing zero student strength	06-05-2016	<i>With regard to his grievance, the petitioner made a representation dated 02.04.2016 (Annexure P-8) to the respondents, but no action has been taken thereon till date. At this stage, this petition is disposed of by giving a direction to the respondents to look into the representation dated 02.04.2016 (Annexure P-8) and decide the same in accordance with law. Till the decision is taken on by the respondents, operation of the impugned order dated 01.04.2016 (Annexure P-6) shall remain stayed.</i>	16-05-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
204	<u>CWP 8885/17</u> HR(ME)	Kalawati & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	21-08-2017	<i>Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case or legal right or entitlement as such of the petitioners, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to take into consideration the grievance raised in the said legal notice dated 25.8.2016 (Annexure P/10) and pass an appropriate order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case any adverse order is to be passed then a reasoned order be passed by the said respondent and copy thereof be supplied to the petitioners. The present writ petition is disposed off with the aforesaid directions.</i>	08-09-2017	07-01-2018	Directorate	
205	<u>CWP 8910/17</u> PGT-II	Ramesh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Sanskrit	28-04-2017	<i>Ordered accordingly. In case any such representation is moved within one month from today the respondent No. 2 shall decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months thereafter. Petition stands disposed of in the above terms. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	19-05-2017	26-08-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
206	<u>CWP 8942/16</u> PGT-II	Umakand Walia v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Political Science	01-06-2016	<i>Learned State counsel informed that vide letter dated 01.06.2016 it has been decided by the department that the candidates having the qualification of MA in Public Administration will be considered for promotion for the post of Lecturer in Political Science and if the name of the petitioners found in the zone of consideration, their case will not be ignored for promotion. The respondents will now consider the case of the petitioners for promotion with respect to the dates when their junior have been promoted, without consequential benefits. The petition is disposed of with direction as aforesaid.</i>	21-06-2016		Directorate	
207	<u>CWP 9035/14</u> PGT-I	Shikha Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/S election for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>he writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
208	<u>CWP 9153/17</u> PEN-II	Murli Dhar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg. The qualifying service should be treated from the date of their joining the service, in terms of a Division Bench judgment of this Court, passed in LPA-1318-2015, titled as State of Haryana and others Parkash Chand Sharma and others, alongwith connected cases, decided on 21.3.2017	01-05-2017	<i>In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider the legal notice dated 12.7.2016 (Annexure-P-8) of the petitioners and decide the same after considering the Division Bench judgment of this Court in Parkash Chand Sharma's case (supra) and other relevant law on the point, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	19-05-2017	18-07-2017	Directorate	Court orders sent to DEO Faridabad & School Management for compliance on 06.06.17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
209	<u>CWP 9253/14</u> PGT-I	Abheyender Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Select/Appoint for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>he writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
210	<u>CWP 946/17</u> Coordination	Satish Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.HTET	19-01-2017	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, is essentially an exercise within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if the appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1. Ordered</i>	14-03-2017	13-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
211	<u>CWP 9463/17</u> HR(PS)	Sant Nischal Singh Public School (Y.Nagar) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.No Objection Certificate for minority status as a Sikh Minority to the petitioner school	04-05-2017	<i>A further direction is issued that in case, the petitioner fulfills all the requisite conditions including the condition of deposit of any amount, speaking order will be positively passed within a period of 15 days, in case the school is found entitled for the status. It is further observed that during pendency of the consideration on application, admission process and running of the school will not be interrupted by the respondents.</i>	22-08-2017	06-09-2017	Directorate	
212	<u>LPA 975/15 in CWP No.2473/14</u> HR(AS)	Arya High School Trust & Management Society v/s Sushila Devi	Reg.Payment of Arrear of pay along with interest	20-09-2016	<i>As regard to respondent No.2, we have heard learned counsel for the parties at a considerable length. It is not in dispute that the orders passed by the District Education Officer, Haryana and Director, Secondary Education, Haryana in their appellate capacities, are separately under challenge at the instance of the management in CWP Nos.24873 & 25087 of 2015, which is still pending and since the legality of those orders is yet to be determined by this Court, we find no justification to accept the claim for consequential benefits at the instance of respondent No.2, at this stage. Till the above-cited writ petitions are decided on merits, such a claim being premature, respondent No.2 is held entitled to arrears of pay only w.e.f. the date of the order of learned Single Judge in these proceedings i.e. from 01.04.2015 onwards. These directions are without prejudice to the rights of the parties which are sub-judice in above-stated pending writ petitions. (10) With these observations and directions, the instant appeal stands disposed of.</i>	29-11-2016		Directorate	4/30-14 AS(2) Write to DEO Rohtak vide letter No.4/82-15 AS (4) dt. 04.5.17 for compliance the court orders and reminder also issued on 29.05.17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
213	<u>CWP 9887/13</u> AaroHi Cell	Dr.Rakesh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of Principal	11-03-2015	<p><i>Since this Court has already directed that one post under the SC Category be kept reserved, the respondents are directed to allow the petitioner to take the examination in respect of the advertised posts of Principal. The respondents are also directed to take further steps in view of what has been observed above and make arrangement for conducting the examination of the petitioner, so that he would be able to take the same.</i></p> <p><i>It is expected that the respondents authorities would conduct the examination as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>With the above observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.</i></p>	16-04-2015	15-06-2015	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
214	<u>CWP 9962/97</u> PGT-II	Hari Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.promotion for the post Lecturer in Sanskrit	26-04-2017	<i>Learned counsel for the State fairly submitted on instructions from Mrs. Santosh Kumari, Principal, Government Senior Secondary School, Gharaunda, Karnal that in the petitioner's service book passing of M.A. in Sanskrit in the month of April, 1990 has been recorded. In view of the said submission, the petitioner is entitled for promotion to the post of Lecturer in Sanskrit is required to be re-considered by the competent authority. Therefore, respondent No.2 is hereby directed to re-consider the petitioner's name for promotion to the post of Lecturer in Sanskrit w.e.f. 31.5.1993 and pass a speaking order. If the petitioner is otherwise eligible for promotion to the post of Lecturer in Sanskrit, he be promoted w.e.f. 31.5.1993 and extend all service benefits including monetary benefits. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of 4 months from today. Petition stands disposed of.</i>	19-05-2017	25-08-2017	Directorate	