

**Judgement cum Complianced Report as on Dated 19-May-17**

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
1	<u>CWP 10269/10</u> Coordination	Makhan Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	23-09-2015	<i>Consequently, these two writ petitions are allowed and the action of the respondents in creating distinct pay scales for the petitioners to that of the respondents is held to be discriminatory. As a result thereof, the petitioners would be held entitled to the same pay scales as admissible to the Lecturers of the Education Department. The respondents are directed to grant all consequential benefits to the petitioners resulting from such parity. The arrears be restricted to a period of 38 months prior to the filing of the writ petitions.</i>	10-11-2015		Directorate	Case has already been sent to F.D for further approval.
2	<u>CWP 1040/14</u> PGT-I	Parveen Kumar v/s State & ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as State of Haryana Vs.Gurmail Kaur
3	<u>CWP 10630/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Balwinder Kaur & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Junior Computer Instructor on contractual basis	03-02-2017	<i>For orders, see the judgment of the even date passed in CWP No. 9809 of 2016 titled as Sandeep Kumar &amp; others vs. State of Haryana &amp; others.</i>	22-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	
4	<u>CWP 1067/14</u> PGT-I	Balbir Singh v/s State & ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lect.in Physical Education	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
5	<u>CWP 10672/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Bimbla v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Continue to working on the post of Computer Teacher till the function of the post subsists or regular appointments are being made	03-02-2017	<i>In view of the very fair statement made above by the learned State counsel that the State Government has agreed to re-engage all the petitioners against their respective posts, no further orders are called for and the above noted petitions are disposed of accordingly with direction that appointment/posting orders be issued to all the petitioners on or before 28.02.2017.</i>	28-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	
6	<u>CWP 1098/16</u> PGT-II	Yashpal Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the transfer orders	15-02-2016	<i>A perusal of the afore-referred preliminary fact finding inquiry by the DEO, Rohtak shows recommendation of disciplinary proceedings against the Principal and the TGTs mentioned therein. It is directed that the final decision with regard to the same be taken by the competent Authority expeditiously but not later than one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	04-05-2016	03-06-2016	Directorate	
7	<u>CWP 11069/12</u> PEN-II	Parkash Chand Sharma, S.S. (Retd) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the pension, considering the qualified period from the date of appointment and further grant ACP/L.Encashment and revised scales w.e.f 1.1.06	02-09-2014	<i>For order, see Civil Writ Petition No.11192 of 2012. ORDERS OF CWP NO. 11192 OF 2012</i>  <i>In view of the aforesaid discussion, the writ petition is partly allowed in the above terms. The revised pensionary benefits shall be finalized within three months and thereafter the arrears be paid within next three months, of the receipt of the certified copy of this order.</i>	27-04-2015	26-07-2015	Directorate	<b>The benefit has been granted to the petitioner with the condition outcome of the decision of LPA No.1318/15</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
8	<u>CWP 11096/12</u> PEN-II	Chet Ram Gaur & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the pension, considering the qualified period from the date of appointment and further grant ACP/L.Encashment and revised scales w.e.f 1.1.06	02-09-2014	<i>For order, see Civil Writ Petition No.11192 of 2012. ORDERS OF CWP NO. 11192 OF 2012</i>  <i>In view of the aforesaid discussion, the writ petition is partly allowed in the above terms. The revised pensionary benefits shall be finalized within three months and thereafter the arrears be paid within next three months, of the receipt of the certified copy of this order.</i>	27-04-2015	26-07-2015	Directorate	BThe benefit has been granted to the petitioner with the condition outcome of the decision of LPA No.1318/15
9	<u>CWP 11213/16</u> HR(G-I)	Vandana Gupta v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the order of stoppage of two increment	28-07-2016	<i>The statutory appeal against the impugned order is pending before the appellate authority in the State Government since 9.12.2015 without any effective orders passed thereon. The petitioner has placed on record the copy of appeal by way of C.M. No.7207 of 2016, which is retained on record. In the circumstances, a direction is issued to the competent authority amongst the respondents to consider and decide the appeal by passing a reasoned order after hearing the petitioner. Let the exercise be done within 6 weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. With the above directions, the petition stands disposed of.</i>	03-08-2016	14-09-2016	Directorate	Personal Hearing done on 22.11.16 yet file not received from W.ACSSE
10	<u>CWP 11214/14</u> HR(G-I)	Dr.Deepak Kapur v/s State & Ors	Reg.Medical Reimbursement	12-07-2016	<i>In view of the above discussion, this petition is partly allowed; the order/letter dated 23.10.2013 (Annexure P-6) is set aside and a direction is given to the respondents to completely reimburse the medical bills pertaining to the treatment of late Mrs. Ved Prabha Kapur at PGIMER, Chandigarh as indoor and outdoor patient as per instructions/letter dated 28.05.2003(Annexure P-11), which provides for complete reimbursement of indoor and outdoor treatment for chronic disease.</i>	08-08-2016		Directorate	LPA filed by the petitioner and same is fixed for hearing on 26.05.17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
11	<u>CWP 11265/15</u> HR(PS)	Surinder Kumar Goel v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Excessive Fees Hike for different classes	14-09-2016	<p><i>For orders, see detailed order of even date passed in CWP No.19821 of 2014 'Parent's Welfare Association Vs. Central Board of Secondary Education and others'. CWP No.19821 OF 2014 ORDER</i></p> <p><i>Accordingly, the present writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner in CWP No.11265 of 2015 to file an appeal, in case so desired. The appeal be filed before the Appellate Authority For Subsequent orders see more within a period of one month from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. The said Appellate Authority will, thereafter, proceed to decide the same expeditiously. With the abovesaid observations, the present writ petitions are disposed of.</i></p>	22-09-2016	21-10-2016	Directorate	Hearing held by W.ACSSE on 22.11.16 and postponed for 30.11.16. Order awaited from W.ACSSE
12	<u>CWP 11576/12</u> T.Edu. Cell	Jyoti Ahlawat v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Allow to appear in the 4th semester of D.Ed course	04-11-2015	<p><i>Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed and direction is issued to respondent No.2 to issue the required enrolment number on the request of respondent No.3 dated 09.02.2011 (Annexure R-3/A) and declare the result of the petitioner. Needful be done within a period of one month from the receipt of the certified copy of this order</i></p>	07-12-2015	06-01-2016	Directorate	
13	<u>CWP 11592/13</u> PGT-I	Sukhdev Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Higher Pay with all consequential benefits i.e. arrears of pay, interest etc	11-07-2016	<p><i>Consequently, all the seven petitions are allowed. The monetary benefits flowing from this order are directed to be calculated and paid to the petitioner(s) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner(s) would be entitled to simple interest at the rate of 6% p.a. on the payment to be disbursed on account of the fact that the Department should have on its own accorded similar treatment to the petitioners in the wake of the decision rendered in Neelam Rani's case.</i></p>	03-08-2016	02-11-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
14	<u>CWP 11820/15</u> PGT-II	Jagvir Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count Adhoc Service for purpose of Seniority	11-02-2016	<p><i>Learned State counsel, on instructions from Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, Panchkula, has informed that the claim of the petitioner for rectification in the seniority has been examined by the department and on the basis of service record of respondent Nos.5 to 9, it has been found that the claim of the petitioner is admissible since the seniority of all the five respondents as on 01.10.2011 is liable to be 1 of 2 rectified. The benefit of seniority has been given by counting the Adhoc service of the respondent(s), whereby respondent No.5 was initially appointed as Lecturer in Chemistry in the year 1995 but in the seniority as on 01.10.2011, his initial appointment has shown w.e.f. 1994 and the department has started the process of rectifying the seniority list.</i></p> <p><i>In view of above, the present writ petition, at this stage, is disposed of with the directions to the respondent(s) department to rectify the seniority as per the service record, in accordance with law.</i></p>	01-04-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
15	<u>CWP 11869/00</u> HR(AS)	Girwar Singh v/s State & Ors.	Quashing of termination order passed by Management	19-07-2013	<p><i>The petitioner is entitled to give his salary particulars from the date of his termination of service till the age of superannuation and send a communication through a registered post making a demand for the amount which is due and payable with a copy mark to the State functionary as well. On such receipt, the respondent-Management shall pay the amount after satisfying itself about the correctness of the minimum scale applicable. The amounts shall be released with interest at 9% from the respective date when they fell due till the date of payment. The State shall also ensure that the amount is duly released within the period as stipulated and secure compliance report from the school. The State shall also be at liberty to take appropriate action for the misappropriation of funds that is clearly evident from the facts disclosed in this writ petition. It shall also be ensured that if the amounts are not paid, appropriate action as provided under the Act for non-compliance of direction of statutory breach is taken against the management.</i></p> <p><i>7. Both the writ petitions are ordered as above.</i></p>	23-08-2013		Directorate	<p><b>Compliance to be made by school management. Written to DEO Y.Ngr and management on 19.12.14, 01.1.15, 28.01.15 and 16.07.15 for compliance. Review petition filed by the school management pending in High Court for 10.05.17</b></p>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
16	<u>CWP 11939/13</u> HR(G-I)	Shadi Lal, (Retd.BEO) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release withheld gratuity and commutation of pension due to enquiry	02-02-2017	<i>The respondents are, accordingly, ordered to release the retiral benefits of the petitioner with interest at the rate of 9% per annum starting three months from the date of retirement till the date of payment. However, the order imposing 5% cut in the pension under Rules 2.2 (b) of the Punjab Civil Services (Vol. II), is maintained. Payment of retiral benefits be released within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The amount already deducted from the arrears of retiral benefits of the petitioner, is also ordered to be released to the petitioner within two months with interest at the rate of 9% per annum. Accordingly, petition is allowed partly.</i>	27-03-2017	26-05-2017	Directorate	A.G advice awaited for filing LPA
17	<u>CWP 11940/15</u> PGT-I	Shri Bhagwan v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	30-07-2015	<i>Be that as it may, once it is accepted that the facts of the present case are covered by Subhash Chand's case (supra), the present writ petition is disposed of in the same terms. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	07-09-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
18	<u>CWP 11976/16</u> HR(PS)	Geeta vVidya Mandir School, Shahbad, Markanda v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the letter dt.21.3.16 (Annexure P+8) wherein direction has been issued by respondent No.3, not to make any admission from the Academic Session 2016-17	28-06-2016	<p><i>Counsel appearing for the writ petitioner submits that the writ petition itself may be directed to be treated as a representation of the petitioner and a direction may be issued to the respondents to consider the representation and pass an appropriate orders within the time frame fixed by this Court.</i></p> <p><i>In view of the above limited prayer sought for by the counsel for the petitioner, the respondents are directed to treat the writ petition itself as representation of the petitioner-institution and dispose it within two months from the date of receipt of the order.</i></p> <p><i>The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i></p>	22-07-2016	21-09-2016	Directorate	First Land is be provided by M.C shahabad to the school. Report awaited.
19	<u>CWP 12022/15</u> HR(G-I)	Deb Singh Deswal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Selection Grade	23-02-2017	<p><i>It is not disputed that facts of the present case is covered with the CWP No.14527-2015 titled as Maman Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 28.03.2016.</i></p> <p><i>Learned Addl.AB has fairly accepted this fact that the judgment has been implemented.</i></p> <p><i>The instant petition stands disposed of in the same terms.</i></p>	22-03-2017	21-04-2017	Directorate	Write to concerned DEO for compliance



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
20	<u>CWP 12151/11</u> HR(PS)	Saraswati Vidya Mandir Sr.Sec.School Siha v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Permanent Recognition	18-10-2012	<p><i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.10273 of 2010 (Modern Hind Senior Secondary School, village Khori Lalan, Tauru, District Mewat Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on October 18,2012.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER OF CWP NO.10273 OF 2010</i></p> <p><i>The students, who have been admitted without any fault on their part, cannot be made to suffer for this illegal action of the petitioner schools. Accordingly, their result which has been declared is ordered to be regularised but this is no reason to permit the petitioner schools to escape responsibility for this action which is required to be curbed so as to avoid its reoccurrence in future by any Institution. The case, thus, is made out to burden the petitioners-Institutions with costs of `6,000/- per students admitted by petitioner -institutions in those classes for which the petitioner-institutions did not have recognition or affiliation from the competent authority as is required by the statute. Half of the cost imposed shall be deposited in the accounts of Legal Services Authority, Haryana and the remaining half shall be deposited in the Medication and Conciliation Cell functioning in this Court. The writ petitions otherwise are disposed of with the above directions.The copy of the order be sent to the Director, School Education, Haryana, for ensuring compliance of the order.</i></p> <p><i>The copy be also forwarded to the Advocate General, Haryana to ensure that the orders are properly complied with and implemented to recover the costs/damages and these are deposited in the appropriate</i></p>	29-11-2012		Directorate	File is pending with Legal Cell for opinion

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
					<i>head as directed above.</i>				
21	<u>CWP 12198/16</u> PGT-II	Usha Verma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Hindi	22-08-2016	<i>In the light of the facts and circumstance noticed herein above, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition in terms of granting the liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent No.2 as regards her claim and grievance. In the eventuality of any such representation being filed, respondent No.2 would be obligated to consider the same strictly in accordance with law and to dispose of the same by passing a well reasoned speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Disposed of.</i>	27-09-2016	26-01-2017	Directorate	
22	<u>CWP 12527/15</u> HR(G-II)	Ravi Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Disciplinary Proceeding against the petitioner for providing bogus experience certificate of Hindi Teacher	02-05-2016	<i>In view of the above discussion, the impugned show cause notice dated 27.05.2015/29.02.2015 (Annexure P-7) is set aside. However, a liberty is granted to the respondents to proceed further and pass fresh order after affording proper opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as per law. I(n case, any enquiry has to be conducted, the enquiry report should be made available before giving any fresh show cause notice to the petitioner. It is also made clear that in case, any adverse order is passed against the petitioner, he will be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy. Allowed in the aforesaid terms.</i>	10-08-2016		Directorate	<b>Sh.Roshan Lal, Retd. HCS has been appointed for conduct inquiry. The inquiry report is put up to Higher Authority</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
23	<u>CWP 12673/98</u> Coordination	Anil Bhatia & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	23-09-2015	<i>Consequently, these two writ petitions are allowed and the action of the respondents in creating distinct pay scales for the petitioners to that of the respondents is held to be discriminatory. As a result thereof, the petitioners would be held entitled to the same pay scales as admissible to the Lecturers of the Education Department. The respondents are directed to grant all consequential benefits to the petitioners resulting from such parity. The arrears be restricted to a period of 38 months prior to the filing of the writ petitions.</i>	10-11-2015		Directorate	Case has already been sent to F.D for further approval.
24	<u>CWP 12697/15</u> PGT-I	Kulwant Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	30-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur &amp; others Versus State of Haryana &amp; another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
25	<u>CWP 12728/16</u> HR(PS)	KM.Saraswati Seniro Secondary School, Tohana v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Schools are running in violation as they do not have recognition upto 10th and 12th but are still giving admissions for classes for which they are not recognized/authorized, therefore, they are operating without any lawful recognition, Schools are giving admissions without having any recognition and affiliation; the official respondents have no power to give temporary recognition/affiliation but still the schools are running on temporary affiliations.	22-06-2016	<i>In view of the limited prayer that is now made on behalf of the writ petitioner, we direct respondent Nos.1 to 4 to dispose of the representation purported to have been submitted on 26.04.2016 (Annexure P-1) on merits after giving an opportunity to the writ petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The writ petition stands disposed of.</i>	20-07-2016	19-09-2016	Directorate	Personal hearing date fixed for 19.05.17 before W.DSE
26	<u>CWP 12849/15</u> PGT-I	Parveen Bala v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Economics	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
27	<u>CWP 12853/15</u> PGT-I	Meena Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
28	<u>CWP 12906/15</u> PGT-I	Jagrati Lunial v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	30-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
29	<u>CWP 12936/15</u> PGT-I	Banke Behari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGTHindi	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
30	<u>CWP 12937/15</u> PGT-I	Anita Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
31	<u>CWP 12947/15</u> PGT-I	Vijay Kumari v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	02-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
32	<u>CWP 12973/05</u> Coordination	Jasbir Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	02-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as order Annexure P-6. The petitioners are entitled to 38 months arrears as well prior to filing of the present petition. The respondents are directed to release the amount/s due to the petitioners within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioners would be entitled to interest @ 12% per annum from the date/s the amount/s fell due. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	25-04-2017	24-07-2017	Directorate	
33	<u>CWP 1306/15</u> PGT-II	Parshot Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Retired from service on invalid pension	07-03-2017	<i>In the circumstances, the petition is allowed. The impugned order is set aside and the respondents are directed to grant the benefits of Section 47 (1) and (2) of the Act to the petitioner. Let the necessary exercise be carried out within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner would be entitled to claim interest @ 12 % per annum on the amount/s from the date/s it fell due. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	28-03-2017	27-06-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
34	<u>CWP 13064/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Kartar Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Closing of Block Institutes of Teacher Education	03-07-2015	<i>The petitioner, who is a teacher and resident of District Sirsa, has filed this petition challenging the decision of the State Government to close down Block Institutes for Teacher Education (BITE) at Ambala and Sirsa, with effect from 30.06.2015. In this regard, the petitioner has also submitted a detailed representation dated 19.06.2015 to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Haryana, copy of which has been annexed with the petition as Annexure P-22. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, without issuing notice of motion as it will un-necessary delay the matter, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, to consider and decide the said representation in accordance with law, expeditiously, keeping in view the needs of the area. Ordered accordingly.</i>	20-07-2015		Directorate	
35	<u>CWP 13339/15</u> PGT-I	Hardhyan Singh & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	07-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	23-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
36	<u>CWP 13521/15</u> PGT-I	Manju Lata v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	09-07-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur &amp; others Versus State of Haryana &amp; another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	07-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
37	<u>CWP 13544/10</u> PGT-II	Ravinder Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release of Salary with 18% interest alongwith all consequential benefits	30-07-2015	<i>It is apparent from the facts as mentioned above that there was no fault on the part of the petitioner and the petitioner has been harassed by sending him at different places where post was not vacant. The absence from duty cannot be attributed to the petitioner in any manner and as such, the present writ petition is allowed and the petitioner is held entitled to the salary for the period, he has been treated as absent from his duties and the respondents are directed to release the salary and to regularize the petitioner for that period.</i>	17-09-2015		Directorate	File submitted to F.D
38	<u>CWP 13555/16</u> Coordination	Anju Bala & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the action of the respondents declaring/showing online, on the website of the respondent department as "Deemed Vacancy" being compulsory Transfer Category (More than 5Years stay) of petitioner	14-07-2016	<i>With these observations and directions, the writ petitions are disposed of. A copy of this order be given dasti to Mr. Rathee under the signature of the Bench Secretary for onward transmission and compliance of quarters concerned. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of each connected case.</i>	22-07-2016		Directorate	
39	<u>CWP 13562/16</u> Coordination	Anju Vij & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the action of the respondents declaring/showing online, on the website of the respondent department as "Deemed Vacancy" being compulsory Transfer Category (More than 5Years stay) of petitioner	14-07-2016	<i>For detailed order, see judgment of even date passed in CWP No. 13555 of 2016 titled Anju Bala &amp; Ors. Vs. State of Haryana &amp; Ors.</i>	25-07-2016		Directorate	
40	<u>CWP 13661/16</u> Coordination	Ravinder Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge Transfer Policy 2016	14-07-2016	<i>For detailed order, see judgment of even date passed in CWP No. 13555 of 2016 titled Anju Bala &amp; Ors. Vs. State of Haryana &amp; Ors.</i>	26-07-2016		Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
41	<u>CWP 13680/16</u> Coordination	Dalbir Singh (TGT) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.The petitioner appointment as a teacher by direct recruitment he was a TCT in the same school and his tenure of five years is protected by the transfer policy which should run from the date of direct recruitment and thus his previous service should not be counted towards five years.	14-07-2016	<i>For the grievance the petitioner is relegated to approach the administrative authorities to look into the grouse and take a decision thereon. In case an order adverse to the petitioner is to be passed and if the petitioner asks for personal hearing the same shall be granted. With these observations and directions the petitioner stands disposed of.</i>	03-08-2016		Directorate	
42	<u>CWP 13774/15</u> PGT-I	Anindita v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	21-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of order dated 27.05.2015 passed in CWP No.7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others vs. State of Haryana and another.</i>	26-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
43	<u>CWP 13826/16</u> Coordination	Santosh Rani & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the action of the respondents declaring/showing online, on the website of the respondent department as "Deemed Vacancy" being compulsory Transfer Category (More than 5Years stay) of petitioner	20-07-2016	<i>This petition stands disposed of in terms of the order passed in CWP No.13555 of 2016 (Anju Bala &amp; ors. v. State of Haryana &amp; ors.) decided on 14.7.2016 since the prayer is identical.</i>	26-07-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
44	<u>CWP 13873/16</u> Coordination	Rashmi & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	22-07-2016	<i>Counsel for the parties are ad idem that identical issue has already been dealt by a Coordinate Bench of this Court i.e. (Rajiv Narain Raina, J.) in CWP No.13555 of 2016 Anju Bala and others Vs. State of Haryana and others and other connected petitions decided on 14.07.2016. In the light of such consensus shown by the respective counsel, these two writ petitions are also disposed of in terms of order dated 14.07.2016 passed by this Court in Anju Bala and others' case (supra). Petitions are disposed of.</i>	23-08-2016		Directorate	
45	<u>CWP 13876/15</u> PGT-I	Parveen Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	21-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of order dated 27.05.2015 passed in CWP No.7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others vs. State of Haryana and another.</i>	28-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
46	<u>CWP 13899/16</u> Coordination	Sudhir Singh (Lect.in Geography) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the action of the respondents declaring/showing online, on the website of the respondent department as "Deemed Vacancy" being compulsory Transfer Category (More than 5Years stay) of petitioner	18-07-2016	<i>This petition stands disposed of in terms of the order passed in CWP No.13555 of 2016 (Anju Bala &amp; ors. v. State of Haryana &amp; ors.) decided on 14.7.2016 since the prayer is identical.</i>	26-07-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
47	<u>CWP 13977/16</u> Coordination	Seema Rani & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the action of the respondents declaring/showing online, on the website of the respondent department as "Deemed Vacancy" being compulsory Transfer Category (More than 5Years stay) of petitioner	18-07-2016	<i>This petition stands disposed of in terms of the order passed in CWP No.13555 of 2016 (Anju Bala &amp; ors. v. State of Haryana &amp; ors.) decided on 14.7.2016 since the prayer is identical.</i>	26-07-2016		Directorate	
48	<u>CWP 14131/16</u> HR(ME)	Mukesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	10-01-2017	<i>Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the case of the petitioners is covered by the judgment of this Court, passed in CWP No.18296 of 2014 (Annexure P-6) decided on 04.09.2014 titled as Sanjay and others Vs. State of Haryana and others. I find this to be indeed so. Consequently the present petition is disposed of in terms of the aforesaid order (Annexure P-6). Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	20-02-2017	19-06-2017	Directorate	13/91-16 ME(4) Matter has been sent to Higher Authority for final decision
49	<u>CWP 14182/16</u> Coordination	Indu Bala v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	22-07-2016	<i>Counsel for the parties are ad idem that identical issue has already been dealt by a Coordinate Bench of this Court i.e. (Rajiv Narain Raina, J.) in CWP No.13555 of 2016 Anju Bala and others Vs. State of Haryana and others and other connected petitions decided on 14.07.2016. In the light of such consensus shown by the respective counsel, these two writ petitions are also disposed of in terms of order dated 14.07.2016 passed by this Court in Anju Bala and others' case (supra). Petitions are disposed of.</i>	23-08-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
50	<u>CWP 14200/16</u> Coordination	Smt.Mukesh Goyat (Lect.in Chemistry) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Approving or rejecting or choosing the transfer options, on account of non approval of service profile of the petitioner, which are available on the website of the respondents i.e. Education Department	21-07-2016	<i>Counsel for the parties are ad idem that identical issue has already been dealt by a Coordinate Bench of this Court i.e. (Rajiv Narain Raina, J.) in CWP No.13555 of 2016 Anju Bala and others Vs. State of Haryana and others and other connected petitions decided on 14.7.2016. In the light of such consensus shown by the respective counsel, these two writ petitions are also disposed of in terms of order dated 14.7.2016 passed by this Court in Anju Bala and others' case (supra). Petitions are disposed of.</i>	29-07-2016		Directorate	
51	<u>CWP 14207/16</u> Coordination	Suman (Lect.Mathematics) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the action of the respondents declaring/showing online, on the website of the respondent department as "Deemed Vacancy" being compulsory Transfer Category (More than 5Years stay) of petitioner	21-07-2016	<i>This petition is covered by the decision of this Court rendered in CWP No.13555 of 2016 titled 'Anju Bala &amp; others Vs. State of Haryana &amp; others' decided on 14.07.2016. Consequently, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of Anju Bala's case.</i>	26-07-2016		Directorate	
52	<u>CWP 14209/16</u> Coordination	Sikender Singh (PGT History) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Approving or rejecting or choosing the transfer options, on account of non approval of service profile of the petitioner, which are available on the website of the respondents i.e. Education Department	21-07-2016	<i>Petition is disposed of. For details see order of even date passed in CWP No.14200 of 2016 titled as Smt. Mukesh Goyat Vs. State of Haryana &amp; others.</i>	29-07-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
53	<u>CWP 14212/16</u> Coordination	Kiran Devi & Anr. (PGT Chemistry) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Provide equal opportunity in Transfer Drive 2016 who have been promoted from the post of TGT to PGT by Directorate of Secondary Education to the petitioner by including/updating their profile by rectifying the fault in MIS portal before initiation of process under transfer drive 2016	22-07-2016	<i>In the light of the limited grievance raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition by granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondent authorities/competent authority by filing a comprehensive representation. It is further directed that in the eventuality of the petitioners submitting a written claim before respondent No.2/3, they would be obligated to consider the same and to take a final decision thereupon within a period of one week from the date of submission of the representation. Disposed of.</i>	08-08-2016		Directorate	
54	<u>CWP 14217/16</u> Coordination	Smt. Seema Garg (Lect.in Physics) v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Quashing the action of the respondents who have bared the petitioner from approving or rejecting or choosing the transfer options, on account of non approval of service profile of the petitioner, which are available on the website of the respondents i.e. Education Department	21-07-2016	<i>This petition is covered by the decision of this Court rendered in CWP No.13555 of 2016 titled 'Anju Bala &amp; others Vs. State of Haryana &amp; others' decided on 14.07.2016. Consequently, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of Anju Bala's case.</i>	04-08-2016		Directorate	
55	<u>CWP 14231/16</u> Coordination	Kapoor Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the transfer policy 2016	21-07-2016	<i>This petition is covered by the decision of this Court rendered in CWP No.13555 of 2016 titled 'Anju Bala &amp; others Vs. State of Haryana &amp; others' decided on 14.07.2016. Consequently, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of Anju Bala's case.</i>	10-08-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
56	<u>CWP 14266/11</u> PEN-II	Mange Ram Saini v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Retiral Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. 14.05.2015</i>	19-06-2015	18-10-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.10564/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15
57	<u>CWP 14326/16</u> Coordination	Dharmender Goyat v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Approving or rejecting or choosing the transfer options, on account of non approval of service profile of the petitioner, which are available in the website of the respondents i.e. Education Department	25-07-2016	<i>In the light of the short controversy raised in the petition. I deem it appropriate to disposed of the present petition with a direction to respondent no.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner in the light of representation dated 1.7.20016 (Annexure P-2) i.e. stated to have already been submitted through e-mail and to take the requisite corrective measures/action as respondent no.2 may deem fit expeditiously and in any case within a period of one week from today. Disposed of.</i>	29-07-2016	02-08-2016	Directorate	
58	<u>CWP 14439/16</u> Coordination	Jagdish Chander v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the Transfer Policy 2016	23-07-2016	<i>This petition stands disposed of in terms of the order passed in CWP No.13555 of 2016 (Anju Bala &amp; ors. v. State of Haryana &amp; ors.) decided on 14.7.2016 since the prayer is identical.</i>	09-08-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
59	<u>CWP 14476/15</u> HR(G-I)	Karan Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Revised Pay Scales	23-02-2017	<i>It is not disputed that facts of the present case is covered with the CWP No. 14527-2015 titled as Maman Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 28.03.2016. Learned Addl. AG has fairly accepted this fact that the judgment has been implemented. The instant petition stands disposed of in the same terms.</i>	22-03-2017	21-04-2017	Directorate	Write to concerned DEO for compliance
60	<u>CWP 14605/15</u> HR(ME)	Inder Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Services	09-03-2017	<i>In the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take a final decision on the claim of the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	05-04-2017	04-07-2017	Directorate	13/157-15 ME(3)

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
61	<u>CWP 14622/15</u> HR(ME)	Sita Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	18-01-2017	<i>Admittedly, the petitioner was initially appointed as a part time employee and her services were not regularized on par with similarly situated persons. Thus, the petitioner filed a petition before this court. This court directed the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner pursuant to the orders of this court dated 04.05.2012 passed in CWP No.8260 of 2012. The respondents have regularized the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 08.05.2003. Denial of arrears from 08.05.2003 till the date of order of regularization is highly arbitrary for the reasons that an employee would get all service benefits from the date of regularization of her service. Contention of the respondents that there were no sanctioned post as on 08.05.2003. If it is so question of regularization would not have arisen as on 08.05.2003. Therefore, denial of arrears from 08.05.2003 till 11.02.2013 is highly arbitrary. Thus, the portion of denial of arrears to the petitioner in Annexure P5 dated 05.03.2014 is set aside. The concerned respondent is directed to calculate arrears of pay from 08.05.2003 to 11.02.2013 and disburse the same to the petitioner within a period of 6 months from today. CWP is allowed.</i>	27-02-2017	17-07-2017	Directorate	DEO Sonepat has directed to get opinion from L.R & A.G for further appeal
62	<u>CWP 14953/15</u> PGT-I	Jaspal Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for teh post of PGT Hindi	24-07-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	30-09-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs.State of Haryana



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
63	<u>CWP 15065/13</u> Coordination	Brahm Parkash & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grade Pay	04-11-2015	<i>Having regard to the aforesaid, the instant petition is disposed of as having become satisfied with the directions to the respondents to conclude the process within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and release the consequential benefits to the petitioners within a period of one month thereafter.</i>	01-12-2015	31-01-2016	Directorate	Case has already been sent to F.D for further approval. COCP No.1969/16 has been received on 31.08.16 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.01.17
64	<u>CWP 15367/16</u> Coordination	Harpal & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer of the petitioners from the present post of respondent No.4 school in view of work allocation guideline letter dt. 29.06.16 and class/subject wise enrollment data for classes 9 to 12 furnished by respondent No.4 school on MIS Portal treating 30.09.15 as cut of date.	02-08-2016	<i>the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director Secondary Education, Haryana-respondent No.2 to examine the matter and to take a final decision on a communication dated 12.07.2016 (Annexure P-10) which has been sent by the Principal of the school in question and supporting the claim and contention of the petitioners. final decision by passing a well reasoned order be taken within a period of two weeks from today. Transfer of the petitioners, in the meantime, shall not be effected and the same would be subject to the passing of the order by the Director Secondary Education, Haryana in compliance of this order. Disposed of.</i>	29-08-2016	16-08-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
65	<u>CWP 15459/16</u> Coordination	Raghubir Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Provide equal opportunity in Transfer Drive 2016 who have been promoted from the post of TGT to PGT by Directorate of Secondary Education to the petitioner by including/updating their profile by rectifying the fault in MIS portal before initiation of process under Transfer Drive 2016	02-08-2016	<i>In the light of such limited grievance raised, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent authorities/competent authority by filing a comprehensive representation. It is further directed that in the eventuality of the petitioner submitting a written claim before respondents no.2 and 3, they would be obligated to consider the same and to take a final decision thereupon within a period of one week from the date of submission of the representation. Petition disposed of.</i>	29-08-2016		Directorate	
66	<u>CWP 155/16</u> PGT-II	Haryana Vidhyalaya Adhyapak Sangh,Ratia v/s Sttate & Ors	Reg.For making promotions of Masters (TGT) to the post of Lecturer (PGT) who are eligible under the rules which were applicable at the relevant time when the post of promotional quota came in existece	12-01-2016	<i>Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 25.08.2015 (Annexure P-11), issued on behalf of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, the petitioner would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.</i>	09-02-2016	08-06-2016	Directorate	<b>Matter under consideration for the claim of promotion as per Rule 1998. Personal hearing given to the petitioner by W.DSE for 17.06.16</b>
67	<u>CWP 15508/14</u> HR(G-I)	Sumedha Taneja, DEEO (Retd.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.1% cut in pension for whole life has been imposed and further imposed the recovery of Rs.6.32,154/- from the retiral benefits	27-05-2016	<i>For reasons recorded in order of even dated passed in CWP No.15574 of 2014 titled as Sarita Chaudhary versus State of Haryana &amp; another, the instant writ petition is allowed.</i>	10-08-2016	09-10-2016	Directorate	<b>File sent to Higher Authority for compliance</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
68	<u>CWP 1556/14</u> PGT-I	Rajesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Physics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
69	<u>CWP 15574/14</u> HR(G-I)	Sarita Chaudhary DEEO (Retd.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned order dt. 05.08.11 vide which 1% cut in pension for whole life has been impugned and further imposed recovery of an amount of Rs.10,25,638/- from the retiral benefits of the petitioner	27-05-2016	<i>The only ground taken by the respondents in the written statement is that vide letter dated 14.02.2008 issued by Financial Commissioner &amp; Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Industries, Department, Chandigarh, the Khadi Board was approved for handmade paper items manufactured by this unit. While filing the written statement carelessly letters Annexure R-2 and R-3 dated 30.04.2001 have been placed on record, which do not relate to the Khadi Board. Hence they do not have any relevance for deciding this writ petition.  This petition is allowed in terms of judgment dated 02.08.2013 passed by this Court in CWP No.6315 of 2012 titled Suman Nain Versus State of Haryana.</i>	10-08-2016	09-10-2016	Directorate	File sent to Higher Authority for compliance
70	<u>CWP 15588/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Sri Hari Krishan Education Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Permission for D.Ed Courses	13-07-2015	<i>In view of the aforesaid submissions and prayer made by the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the prayer of the petitioner, which is still pending with it, having been sent by respondent No.3, within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law.  Disposed of.</i>	13-08-2015	20-08-2015	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
71	<u>CWP 15605/15</u> PGT-I	Seema v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	31-07-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed, in terms of judgment dated 04.06.2015 rendered in CWP No.5781 of 2015 titled as Subhash Chand vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	18-08-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
72	<u>LPA 1561/16 in</u> <u>CWP</u> <u>No.16274/16</u> Coordination	Sunil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer of Guest Faculty	26-08-2016	<i>Though the permission, if any granted by the Competent Authority for joining LLB degree is not on record, nevertheless, we are of the considered view that transfer being an incidence of service, learned Single Judge has rightly declined to interfere with the same. However, the appellant may approach the Competent Authority and make out a case based upon the mitigating circumstances, including the utility of LLB degree with reference to the nature of duties he is required to perform. We have no reason to doubt that the Competent Authority shall sympathetically consider the appellant's request. It shall be appreciated if the decision is taken within a period of one month from the date of making a request by the appellant. Ordered accordingly.</i>	19-09-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
73	<u>CWP 15614/15</u> HR(G-II)	Shiv Charan v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Ante dated promotion with all consequential benefits	28-03-2017	<p><i>Short question for consideration whether the petitioner is entitled for monetary benefits in the cadre of Headmaster during the period from 28.8.2009 to 16.4.2013 on the sole ground that the petitioner has not discharged the duties of the post of Head Master or not. It is undisputed that the petitioners' juniors were promoted to the post of Head Master on 28.8.2009 ignoring the petitioner's claim despite he being senior. The respondents compelled the petitioner to approach this Court and obtain an order. Pursuant to the order of this Court, the respondents have proceeded to promote the petitioner to the post of Head Master w.e.f. 28.8.2009. Thus, the respondents have prevented the petitioner in discharging the duties of the post of Headmaster. Had the respondents promoted the petitioner as and when juniors to the petitioners were promoted to the post of Head Master on 28.8.2009, the present situation would not have arisen. Therefore, Annexure P-8 dated 29.5.2014 is set aside. The petitioner is entitled for monetary benefits during the intervening period from 28.8.2009 to 16.4.2013. The same shall be calculated and disbursed to the petitioner by the competent authority within a period of 4 months from today. Petition stands allowed.</i></p>	15-05-2017	27-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
74	<u>CWP 1567/17</u> PGT-I	Om Parkash & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Refixation/ACP	30-01-2017	<i>In my opinion, it would be appropriate if the present writ petition is treated as representation and respondent No.2 decides the same by passing speaking order within two months from today. Ordered accordingly. However, it is made clear that till the passing of the speaking order, recovery shall not be made from the petitioners. Disposed of accordingly. Copy of this order be given dasti to the learned State counsel under the signatures of Reader/Bench Secretary.</i>	06-02-2017	29-03-2017	Directorate	
75	<u>CWP 1570/07</u> PEN-I	Narender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant of job under companssinate policy	17-02-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair request. The respondents are directed to make the payment of ` 2.5 lacs to the petitioner within a period of three months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order failing which the petitioner would be entitled to recover the same with interest @ 12% p.a from today. Petition stands disposed of. Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.</i>	07-03-2017	06-06-2017	Directorate	
76	<u>CWP 1573/15</u> PGT-I	Surinder Kaur & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Punjabi & Mathematics	29-01-2015	<i>After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, however, without opining on the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take a final decision on the show cause notices issued to the petitioners, to which they have already filed replies, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.</i>	10-02-2015	09-04-2015	Directorate	<b>Speaking order in Surinder Kaur case has been passed another petitioner i.e. Rajesh Kaur case is under process</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
77	<u>CWP 15739/16</u> HR(ME)	Mandeep & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Regularization of Services	10-11-2016	<i>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 26.05.2016 (Annexure P5) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	28-11-2016	27-03-2017	Directorate	Report awaited from concerned DEO
78	<u>CWP 15875/14</u> PGT-II	Seema Chaudhary v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	04-05-2016	<i>In view of the above, at this stage, the present petition is disposed of by giving directions to the respondents to make an attempt to adjust the petitioner at Government Senior Secondary School, Sector 19, Panchkula as per the instructions dated 4.5.2016 and further the petitioner shall be retained in Government Model Senior Secondary School, Sector 15, Panchkula till the adjustment is made.</i>	17-05-2016		Directorate	File put up to the Competent Authority for adjustment of the petitioner
79	<u>CWP 15967/05</u> Coordination	Dilawar Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	02-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as order Annexure P-5. The petitioners are entitled to 38 months arrears as well prior to filing of the present petition. The respondents are directed to release the amount/s due to the petitioners within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioners would be entitled to interest @ 12% per annum from the date/s the amount/s fell due. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	25-04-2017	24-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
80	<u>CWP 15997/00</u> PGT-II	Amrik Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of Lecturer in Punjabi	27-01-2017	<i>Concerned respondents are directed to verify the service particulars of the petitioners as well as juniors to the petitioners and if the petitioners are otherwise eligible to the post of Lecturer in Punjabi, their name shall be considered for promotion to the post of Lecturer in Punjabi from the date of juniors' promotion i.e. 24.3.1998. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of four months from today. Further consequential benefits including monetary benefits i.e. difference of pay shall be extended to the petitioners. Disposed of in the above terms.</i>	14-03-2017	26-05-2017	Directorate	
81	<u>CWP 16156/16</u> Coordination	Kaminder Kaur v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	10-08-2016	<i>Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide upon the grievance/ representations of the petitioner by treating this petition as a supplementary representation within a period of 7 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. The competent authority in making its decision would consider the law in Shilpi Bose (Mrs.) v. State of Bihar, AIR 1991 SC 532 and Union of India and others v. S.L. Abbas, 1995 (4) SCT 455. The petitioner shall not be relieved from the present place of posting till re-examination of her case.</i>	18-08-2016	25-07-2016	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
82	<u>CWP 16201/16</u> Coordination	Pramila Dahiya v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the Transfer Policy 2016	10-08-2016	<i>These are matters which require to be agitated and to be considered by the employer/department concerned in the first instance. The instant petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a representation against the impugned transfer directly to the Director, School Education, Haryana/respondent no.2, within a period of two days from today. In the eventuality of the petitioner doing so respondent no.2 would be obligated to examine the matter and to take a final view thereupon within a period of seven days from the date of submission of the representation. Status quo as regards place of posting of the petitioner as it exists today shall be maintained for a period of 10 days from today. Petition is disposed of. A copy of this order be furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of Bench Secretary.</i>	11-08-2016	20-08-2016	Directorate	
83	<u>CWP 16268/16</u> Coordination	Sneh Lata v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quash the transfer order dt.06.08.16by which the petitioner has been transferred	11-08-2016	<i>Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide upon the grievance/representation of the petitioner by treating this petition as a supplementary representation within a period of 7 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. The competent authority in making its decision would consider the law in Shilpi Bose (Mrs.) v. State of Bihar, AIR 1991 SC 532 and Union of India and others v. S.L. Abbas, 1995 (4) SCT 455. The petitioner shall not be relieved from the present place of posting till re-examination of her case.</i>	24-08-2016	31-08-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
84	<u>CWP 16481/16</u> Coordination	Aruna & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	16-08-2016	<i>In view of the position explained, instead of calling for a reply from the State and delaying the matter, it would suffice if a direction is issued for consideration of the representation by respondent No.2 – Director,Secondary Education, Haryana to examine the case of the petitioner with reference to the criteria, with weightage towards age, zoning, option etc. This Court has no doubt that the Director, Secondary Education, Haryana will look personally into the matter and take a decision after hearing the petitioner in his office or over the official telephone, as the case may be, depending on mutual convenience, and to do so within a period of two/three days from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Disposed of accordingly. Order dasti.</i>	31-08-2016	03-09-2016	Directorate	
85	<u>CWP 16495/15</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	18-08-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in terms of judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 5781 of 2015 decided on 4.6.2015 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	24-08-2015		Directorate	<b>The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
86	<u>COCP 1699/15</u> <u>in LPA</u> <u>No.642/12</u> PGT-I	Sunila v/s Sh.T.C.Gupta, IAS & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lecturer Hindi	17-01-2017	<i>The petitioner is at liberty to move representation to the authorities concerned within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case, any such representation is moved, the respondents are directed to consider the same within a period of two months thereafter and take necessary action in accordance with law. The contempt petition stands disposed of.</i>	14-02-2017	13-04-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
87	<u>CWP 17038/16</u> HR(PS)	MNV School Parents Welfare Association, Faridabad v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Fee	23-11-2016	<i>In CWP No.23545 of 2016, it is further clarified that the petitioners admittedly have been expelled from the school on account of non-payment on 03.11.2016. Accordingly, it is open to them to deposit the fee, subject to the final adjudication by the competent authority. On the deposit of the fee, the respondent-school shall re-admit them, subject to the final decision. The school, however, shall not charge any penalty or additional fee for re-admission. With the abovesaid observations, the present writ petitions are disposed of.</i>	18-01-2017	17-04-2017	Directorate	
88	<u>CWP 17113/15</u> PGT-II	Sanjay Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Refixation of Pay	19-08-2015	<i>After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and noticing the grievance as expressed in the petition, I am of the considered view that this petition needs to be disposed of at this stage with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner as contained in the legal notice dated 8.4.2015 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Ordered accordingly.</i>	16-10-2015	15-12-2015	Directorate	Claim rejected. File put up for signature.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
89	<u>CWP 17151/15</u> HR(PS)	Asian Educational Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Permission for new open school	26-09-2016	<i>In such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the impugned orders dated 19.3.2015 (Annexure P/7) and 8.5.2015 (Annexure P/11) cannot be held to be sustainable and the same are quashed. The respondent-Board is directed to refund the amount of ` 3 lacs to the petitioner-school within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is, however, open to the respondent-Board to take a fresh decision on the said show cause notice after taking into consideration the reply which was filed by the petitioner-school. In case the same is to be done, the necessary exercise be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed.</i>	19-10-2016	18-01-2017	Directorate	
90	<u>CWP 17329/13</u> PEN-II	Madan Lal Makkar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Revised Pensionary Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others decided on 08.10.2012 by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	17-06-2015	16-10-2015	Directorate	<b>Recalling order by filing CM No.11063/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 05.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15</b>
91	<u>CWP 17480/13</u> NPE(CEC)	Vikrant & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay theSalary for the period from April 2012 till date with interest	12-08-2015	<i>If any such representation liberty for which has been sought above is made, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the same by passing a well reasoned and speaking order expeditiously, but not later than four months from the date of receipt of such representation.</i>	16-09-2015	15-01-2016	Directorate	<b>No representation has been received from the petitioners so far</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
92	<u>CWP 17541/15</u> PGT-I	Nirmala Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Skt.	24-08-2015	<i>Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take decision on the representation dated 08.04.2015 (Annexures P-8) submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, she would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.</i>	07-10-2015	06-01-2016	Directorate	Submitted to W.DSE for fixing the date of personal hearing
93	<u>CWP 17542/16</u> Coordination	Saroj Malik v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer Policy	29-08-2016	<i>Instant petition is disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a comprehensive representation as regards her grievance against cancellation of transfer vide order dated 10.08.2016 (Annexure P-6) before respondent No.2. In the eventuality of any such representation being preferred within a period of one week from today, respondent No.2 would be obligated to look into the matter on merits and to take a final view/decision thereupon within a further period of two weeks thereafter. Disposed of.</i>	07-09-2016	19-09-2016	Directorate	
94	<u>CWP 1760/14</u> PGT-I	Ravinder Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur &amp; others Versus State of Haryana &amp; another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	21-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
95	<u>CWP 17606/16</u> PGT-II	Dr.Sunil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	29-08-2016	<i>The Director General School Education will look into this grievance within two or three days from the date of receipt of the order and take a fair and just decision on the representation on this special feature which constitutes the ground of challenge. Disposed of.</i>	14-09-2016	17-09-2016	Directorate	
96	<u>CWP 17616/16</u> Coordination	Mahender Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer Policy	29-08-2016	<i>Accordingly, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a representation as regards his grievance. In the eventuality of any such representation being preferred, respondent no.2 would be obligated to consider the same and to take a final view/decision thereupon, within a period of 10 days from the date of the representation. Petition disposed of.</i>	07-09-2016		Directorate	
97	<u>CWP 17719/14</u> HR(G-I)	Sandeep Kumar & Ors. v/s iState & Ors.	Reg.Consequential benefits of promotion including arrears of pay which were payable to the said Sh.Ved Pal Singh	02-07-2015	<i>Consequently, this writ petition is allowed and the respondents are directed to work out arrears and pay the same to the petitioner within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which the petitioner would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% p.a from the date/s the same fell due till the date of payment.</i>	24-08-2015	23-11-2015	Directorate	LPA No.214/16 has been filed and admitted. To be heard within one year. Stayed the impugned order
98	<u>CWP 17743/16</u> PGT-II	Pawan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	30-08-2016	<i>The Director General School Education will look into this grievance within two or three days from the date of receipt of the order and take a fair and just decision on the representation on this special feature which constitutes the ground of challenge. Disposed of.</i>	06-09-2016	09-09-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
99	<u>CWP 17945/10</u> PEN-I	Sushila Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Compansate Appointment	10-03-2017	<i>In the circumstances, it has to be held that case of the petitioner would have to be considered under 2006 Rules. In normal circumstances, I would have asked the litigants to exercise their option under 2006 Rules but since an amount of Rs.2.5 lacs has already been granted to the petitioner and her son, it would have to be considered that they had exercised option of lumpsum payment and therefore would be entitled to further payment of Rs.2.5 lacs in equal share and that amount be paid to the petitioner and her son within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner and her son would be entitled to claim interest thereon @ 12% p.a. from today. Petition stands disposed of. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	03-04-2017	02-06-2017	Directorate	File is under process

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
100	<u>CWP 18183/15</u> PGT-II	Ravinder Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pay Fixation	31-08-2015	<p><i>Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2</i></p> <p><i>to take decision on the legal notice dated 05.03.2015 (Annexures P-7) issued on behalf of the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.</i></p>	15-09-2015	14-01-2016	Directorate	<p>13/166-15 HRL-II(3)</p> <p><b>File put up to Higher Authority for compliance. Matter under consideration for seniority</b></p>
101	<u>CWP 18530/16</u> Coordination	Anita Aggarwal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Allow to continue as Lecturer in Economics (Guest Lect.) till the regular appointment are made	23-02-2017	<p><i>I find this to be a fair submission. Keeping in view the plight as portrayed, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Ordered accordingly.</i></p> <p><i>Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i></p>	21-03-2017	20-06-2017	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
102	<u>CWP 18533/16</u> Coordination	Manjit Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer of Lecturer-cum-NCC Officer	07-09-2016	<p><i>In the light of the facts pleaded and submissions made by counsel, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition in terms of granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the competent authority i.e.</i></p> <p><i>the Additional Chief Secretary, School Education Department, State of Haryana/respondent no.2 directly as regards the grievance that the petitioners have portrayed against their transfer. In the eventuality of any such representation being preferred, respondent no.2 would be obligated to take a final view on the same within a period of seven days from the date of submission of the representation.</i></p> <p><i>As an interim measure status quo as regards place of posting of the petitioners as it exists today, shall be maintained for a period of 10 days from today.</i></p> <p><i>Petition disposed of.</i></p> <p><i>A copy of this order be furnished to learned counsel for the petitioners under the signatures of Bench Secretary.</i></p>	19-09-2016		Directorate	
103	<u>CWP 18670/11</u> Coordination	Pawan Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Vocation Lecturer to treat as a part of the School Education Cadre	11-05-2016	<p><i>It has remained undisputed that the relief thought by the petitioners in the instant writ petition has already been sought by another applicants/petitioners before this Court in CWP No.10269/10 titled as Makhan Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and another, decided on 23.09.15. therefore, the parity of reasoning, this petition is disposed of in terms of the judgment dated 23.09.2015 rendered in CWP No.10269/10.</i></p>	03-08-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
104	<u>CWP 18819/15</u> T.Edu. Cell	Sarita Jain College Education v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Permission for D.Ed Course	08-09-2015	<i>Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to decide the above issues within a period of 10 days from from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say that in case the relief is to be denied, the order should contain reasons.</i>	30-09-2015	10-10-2015	Directorate	
105	<u>CWP 1885/11</u> Coordination	Mahinder Singh & ORs. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.All benefits of such merger including seniority avenues of promotion parity in payscales etc.	11-05-2016	<i>In view of the above, the present writ petition is being disposed of in terms of CWP No.10269 of 2010 titled as Makhan Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others, decide on 23.09.2015 and CWP No.6266 of 2010 titled as Raghbir Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others, decided on 26.08.2015</i>	03-08-2016		Directorate	
106	<u>CWP 18899/16</u> PGT-II	Anoop Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Physical Education	09-11-2016	<i>Without making any observations on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to disposed of the petition with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	21-11-2016	20-02-2017	Directorate	
107	<u>CWP 19437/10</u> HR(AS)	Usha Sabharwal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Reinstate with all consequential benefits including arrears of salary with 12% interest from date due till the date of payment.	20-02-2017	<i>Learned State counsel has also raised an argument that under the subsequent 2008 Rules, the cadre of Craft Teacher (Tailoring &amp; Cutting) had been declared as a dying cadre and no fresh appointment is being made in that cadre. In my opinion, the adjustment of the petitioner in terms of Sub Rule 3 of Rule 59 of the Higher Education Rules 2003 would not amount to reviving the cadre. Consequently, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as passed in that judgment (Annexure A-1).</i>	17-03-2017		Directorate	File put up to Legal Cell for opinion

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
108	<u>CWP 19458/16</u> PGT-II	Ram Giri v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	20-09-2016	<p><i>In the eventuality of any representation being preferred by the petitioner in such regard, respondent No.1 would be obligated to consider the same in accordance with law and against the backdrop of the relevant transfer policy formulated by the State Government and to take a final view thereupon within a period of 07 days from the date of submission of the representation.</i></p> <p><i>Status quo as regards place of posting of the petitioner as it exists today shall be maintained for a period of 10 days.</i></p> <p><i>A copy of this order be furnished to counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.</i></p> <p><i>Disposed of.</i></p>	22-09-2016	29-09-2016	Directorate	
109	<u>CWP 19580/15</u> HR(ME)	Ramphool v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release the benefits including pension gratuity and leave encashment alongwith interest@ 24%	21-12-2016	<p><i>Since, the retiral benefits were released with delay, the petitioner is entitled to interest @ 9% per annum starting three months from the date of retirement till actual payment. The respondents are directed to release the said interest within two months.</i></p> <p><i>However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the salary has not been paid for the suspension period, for which, he will file a separate petition to claim the said benefit.</i></p> <p><i>The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i></p>	17-01-2017	20-02-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
110	<u>CWP 19736/16</u> PGT-I	Brahma Nand & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned Note-III of Appendix-B (Annexure P-I) dated 11.04.12 and in consequence the substituted provision of corrigendum dt. 01.09.16 (Annexure P-8) being ultra vires to Article 14 of the constitution of India and being unjustified, arbitrary and having no nexus with the ultimate object to be achieved amounting to bad effect of birth marks throughout the life of a candidate	03-11-2016	<i>Learned counsel for the petitioners rightly points out that identical issue arose for consideration before this Court in CWP No.22554 of 2016 titled as Lakhbir Singh and others vs State of Haryana and another,decided on 27.10.2016. The instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</i>	28-11-2016	27-03-2017	Directorate	File is being put up
111	<u>CWP 19821/14</u> HR(PS)	Parent's Welfare Association v/s Central Board of Secondary Education & Ors.	Reg.Excessive Fees Hike for different classes	14-09-2016	<i>Accordingly, the present writ petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioner in CWP No.11265 of 2015 to file an appeal, in case so desired. The appeal be filed before the Appellate Authority For Subsequent orders see more within a period of one month from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. The said Appellate Authority will, thereafter, proceed to decide the same expeditiously. With the abovesaid observations, the present writ petitions are disposed of.</i>	22-09-2016	21-10-2016	Directorate	Hearing held by W.ACSSE on 22.11.16 and postponed for 30.11.16. Order awaited from W.ACSSE

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
112	<u>CWP 19926/16</u> PGT-I	Kuldeep & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of PGT	03-11-2016	<p><i>Learned counsel for the petitioners rightly points out that identical issue arose for consideration before this Court in CWP No.22554 of 2016 titled as Lakhbir Singh and others vs State of Haryana and another, decided on 27.10.2016.</i></p> <p><i>The instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</i></p> <p><i>CWP No.22554 of 2016 ORDER</i></p> <p><i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provisions or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and consider their grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1.</i></p> <p><i>Ordered accordingly.</i></p>	14-12-2016	13-03-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
113	<u>CWP 19928/11</u> HR(AS)	Mohammad Farooque v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all consequential benefits	03-03-2015	<p><i>Keeping in mind the entire factual matrix, in my opinion the appropriate punishment for the petitioner would be compulsory retirement.</i></p> <p><i>In the circumstances, it is directed that the petitioner would stand compulsorily retired w.e.f. 10.11.2006. The respondents are directed to compute and pay all his remaining benefits within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner will be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% p.a. from the date of entitlement viz. 10.11.2006 till the date/s of payment/s.</i></p> <p><i>Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.</i></p> <p><i>Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i></p>	13-04-2015	12-07-2015	Directorate	<p><b>SLP filed by the department and also by the petitioner's Mohamad Farooque SLP CC No.8132/16 Mohamad Farooque Vs. State of Haryana. Vakalatnama &amp; Affidavit submitted in the Haryana Legal Cell on 06.06.16 by hand.SLP fixed for hearing on 03.05.17</b></p>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
114	<u>CWP 19974/16</u> HR(PS)	Parents Association of Manav Rachna School & Anr. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Fee Hike	23-11-2016	<p><i>Accordingly, the present writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.1 to decide all the connected set of appeals which have been filed against the orders of the Divisional Commissioner, Faridabad. The same be done within a period of two months from the receipt of the certified copy of this Court. It is, however, made clear that the interim protection granted shall, however, remain to be in force till the pendency of the appeals.</i></p> <p><i>In CWP No.23545 of 2016, it is further clarified that the petitioners admittedly have been expelled from the school on account of non-payment on 03.11.2016. Accordingly, it is open to them to deposit the fee, subject to the final adjudication by the competent authority. On the deposit of the fee, the respondent-school shall re-admit them, subject to the final decision. The school, however, shall not charge any penalty or additional fee for re-admission.</i></p> <p><i>With the abovesaid observations, the present writ petitions are disposed of.</i></p>	22-12-2016	21-02-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
115	<u>CWP 20085/03</u> PEN-II	Labh Singh Kadian v/s State	Reg.pension before the date of 11.5.98	07-11-2013	<p><i>The petition is allowed and it is held that the petitioners are entitled to pension Scheme of 2001 i.e.Haryana Aided Schools (Special Pension and Contributory Provident Fund) Rules, 2001 in view of their preexisting right for the benefits arising out of the said Rules on the basis of judgment of the Apex Court dated July 28, 1988 and this Court dated December 9,1999 which has resulted in the formulation of the Pension Rules,2001.The petitioners will be permitted to exercise option as per the Rules to be governed by the above said rules within the period of one month.</i></p> <p><i>They would also be required to deposit the full amount of employers share alongwith interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the actual amount drawn by them from the date of drawal of the said amount, with the Director within a period of two months after the same is calculated by the respondent State and respective management of the petitioners and communicated to the petitioners.It is further made clear that in case the petitioners make a request for adjustment of the amount out of the pension amount released to them, the same may be permitted as per relevant rules as provided under Rule 16(3)of the 2001 Rules.It is observed that as the petitioners are senior citizens, unnecessary harassment should be avoided to them and that they should be granted the relief within a period three months. It is observed that this judgment is not meant to be treated as a precedent and should be considered as a special relief to the petitioners as they have been denied fruits of prolonged contested litigation.</i></p>	20-12-2013	19-03-2014	Directorate	LPA has been filed and stay granted on 27.10.14. At present no action called for. For withdrawal of LPA No.391 of 2014 the case is under consideration



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
116	<u>LPA 2013/16in CWP No.16350/16 PGT-II</u>	Dolly Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Quashing the impugned order of transfer dt. 06.08.16 (P-6) qua the petitioner at Sr.No.340 for the reasons mentioned in the writ petition.	06-10-2016	<i>We thus dispose of this appeal with a direction to the respondent-authorities to re-verify the facts and take an appropriate decision regarding posting of the appellant in a School where her services can be availed as PT/Lecturer-in-Political Science. It shall be appreciated if appropriate orders are passed keeping in view the ensuing vacancy arising in district Kurukshetra. Ordered accordingly.</i>	25-10-2016		Directorate	
117	<u>CWP 20420/16 NPE(CEC)</u>	Vikas Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Computer teacher on contract basis	03-02-2017	<i>In view of the very fair statement made above by the learned State counsel that the State Government has agreed to re-engage all the petitioners against their respective posts, no further orders are called for and the above noted petitions are disposed of accordingly with direction that appointment/posting orders be issued to all the petitioners on or before 28.02.2017.</i>	28-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	
118	<u>CWP 20649/16 Coordination</u>	Krishna Nehra v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	03-10-2016	<i>The petitioner has a right to press her representation. The competent authority would still consider the representation and pass an order on it within seven days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The competent authority would be free to hear both the petitioner as well as fourth respondent to sort out their respective grievances in a fair and just manner. With every transfer petition coming for hearing before this Court, an impression goes that all is not right in the Education Department. The said type of grievances is the duty of the Administrator to attend, if he wants to run a successful department which brings happiness to one and all. With the above said observations, the writ petition is disposed of.</i>	25-10-2016	02-11-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
119	<u>CWP 21035/16</u> PGT-II	Anil Yadav v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	06-10-2016	<i>Whenever the orders are passed, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach this Court again. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is free to take up his grievance with the authority and request for a decision on the representation already made, claiming that on promotion, he should not be posted elsewhere. Needless to say that the competent authority would look into the grievance of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within a week and convey the same to the petitioner.</i>	25-10-2016	02-11-2016	Directorate	
120	<u>CWP 21037/16</u> PGT-II	Jai Kumar (Pol.Science) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Service book/Transfer	06-10-2016	<i>Accordingly, a direction is issued to the respondents to complete the service book of the petitioner and make it upto-date and to transmit it to the place where it is required in such a way as to not cause any disturbance in the petitioner's career. The service book be completed forthwith. With these directions, the petition stands disposed of.</i>	20-12-2016		Directorate	
121	<u>CWP 21217/16</u> PGT-I	Ravinder Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.consider their claim for selection on the post of PGT (Post Graduate Teacher) by giving 5% relaxation in qualifying marks as they belong to Scheduled Caste and Physically Handicapped categories, in terms of the advertisement issued by the respondents on 28.6.2015.	07-10-2016	<i>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation of petitioner No. 6, in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	14-10-2016	13-11-2016	Directorate	<b>File is being submitted for orders of orders of W.ACSSE</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
122	<u>CWP 21255/14</u> HR(AS)	Smt.Sunita Devi v/s State & Ors	Reg.Join on duty	20-01-2017	<i>Pursuant to the order dated 04.11.2016 learned State counsel submitted that if the petitioner approaches the competent authority by filing necessary affidavit furnishing the service particulars and details, the petitioner would be accommodated in any one of the vacancy. Thus, the petitioner is permitted to approach the competent authority by furnishing service particulars and representation. If such representation is given by the petitioner, the competent authority is directed to consider the same. The above exercise shall be completed within a period of 6 weeks'. The petitioner is permitted to submit representation within a period of one week from today. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.</i>	30-01-2017	02-03-2017	Directorate	
123	<u>CWP 21362/16</u> PGT-II	Manisha Bhutani (Lect.in Physics) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	17-10-2016	<i>This petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner without delay and the petitioner thereafter be informed accordingly.</i>	22-12-2016		Directorate	
124	<u>CWP 21526/15</u> PEN-I	Sudesh Kumari v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Exgratia Appointment/Financial Assistance	09-05-2016	<i>Moreover, the petitioner's husband was working as Lecturer in English and post of Clerk being one step lower,, could not have been offered. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner urged that since the petitioner's husband expired before the husband of Kamla Devi, the respondents should have considered for the post of Clerk at par with Kamla Devi. For the reason mentioned above, the order dated 12.02.2014 is set aside and the writ petition stands allowed with Rs. 25,000/-cost with a direction to the respondents to appoint the petitioner to the post of Clerk.</i>	27-07-2016		Directorate	23/10-12 Pen-I(2) File was submitted to the W.CS for relaxation & as per the letter dated 15.12.16, the W.CS stated that the matter may be kept pending untill the decision of other exgratia pending case in the Hon'ble Court.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
125	<u>CWP 21550/16</u> Coordination	Hemant Kumar (Lect.in chemistry) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Violation of Transfer Policy	19-10-2016	<i>Without making any observations on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondents No.2 to consider and decide the representations of the petitioner dated 27.9.2016 and 3.10.2016 (Annexures P-4 and P-5 respectively), in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	10-11-2016	09-01-2017	Directorate	
126	<u>CWP 21734/12</u> PGT-I	Sandeep v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lect.Physical Education	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
127	<u>CWP 21830/16</u> Coordination	Kuldeep Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer Policy	21-10-2016	<i>Accordingly, this petition is allowed with a direction that the petitioner would have the protection of the judgment in Pardeep Singh's case and will not be transferred unless he is facing disciplinary action etc. A direction issued in Pardeep Singh' case will cover the present one.</i>	03-03-2017		Directorate	
128	<u>CWP 21956/13</u> HR(G-I)	Pawan Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion to the post of Principal w.e.f.18.08.06 instead of 01.01.10	04-02-2016	<i>Since penalty of punishment imposed upon the petitioner was not major (it was a minor), order dated 02.07.2012 (AnnexureP/7) is set aside and the petitioner is directed to be promoted notionally w.e.f. 18.08.2006 and a direction is also being given to the respondents to fix his notional pay w.e.f. 18.08.2006 for all intents and purposes within a period of three months. Petition is allowed, accordingly.</i>	18-04-2016	03-05-2016	Directorate	<b>LPA filed and same is fixed for 12.09.17</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
129	<u>CWP 22095/14</u> PGT-I	Amit Bindra & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Deemed university matter for appointment of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
130	<u>CWP 22168/14</u> PGT-I	Tarun Kaushik v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
131	<u>CWP 22264/16</u> PGT-II	Chottu Ram (Political Science) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	26-10-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 28.9.2016 (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	20-12-2016	19-01-2017	Directorate	
132	<u>CWP 22470/14</u> PGT-I	Ramesh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
133	<u>CWP 22554/16</u> PGT-I	Lakhbir Shing & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned Note-III of Appendix-B (Annexure P-I) dated 11.04.12 and in consequence the substituted provision of corrigendum dt. 01.09.16 (Annexure P-8) being ultra vires to Article 14 of the constitution of India and being unjustified, arbitrary and having no nexus with the ultimate object to be achieved amounting to bad effect of birth marks throughout the life of a candidate	27-10-2016	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provisions or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and consider their grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1. Ordered accordingly.</i>	28-11-2016	27-03-2017	Directorate	File is being submitted
134	<u>CWP 22653/14</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/Post Graduate from deemed universities	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
135	<u>CWP 22737/16</u> PGT-I	Zakir Hussain v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT History	04-11-2016	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or it is against the public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions thus is an exercise which essentially falls within the purview of the Rule Making Authority. It is not necessary for this Court to express any views on merits, rather it would be appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievances in accordance with the law. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1. Ordered accordingly.</i>	20-02-2017	19-06-2017	Directorate	
136	<u>CWP 22879/16</u> PGT-II	Narender Singh (Lect in Geography) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	07-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondents No.3 to 5 to consider and decide the legal notice dated (Annexure P5) within a period of two months in accordance with law. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	15-12-2016	06-01-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
137	<u>CWP 23095/13</u> PEN-I	Sukha Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pensionary Benefits	30-03-2017	<i>Let the petitioner give her option to the respondents within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The respondents are directed to release the benefit as per her option within a further period of three months. If the due benefit is not granted to the petitioner within the stipulated period, she would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 12% pa from the date/s the amount/s fell due. The petition stands disposed of. Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.</i>	10-04-2017	29-07-2017	Directorate	Option of petitioner till not received
138	<u>CWP 23282/16</u> PGT-II	Kavinder (PGT Physics) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	09-11-2016	<i>Without making any observations on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the petition with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	21-11-2016	20-12-2016	Directorate	
139	<u>CWP 23296/16</u> PGT-II	Indu Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	10-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation of the petitioner dated 23.9.2016 (Annexure P-9), in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	16-01-2017	15-04-2017	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
140	<u>CWP 24205/16</u> PGT-II	Shiv Parkash v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Sanskrit	23-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide legal notice of the petitioner dated 25.7.2016 (Annexure P-16), in accordance with law, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	25-01-2017	24-05-2017	Directorate	
141	<u>CWP 24220/14</u> HR(PS)	S.A.Jain Sr.Sec.School v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant of NOC being a minority institution under the provisions of National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions Act, 2004	01-02-2016	<i>Learned counsel for the State fairly submitted that the competent authority will re-examine the case of the petitioner without being prejudiced with what has been stated in the impugned order dated 17.10.2014. Let the petitioner appear before respondent No. 2 on 23.2.2016 at 11.00 AM. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the submissions, noticed above, especially the fair stand taken by learned counsel for the State, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to re-consider the application of the petitioner for being declared as a minority institution without being prejudiced by the order dated 17.10.2014, earlier passed against him.</i>	18-02-2016		Directorate	File Put up to W.ACSSE on 10.06.16 for passing orders
142	<u>CWP 24319/13</u> PEN-II	Ram Charan Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Arrear of Revised Pension	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. 14.05.2015</i>	09-07-2015	08-11-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.11117/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
143	<u>CWP 24479/11</u> PEN-II	Subhash Chander, Retd.SSM from Hindu Sr.Sec.School, Kaithal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Pensionary Benefits	14-05-2015	<i>Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, the present petition is allowed with a direction to the competent authority, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in terms of judgment in LPA No.1169 of 2012 titled as 'State of Haryana and another Vs. Harbans Lal and others', decided on 08.10.2012, by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	13-07-2015	12-11-2015	Directorate	Recalling order by filing CM No.11117/15 and same is fixed for hearing on 20.11.15 and Hon'ble Court granted stay till the decision of SLP No.38930/15
144	<u>CWP 24571/16</u> PGT-II	Harcharan Singh (Lect.in Political Science) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	30-11-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 18.08.2016 (Annexure P-5), in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	15-12-2016	14-01-2017	Directorate	
145	<u>CWP 24702/16</u> PGT-II	Naresh Kumar (Psychology Lecturer) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the study leave alongwith other benefits to the petitioner during the completion of his B.Ed in the current session 2016-18	01-12-2016	<i>Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to respondent No. 3 to consider and decide the application of the petitioner for sanction of study leave with pay, in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	20-12-2016	19-01-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
146	<u>CWP 24757/13</u> PGT-I	Bal Rishi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lecturer English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
147	<u>CWP 24837/16</u> PGT-II	Sunita Rani (Lect.in Hindi) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	14-02-2017	<i>In view of the aforesaid discussion, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with direction to the respondents to reconsider the posting order of the petitioner and try to accommodate her at a station which is not as inconvenient as Morni Hills, District Panchkula. Let necessary exercise be conducted within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i>	17-03-2017	16-04-2017	Directorate	
148	<u>CWP 24859/13</u> PGT-I	Shiv Kumar & Anr v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
149	<u>CWP 25875/15</u> PGT-I	Anil Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.ACP	30-01-2017	<i>In these circumstances, the respondents are directed to release the interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits, as per government instructions within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.</i>	24-03-2017	23-05-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
150	<u>CWP 25877/15</u> HR(G-II)	Beer Bhan Jindal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.3rd ACP	30-01-2017	<i>In these circumstances, the respondents are directed to release the interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits, as per government instructions within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.</i>	21-03-2017	20-05-2017	Directorate	ACP has been granted but the petitioner is claiming the interest on delayed payment. Therefore, the matter sent to Audit Cell for calculating the interest.
151	<u>CWP 25994/16</u> HR(G-I)	Abdul Rehman v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Punishment to Abdul Rehman in the appointment of guest teachers	15-12-2016	<i>In these facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the appeal of the petitioner dated 11.12.2015 (Annexure P-2), in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of, accordingly.</i>	12-01-2017	11-03-2017	Directorate	File sent to W.ACSSE for compliance on 27.01.17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
152	<u>CWP 2625/14</u> PEN-II	Dharam Lal Rawat v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Retiral Benefits	20-11-2015	<p><i>For judgment, see Civil Writ Petition No.27816 of 2013 titled "Balbir Singh Soni vs. State of Haryana and others" decided by order of even date i.e. 20.11.2015.</i></p> <p><i>CWP NO. 27816/13 ORDERS</i></p> <p><i>Accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The impugned orders are quashed. The petitioners are held entitled to counting of their entire service with effect from the date of entry into service till their superannuation as qualifying service for pension. However, if not already done, they shall deposit the entire amount as was payable towards Contributory Provident Fund with effect from their entry into service till the date, they started contributing in the same, along with interest. Thereafter, the respondents shall fix their pension by counting their entire service as qualifying service.</i></p>	18-02-2016		Directorate	LPA No.908/16 filed by the school management. The Hon'ble Court order dt. 11.07.16 wherein implementation of judgement dt.20.11.15 shall remain stay. Next date of hearing is 02.08.17
153	<u>CWP 26254/16</u> PGT-II	Suminder Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	19-12-2016	<p><i>Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annex P-5) promptly.</i></p>	28-12-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
154	<u>CWP 26386/14</u> HR(ME)	Jagdish Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant the arrear of Promotion as Dy.Sudt.	21-03-2017	<p><i>Perusal of the records it is evident that no reasons have been assigned as to why the petitioner's name was over looked for promotion to the post of Assistant and Deputy Superintendent as and when it was due.</i></p> <p><i>Therefore, the condition imposed in the order dated 04.10.2013 (Annexure P-1) to the extent that the petitioner is entitled to notional pay fixation with effect from 14.09.2011 is hereby set aside and the concerned respondent is directed to pay arrears during the intervening period from 14.09.2011 to 04.10.2013 in the cadre of Deputy Superintendent and extend petitioner's difference of pay along with the interest at the rate 9% per annum and petitioner is entitled to cost of `10,000/-. Cost shall be paid to the petitioner within three months.</i></p>	12-04-2017	20-06-2017	Directorate	13/52-15 ME(4) Matter is sent to L.R & A.G for opinion
155	<u>CWP 26654/15</u> HR(PS)	S.K.Education Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Recognition	26-08-2016	<p><i>Without commenting upon the arguments as such raised by the counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact the counsel was not present before the Appellate Authority, and in view of the averments made in Para No.4 of the writ petition justifying the absence, the present writ petition is allowed. The Appellate Order dated 11.08.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by the respondent No.1 is, accordingly, set aside. The said respondent shall re-hear the appeal of the petitioner.</i></p> <p><i>Necessary exercise be done within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order.</i></p>	06-09-2016	05-12-2016	Directorate	Personal hearing has been fixed for 6.12.16 by W.ACSSE

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
156	<u>CWP 26655/15</u> Coordination	Parmod Kumari (Lecturer Chemistry) v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Grant State Teacher Award 2013	07-04-2016	<i>At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by giving direction to the Director, School Education Haryana-respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 03.09.2015 (Annexure P-7) and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of four months.</i>	14-06-2016	06-10-2016	Directorate	
157	<u>CWP 26775/16</u> PGT-I	Sanjay Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Grant Higher Pay with all consequential benefits	22-12-2016	<i>Without making any observation on merits and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the present petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the same with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and examine the claim of the petitioners in the light of a legal notice dated 17.08.2016 (Annexure P-8) i.e. Stated to have already been served. A final decision be taken thereupon by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.</i>	29-12-2016	28-04-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
158	<u>CWP 26820/16</u> HR(PS)	DPS Sector 19 Faridabad Parents Association v/s State & Ors.	Reg.DirectingRespo ndentNo 1 ie the Government of Haryana Department of School Education and RespondentNo 3 ie Director School Education Government of Haryanato conduct a comprehensiveaudi t of the School funds of RespondentNo 6 ie Delhi Public School Sector 19Faridabad Haryana	08-02-2017	<i>Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 and 3 to comply with the order dated 24.7.2015, for the purpose of conducting the audit of the school funds of respondent No.6, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the order is not complied with, the petitioner may, if so advised, avail the remedy of filing application for revival of this petition or for initiating contempt proceedings.</i>	27-03-2017	26-06-2017	Directorate	
159	<u>CWP 27196/16</u> Coordination	Sushma Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	23-12-2016	<i>This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner and consider it sympathetically in the 2nd transfer drive of Post Graduate Teachers in the Education Department, Haryana. Let the final decision be taken within a week or 10 days at most and conveyed to the petitioner.</i>	25-01-2017	04-02-2017	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
160	<u>CWP 27338/13</u> PGT-II	Dev Parkash v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant benefit of Adhoc Service rendered in Govt.Aided Privately managed schools for the purpose of pensionary benefits	01-08-2016	<i>This was subject to the condition laid down in the said instructions. Of course, if the conditions are laid down, the petitioner has to follow these conditions. However, the past service, rendered in the Government Aided School against the sanctioned post, is to be counted for the grant of pension and gratuity. The petitioner worked on the sanctioned post of Hindi teacher to the Government Aided School from 6.8.1984 to 19.11.1993. The said period is to be counted as qualifying service for the purpose of grant of pension and gratuity. The present writ petition is allowed on the abovenoted terms. The respondents are directed to fix the revised pension after counting his said service and pay the same within three months from the date of passing of this order with interest at the rate of 9% per annum, starting three months from the date of retirement till payment.</i>	30-08-2016	30-10-2016	Directorate	
161	<u>CWP 2744/16</u> HR(ME)	Shakuntla Devi v/s State & ors.	Reg.Regularization of Service	15-02-2017	<i>Consequently the petition is allowed and respondents are directed to regularize services of the petitioner in the same terms as 157 similarly situated employees. After the superannuation of Smt. Shakuntla Devi only monetary benefits can be granted to her. Let same be worked out and handed over to legal representatives within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order failing which the petitioner would be entitled to claim interest @ 12 % per annum on the amount/s from the date/s it fell due.</i>	08-03-2017	07-06-2017	Directorate	File sent to W.DSE for approval of regularization

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
162	<u>CWP 27816/13</u> PEN-II	Balbir Singh Soni v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Retiral Benefits	20-11-2015	<i>Accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. The impugned orders are quashed. The petitioners are held entitled to counting of their entire service with effect from the date of entry into service till their superannuation as qualifying service for pension. However, if not already done, they shall deposit the entire amount as was payable towards Contributory Provident Fund with effect from their entry into service till the date, they started contributing in the same, along with interest. Thereafter, the respondents shall fix their pension by counting their entire service as qualifying service.</i>	18-02-2016		Directorate	LPA No.908/16 filed by the school management. The Hon'ble Court order dt. 11.07.16 wherein implementation of judgement dt.20.11.15 shall remain stay. Next date of hearing is 02.08.17
163	<u>CWP 2925/11</u> HR(ME)	Sadhu Ram & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Refixation of pay	09-02-2017	<i>Both the petitions are accordingly allowed. Respondents are directed to revise the pension of the petitioners and release the revised pensionary benefits within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. A copy of the order be placed on the connected file.</i>	11-04-2017	10-07-2017	Directorate	
164	<u>CWP 2941/16</u> PGT-II	Pawan Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Challenge the transfer orders	15-02-2016	<i>For orders see judgment of even date passed in CWP No.1098 of 2016 Yashpal Singh &amp; others Vs. State of Haryana &amp; Ors.</i>  <i>CWP NO.1098/16 ORDERS</i>  <i>A perusal of the afore-referred preliminary fact finding inquiry by the DEO, Rohtak shows recommendation of disciplinary proceedings against the Principal and the TGTs mentioned therein. It is directed that the final decision with regard to the same be taken by the competent Authority expeditiously but not later than one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.</i>	04-05-2016	03-06-2016	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
165	<u>LPA 298/15 in CWP No.14078/12 HR(AS)</u>	Poonam Saini v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider the claim of the petitioner for selection & appointment from the date the petitioner has been denied the appointment for the post of S.S	30-08-2016	<i>However, if the validity of selection list has expired or the earlier selection suffered with an incurable legal infirmity, in that case, we dispose of these appeals with a direction to the Management of the School to readvertise the post; give an opportunity to the appellant in response thereto and consider her alongwith other eligible candidates. However, the selection process in that event be concluded within a period of four months. [8] Disposed of accordingly</i>	30-08-2016		Directorate	Written to School Management for compliance of court order dt. 30.08.16 passed in LPA on 03.11.16. Compliance made by the school Management. Challenge of interviewed by the petitioner in CWP No.26534/16
166	<u>LPA 302/15 in CWP No.8794/12 HR(AS)</u>	Poonam Saini v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment as S.S Mistress in Hindu High School	30-08-2016	<i>For orders see judgment of even date passed in LPA NO.298-2015(Ponam Saini Vs. State of Haryana and others)</i>	30-08-2016		Directorate	Written to School Management for compliance of court order dt. 30.08.16 passed in LPA on 03.11.16. Compliance made by the school Management. Challenge of interviewed by the petitioner in CWP No.26534/16
167	<u>CWP 3194/10 PGT-II</u>	Vinod Kumar v/s State & Ors.	To grant Adhoc increment in term of the judgment CWP No.7862/06 Hanumant Singh for the purpose of retiral benefits	10-08-2015	<i>We are informed that the relief claimed in this writ petition is similar to that of CWP No.5117 of 2003 titled as Rajinder Kumar &amp; others Vs. State of Haryana &amp; others, which has been disposed of by a separate order of even date. Accordingly, this writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms.</i>	22-09-2015		Directorate	Documents handover to Legal Cell Haryanaq New Delhi for filing SLP

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
168	<u>CWP 3380/16</u> PGT-I	VINOD KUMAR (LECTURER IN PHYSICS) v/s STATE & ORS.	REG.QUASHING THE CHARGESHEET AND GRANT 2ND ACP WHICH FELL DUE TO THE PETITIONER W.E.F. 01.10.12 AND MAKE THE PAYMENT OF SALARY FROM 09.06.07 TO 31.08.07 AND REGULARIZE THE SAI DURATION AND PROMOTE TO THE NEXT POST OF PRINCIPAL WHICH IS DUE W.E.F. 07.11.14	17-04-2017	<i>The petitioner has not made out a case insofar as challenge to charge-memo dated 20.7.2012 (Annexure P-3). Even though, there is a delay in initiation of enquiry, the allegations are relating to financial irregularities. Supreme Court held that if financial irregularities are part and parcel of charges in that event on the ground of delay court cannot interfere with charge memo. Moreover, petitioner has not urged ground of competency, charges are vague or malafide. Thus, no interference is called for. The respondents are hereby directed to complete the disciplinary proceedings within a period of four months from today. With the above observation, the petition stands disposed of.</i>	09-05-2017	16-08-2017	Directorate	
169	<u>CWP 3541/99</u> Coordination	Gurmail Chand & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	09-02-2017	<i>For detail order see order of even date in CWP-3542-1999(O&amp;M) titled as "Ranjodh Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others."</i>	25-04-2017		Directorate	
170	<u>CWP 3542/99</u> Coordination	Ranjodh Singh & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant all benefits of such merger including seniority, avenue of promotion, parity in pay scales etc.	09-02-2017	<i>Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner states that the facts of the present case are covered by the judgment of this Court passed in CWP-10269-2010 titled as "Makhan Singh vs. State of Haryana and another" decided on 23.09.2015 and pray that the present petitions be disposed of in the same term.</i>	25-04-2017		Directorate	
171	<u>CWP 3708/17</u> PGT-I	Manjeet Kaur v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT History	27-02-2017	<i>In view of these facts, Director Secondary Education Haryana is directed to take appropriate decision on the petitioner's reply to show cause notice dated 28.9.2015 within a period of four weeks from today. Petition stands disposed of.</i>	08-03-2017	27-03-2017	Directorate	File is being submitted

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
172	<u>CWP 3981/17</u> PGT-I	Sangeeta Kumari (Lect.in.Biology) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Maternity Leave on birth third child	01-03-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair submission. Keeping in view the plight as portrayed, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice of the petitioner by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Ordered accordingly. In case the petitioner is found entitled to the relief claimed the same may be granted to her within the aforesaid period. In case any due relief is not granted to the petitioner, the petitioner would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 12% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date/s of payment/s. Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	16-03-2017	15-06-2017	Directorate	Case is submitted for decision
173	<u>CWP 4107/16</u> HR(AS)	Shri Ganga Ram Sanatan Dharma High School, Sargodha, Abmala v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Management of the School run by the petitioner has been ordered to be taken over by way of appointment of Administrator for a period of one year	31-03-2016	<i>After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the facts and circumstances, this petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file appeal under Section 10(4) of the Act. It is further clarified that if 1 of 2 any such appeal is filed by the petitioner within one week from today, the same shall be decided by the Appellate Authority within two weeks thereafter by passing a speaking order.</i>	25-04-2016	21-04-2016	Directorate	Personal hearing on 09.06.16 before W.ACSSE. File pending at level of W.ACSSE for Next date of hearing
174	<u>CWP 4589/14</u> PGT-I	Pardeep Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
175	<u>CWP 4848/14</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.HTET/STET for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
176	<u>CWP 50/14</u> AaroHi Cell	Raman Kumari & Ors. PGT English in AaroHi School v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Extension in Service	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i> <i>CWP NO.7626 OF 2015 ORDERS</i> <i>In view of what has been observed above, Annexures P-9 and P-10 are hereby quashed. Writ petitions are accordingly allowed. The respondents are directed to issue appointment letters to the petitioners by putting a condition in the same manner as has been put while issuing appointment letters to the candidates who have challenged the exemption clause vis-à-vis sitting in HTET/STET examination forthwith. The respondents are also directed to issue re-appointment letters to the petitioners, whose seravices have been dispensed with by putting the same condition.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	LPA filed by the department, the Hon'ble High Court granted stay

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
177	<u>CWP 5374/14</u> HR(ME)	Karambir S/o Sh.Balwan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Illegal termination/demis sal from the service by an incompetent authority and for reinstatement in the service again.	23-03-2017	<i>Reading of the order of dismissal it is evident that it attaches stigma against the petitioner. Moreover, reasons assigned for dismissal is "indiscipline in the school and for misbehaving with the staff and for causing dis-arrangement in the Government High School". These are conclusive and not supported by any reasons. Moreover, when an employee irrespective whether ad hoc or permanent is to be dismissed or removed from service on the ground of misconduct, minimum requirement of notice is also not followed in the present case. Therefore, Annexure P-3 is set aside. The respondents are directed to comply principle of natural justice before proceeding further in the matter. The petitioner is entitled for reinstatement and consequential benefits from 14.8.2012 till date of reinstatement. The above exercise shall be completed by the concerned respondent within a period of four months from today. Petition stands allowed.</i>	25-05-2017	22-07-2017	Directorate	
178	<u>CWP 5509/08</u> HR(ME)	Birbhan Parkash v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Medical Reimbursement	04-10-2016	<i>Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed and impugned letter dated 11.10.2005 (Annexure P5) and order dated 9.10.2007 (Annexure P6) are hereby quashed and a writ of mandamus is issued, directing the respondents to sanction and re-imburse the outdoor treatment bills amounting to Rs.2,29,110/- to the petitioner within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order</i>	20-12-2016	19-02-2017	Directorate	File pending with Audit Cell

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
179	<u>CWP 5568/14</u> PGT-I	Naresh Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi/English	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana
180	<u>CWP 5781/15</u> PGT-I	Subhash Chand v/s State & Ors.	REg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>In view of what has been observed above, the writ petitions are allowed and the directions are issued to the respondents to call the petitioners for counselling and issue the appointment letters to them for the post of PGT in respect of categories for which they have been appeared orinterviewed. The petitioners are also entitled for consequential benefit of seniority.</i>	07-07-2015		Directorate	The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15
181	<u>COCP 586/17</u> <u>in CWP</u> <u>No.6323/13</u> PGT-I	Seema Rani v/s Sh.P.K.Dass, IAS	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Mathematics	01-05-2017	<i>In view of the statement made by counsel for the respondent, the contempt petition is disposed of with a direction to appoint the petitioner within a period of one month. In case, petitioner is not appointed within the stipulated period, the petitioner is at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.</i>	01-05-2017	31-05-2017	Directorate	



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
182	<u>CWP 5871/14</u> HR(PS)	S.A.Jain Sr.Sec.School & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Minority	08-10-2015	<i>Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in this regard an application dated 25.07.2013, copy of which has been annexed with the writ petition as Annexure P-3, was submitted by petitioner No.1-School for declaring the petitioner institution as a minority institution. The said application is still pending before the authorities. Learned counsel for the respondents confirms the factum of pendency of the said application and submits that the same will be considered and decided in accordance with law, after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, within a period of three months from today. in view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, this petition is disposed of.</i>	05-01-2016	07-01-2016	Directorate	<b>Speaking order vetted by the Legal Cell on 02.05.16. Fair draft of speaking order put up for signature on 02.05.16. File resubmitted on 03.06.16 to W.ACSSE. Again put up on 4.11.16 to W.ACSSE</b>
183	<u>CWP 5884/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Sunil Kumar & Ors. (Lab Assistants) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Allow to continue till regular appointments are made and further declare the action of the respondents arbitrary and unreasonable to replace the contract employees by another set of fresh contract employees	03-02-2017	<i>In view of the very fair statement made above by the learned State counsel that the State Government has agreed to re-engage all the petitioners against their respective posts, no further orders are called for and the above noted petitions are disposed of accordingly with direction that appointment/posting orders be issued to all the petitioners on or before 28.02.2017.</i>	28-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	
184	<u>CWP 5927/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Pankaj Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Continue to working on the post of Computer Teacher till the function of the post subsists or regular appointments are being made	03-02-2017	<i>In view of the very fair statement made above by the learned State counsel that the State Government has agreed to re-engage all the petitioners against their respective posts, no further orders are called for and the above noted petitions are disposed of accordingly with direction that appointment/posting orders be issued to all the petitioners on or before 28.02.2017.</i>	28-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
185	<u>CWP 5959/16</u> Admn.	Parveen Sangwan (Asstt.Dir.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count previous service rendered in Haryana Land Reclamation & Development, Corporation Limited as qualifying service for grant of pension and pensionary benefits under Old Pension Scheme	17-01-2017	<i>Counsel for the petitioner also relied upon the judgment passed on 13.05.2015 in the matter of Ashok Kumar vs. State of Haryana in CWP No. 8034-2012 where in also the same has been held. Learned Addl. A.G is not in a position to cite any contrary judgment. In these circumstances, the petition is allowed. It is directed in case the petitioner now deposits the entire amount of Contribution Provident Fund alongwith interest with the State Exchequer within 02 months he would be entitled for pension on retirement.</i>	23-01-2017	22-03-2017	Directorate	As per A.G advice it is a fit case for filing LPA. Advice of L.R is still awaited.
186	<u>CWP 6020/15</u> PGT-I	Yatender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
187	<u>CWP 6024/15</u> PGT-I	Vinti Gulati v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
188	<u>CWP 604/94</u> HR(AS)	Mahadev & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Release Arrear of pay of account of revision of pay scales	01-10-2014	<i>Petitioners have been found entitled for the requisite amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by them. Accordingly, respondent no.1 is directed to release the requisite amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by the petitioners, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which petitioners shall be entitled for receiving the amount of grant-in-aid for the posts held by them, alongwith interest @ 12% p.a. from the date the amount became due till its payment. Resultantly, with the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands allowed, however, with no order as to costs.</i>	27-11-2014	26-02-2015	Directorate	Recovery Stayed. State filed LPA No.933/15 filed on 29.06.15 and same is fixed for hearing on 26.10.17
189	<u>CWP 6078/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Jitender Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Continue to working on the post of Computer Teacher till the function of the post subsists or regular appointments are being made	03-02-2017	<i>For orders, see the judgment of the even date passed in CWP No. 5884 of 2016 titled as Sunil Kumar &amp; others vs. Haryana School Sikhsha Pariyojna Parishad, Haryana &amp; others.</i>	28-02-2017		Directorate	
190	<u>CWP 6145/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Suman & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Continue to working on the post of Computer Teacher till the function of the post subsists or regular appointments are being made	28-02-2017	<i>In view of the very fair statement made above by the learned State counsel that the State Government has agreed to re-engage all the petitioners against their respective posts, no further orders are called for and the above noted petitions are disposed of accordingly with direction that appointment/posting orders be issued to all the petitioners on or before 28.02.2017.</i>	28-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
191	<u>CWP 6146/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Balram & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Continue to working on the post of Computer Teacher till the function of the post subsists or regular appointments are being made	03-02-2017	<i>IFor orders, see the judgment of the even date passed in CWP No. 5884 of 2016 titled as Sunil Kumar &amp; others vs. Haryana School Sikhsha Pariyojna Parishad, Haryana &amp; others.</i>	28-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	
192	<u>CWP 6169/15</u> PGT-I	Baby Goyal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
193	<u>CWP 6258/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Manish Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Continue to working on the post of Computer Teacher till the function of the post subsists or regular appointments are being made	28-02-2017	<i>In view of the very fair statement made above by the learned State counsel that the State Government has agreed to re-engage all the petitioners against their respective posts, no further orders are called for and the above noted petitions are disposed of accordingly with direction that appointment/posting orders be issued to all the petitioners on or before 28.02.2017.</i>	28-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	
194	<u>CWP 6277/15</u> PGT-I	Khazan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
195	<u>CWP 6289/15</u> PGT-I	Savita Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
196	<u>CWP 630/17</u> Coordination	Rakesh Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg. HTET	17-01-2017	<p><i>In our considered view, unless the Rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, essentially falls within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a</i></p> <p><i>direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if an appropriate decision is taken</i></p> <p><i>within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1.</i></p> <p><i>Ordered accordingly.</i></p> <p><i>Further the petitioner belongs to reserve category of Scheduled Caste. He claims that under the Rules there is a provision to give relaxation to the Scheduled Caste and backward class candidates. If such relaxation is permissible qua academic qualification also, the authorities are directed to consider the petitioner's claim for that relaxation as well.</i></p> <p><i>Needless to say that if the petitioner's claim is accepted, then he will also be entitled to call for the interview as per his merit.</i></p> <p><i>Dasti.</i></p> <p><i>(</i></p>	13-02-2017	27-02-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
197	<u>CWP 6381/15</u> PGT-I	Shakuntla Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
198	<u>CWP 6390/17</u> PGT-II	Sushil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Transfer	28-03-2017	<i>I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.1 to decide the said representation by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	11-04-2017	10-06-2017	Directorate	
199	<u>CWP 6501/14</u> PGT-I	Harvinder Kaushal v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned order dt. 18.01.14 (P-12) and notice dated nil (P-13) to the extent of denying counseling for issuing appointment letter to the candidates like the petitioners who have acquired their qualification of Post Graduate from IASE	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
200	<u>CWP 6520/12</u> PGT-I	Kamla Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Ist ACP	09-02-2017	<i>Now, coming to the second question regarding delayed payment. It comes out that the retiral benefits were releasd with delay. Therefore, the petitioner is entitled to interest on the delayed payment starting three months from the date of retirement till date of actual payment @ 9% per annum. Needful be done within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Petition is accordingly allowed.</i>	09-03-2017	08-06-2017	Directorate	
201	<u>CWP 6584/15</u> PGT-I	Balwan Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
202	<u>CWP 6608/15</u> PGT-I	Mamta Sharma v/s State & Ors.	REg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>
203	<u>CWP 6696/15</u> PGT-I	Shakuntla Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana</b>



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
204	<u>CWP 6779/15</u> PGT-I	Abhilasha Arora v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
205	<u>CWP 6781/15</u> PGT-I	Bela Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
206	<u>CWP 6784/15</u> PGT-I	Surender Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
207	<u>CWP 6901/15</u> PGT-I	Phool Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
208	<u>CWP 6904/15</u> PGT-I	Anil Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Sanskrit	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
209	<u>CWP 7057/15</u> PGT-I	Dhanpat Devi v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
210	<u>CWP 7145/15</u> PGT-I	Rajesh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
211	<u>CWP 7240/16</u> NPE(CEC)	Amit Beniwal & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Junior Computer Instructor on contractual basis	03-02-2017	<i>For orders, see the judgment of the even date passed in CWP No. 9809 of 2016 titled as Sandeep Kumar &amp; others vs. State of Haryana &amp; others.</i>	22-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
212	<u>CWP 7522/14</u> HR(PS)	Saraswati Deep Edu.Society v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Recognition	16-02-2016	<i>Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner will move application as prescribed in the Act. In case the application is filed, on fulfillment of the conditions laid down under the Act and after inspection, if required, the competent authority shall take final decision thereon within a period of six months from the date the application is filed,complete in all respects. The petition stands disposed of.</i>	04-04-2016	03-10-2016	Directorate	D.O letter written to DEO Faridabad on 09.11.16 to intimate the status of school
213	<u>CWP 7626/15</u> PGT-I	Gurmail Kaur & Ors v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>In view of what has been observed above, Annexures P-9 and P-10 are hereby quashed. Writ petitions are accordingly allowed. The respondents are directed to issue appointment letters to the petitioners by putting a condition in the same manner as has been put while issuing appointment letters to the candidates who have challenged the exemption clause vis-à-vis sitting in HTET/STET examination forthwith. The respondents are also directed to issue re-appointment letters to the petitioners, whose services have been dispensed with by putting the same condition.</i>	16-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15
214	<u>CWP 7773/15</u> PGT-I	Parmila Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	04-06-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.5781 of 2015 (SubhashChand Versus State of Haryana &amp; others), decided on 4.6.2015.</i>	13-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
215	<u>CWP 7872/15</u> PGT-I	Uma Rani v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi	29-06-2015	<i>The present writ petition is allowed in terms of order dated 04.06.2015 passed in CWP No.5781 of 2015 titled as Subhash Chand vs. State of Haryana and others.</i>	09-07-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1220 of 2015 against the order dt.04.06.15 passed in CWP No.5781/15 titled as Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana
216	<u>C.M 8098/15 in CWP No.23933/13</u> HR(PS)	Shriom v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Forced to petitioner to purchase the books and note books	10-03-2017	<i>In view of the above, let the petitioner now submit a representation to the Divisional Commissioner, Ambala within a period of two weeks from today. If such a representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by the Committee within a period of eight weeks from the date of submission of the representation. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. Application stands disposed of accordingly. Copy of the order be given dasti to the counsel for the parties under signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.</i>	31-03-2017	20-05-2017	Directorate	
217	<u>CWP 8297/14</u> PGT-I	Pawan Kumar, v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT	27-05-2015	<i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.7626 of 2015 (Gurmail Kaur &amp; others Versus State of Haryana &amp; another), decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	22-06-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
218	<u>CWP 8380/16</u> HR(G-I)	Chander Kanta & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg. Arrears of revised pay scale of Rs.7500-12000 has been denied to the Head Masters w.e.f. 01.01.96 and granted the above noted pay scale w.e.f. 01.01.96 notionally and actually from prospective effect i.e. 25.03.15	08-02-2017	<i>Therefore, it is held that the petitioners are also entitled for the similar benefit of payment of arrears for 38 months prior to the filing of the present writ petition. Needless to say that the same pay scale shall also be released to the petitioners w.e.f. 01.01.1996. The needful be done within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In view of the above the present petition stands allowed.</i>	22-03-2017	21-05-2017	Directorate	Write to concerned DEO for compliance
219	<u>CWP 8437/15</u> PGT-I	Bharat Bhushan & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of PGT Hindi, Eng & Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>The writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
220	<u>CWP 8463/01</u> HR(G-II)	Umed Singh Deshwal & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.grant of pay scale Rs.7500-12000 we.f 1.1.96	05-08-2015	<p><i>Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of judgment dated 01.12.2010 passed in CWP No.8082 of 2001 titled as R.K.Verma and others vs. State of Haryana and another.</i></p> <p><i>CWP No.8082 of 2001 ORDERS</i></p> <p><i>In view of the ration of law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court, prayer made by the petitioners in these two writ petitions is accepted; order Annexure P-3, to the extent it grants revised pay scale to Headmasters/Headmistresses with effect from 1.8.2000, is quashed and direction is issued to the respondents to grant revised pay scale of Rs.7500-12000 to the petitioners with effect from 1.1.1996, the date when the anomaly,arose. However, it is made clear that the respondents shall remove the anomaly, and fix the pay of the petitioners notionally with effect from 1.1.1996 on which date it arose. However, the arrears of pay are restricted to 38 months from filing of the writ petition. CWP No.8082 of 2001 was filed on 25.5.2001 whereas CWP No.13897 of 2003 was filed on 1.9.2003. The petitioners have retired by now, their terminal benefits shall be also disbursed within six months.</i></p> <p><i>The writ petition are disposed of in the above terms with no order as to costs.</i></p>	14-08-2015	04-02-2016	Directorate	Compliance report of 3 petitioner is awaited from DEO Jhajjar and 1 from DEO Rohtak. Therefore, the reminders have been issued to the concerned DEO's on 05.05.17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
221	<u>CWP 8689/16</u> PGT-I	Vikram Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Lecturer in History	18-10-2016	<i>Consequently, a direction is issued to the respondents to implement the ratio of judgments to the case of the petitioner forthwith and definitely before 28.10.2016, since the State has already been put to the notice vide the interim order to implement the judgements and the order has been passed in May and now we are in October 2016. The directions contained in the interim order are made absolute. This petition is disposed of accordingly. Order dasti.</i>	20-12-2016		Directorate	File submitted for orders of Hon'ble C.M
222	<u>CWP 8757/16</u> PGT-II	Rajesh Kumar (Lect.in Pol.Sci.) v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Quashing the impugned order of adjustment/posting order which he has been adjusted/posted showing zero student strength	06-05-2016	<i>With regard to his grievance, the petitioner made a representation dated 02.04.2016 (Annexure P-8) to the respondents, but no action has been taken thereon till date. At this stage, this petition is disposed of by giving a direction to the respondents to look into the representation dated 02.04.2016 (Annexure P-8) and decide the same in accordance with law. Till the decision is taken on by the respondents, operation of the impugned order dated 01.04.2016 (Annexure P-6) shall remain stayed.</i>	16-05-2016		Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
223	<u>CWP 8942/16</u> PGT-II	Umakand Walia v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Promotion for the post of PGT Political Science	01-06-2016	<i>Learned State counsel informed that vide letter dated 01.06.2016 it has been decided by the department that the candidates having the qualification of MA in Public Administration will be considered for promotion for the post of Lecturer in Political Science and if the name of the petitioners found in the zone of consideration, their case will not be ignored for promotion. The respondents will now consider the case of the petitioners for promotion with respect to the dates when their junior have been promoted, without consequential benefits. The petition is disposed of with direction as aforesaid.</i>	21-06-2016		Directorate	
224	<u>CWP 9035/14</u> PGT-I	Shikha Sharma v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment/S election for the post of PGT Mathematics	27-05-2015	<i>he writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>
225	<u>CWP 9253/14</u> PGT-I	Abheyender Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Select/Appoint for the post of PGT English	27-05-2015	<i>he writ petition is allowed in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 7626 of 2015 titled as Gurmail Kaur and others Vs. State of Haryana and another decided on 27.5.2015.</i>	14-08-2015		Directorate	<b>Deemed University Matter The Hon'ble High Court granted stay in LPA No.1215 of 2015 against the order dt.27.05.15 passed in CWP No.7626/15 titled as Gurmail Kaur Vs. State of Haryana</b>



Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
226	<u>CWP 9289/16</u> HR(PS)	Sagar Jangar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Correction/change of name of the petitioner has been declined by the respondent No.3 illegally and unlawfully on the excuse of amendment in the rules despite of the fact that the petitioner had moved application for correction of his name much prior to the amendment comes into existence.	06-03-2017	<i>Resultantly, the impugned order is set aside and the liberty is granted to respondents to either re-initiate the enquiry or issue a charge sheet under Rule 8 for minor penalty. The competent authority would also re-decide the issue regarding pay and allowances for the period of suspension on the basis of the finding of departmental enquiry. It is directed that departmental enquiry be concluded within a period of 6 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order subject to the petitioner co-operating the same. Petition stands disposed of. Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.</i>	06-04-2017	05-10-2017	Directorate	
227	<u>CWP 9347/16</u> HR(G-I)	Jagat Singh Yadav & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Benefits of selection grade of Rs. 7500-12000 w.e.f. 01.01.96 instead of 01.08.2000	20-02-2017	<i>In the circumstances, the writ petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to remove the anomaly and to grant the petitioner the grade w.e.f. 1.1.1996 instead of 01.08.2000. The actual benefits will, however, be granted to the petitioner for a period of 38 months since the filing of the first writ petition i.e. 17.09.2015. Let necessary exercise of granting him the arrears be made within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which the petitioner would be entitled to interest also @ 12% p.a. from the date the amount fell due</i>	21-03-2017	21-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
228	<u>CWP 946/17</u> Coordination	Satish Kumar v/s State & Ors.	Reg.HTET	19-01-2017	<i>In our considered view, unless the rule is found to be inconsistent with any Constitutional provision or a Statute or against public policy, it would not be expedient for a Writ Court to interfere with it and assume the role of Rule Making Authority. The amendment, substitution or streamlining of the existing provisions, is essentially an exercise within the purview of Rule Making Authority. It is thus not necessary to express any views on merits, rather we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to entertain this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and consider his grievance in accordance with the State policy. It shall be appreciated if the appropriate decision is taken within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be required to send a copy of the writ petition alongwith certified copy of this order to respondent No.1.</i> <i>Ordered</i>	14-03-2017	13-07-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
229	<u>LPA 975/15 in CWP No.2473/14 HR(AS)</u>	Arya High School Trust & Management Society v/s Sushila Devi	Reg.Payment of Arrear of pay along with interest	20-09-2016	As regard to respondent No.2, we have heard learned counsel for the parties at a considerable length. It is not in dispute that the orders passed by the District Education Officer, Haryana and Director, Secondary Education, Haryana in their appellate capacities, are separately under challenge at the instance of the management in CWP Nos.24873 & 25087 of 2015, which is still pending and since the legality of those orders is yet to be determined by this Court, we find no justification to accept the claim for consequential benefits at the instance of respondent No.2, at this stage. Till the above-cited writ petitions are decided on merits, such a claim being premature, respondent No.2 is held entitled to arrears of pay only w.e.f. the date of the order of learned Single Judge in these proceedings i.e. from 01.04.2015 onwards. These directions are without prejudice to the rights of the parties which are sub-judice in above-stated pending writ petitions. (10) With these observations and directions, the instant appeal stands disposed of.	29-11-2016		Directorate	
230	<u>CWP 9809/16 NPE(CEC)</u>	Sandeep Kumar & Ors. v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Appointment for the post of Computer Instructors purely on contractual basis initially for a period of one year	03-02-2017	In view of the above statement made by the learned State counsel that the State Government has agreed to re-engage all the petitioners, no further orders are called for and the above noted petitions are disposed of accordingly with a direction that appointment/posting orders be issued to all the petitioners herein on or before 28.02.2017.	22-02-2017	28-02-2017	Directorate	

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
231	<u>CWP 9887/13</u> Aarohi Cell	Dr.Rakesh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Consider for the post of Principal	11-03-2015	<p><i>Since this Court has already directed that one post under the SC Category be kept reserved, the respondents are directed to allow the petitioner to take the examination in respect of the advertised posts of Principal. The respondents are also directed to take further steps in view of what has been observed above and make arrangement for conducting the examination of the petitioner, so that he would be able to take the same.</i></p> <p><i>It is expected that the respondents authorities would conduct the examination as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.</i></p> <p><i>With the above observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.</i></p>	16-04-2015	15-06-2015	Directorate	