

Judgement cum Complianced Report as on Dated 21-Jul-17

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
1	<u>CWP 12957/97</u> HR(ME)	Umed Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Refixation of Pay/Pension	22-09-2016	<i>In view of these facts and circumstances, the respondents are directed to treat that petitioner has been regularly promoted to the post of Clerk from 03.09.1973 and attained age of superannuation on 30.06.2000 for the intervening period from 18.06.1975 to 1994 the petitioner is entitled to pay scale attached to the post of Clerk. The respondents are directed to pay arrears of pay for the period from 18.06.1975 to 1994 and further refix the pay of the petitioner in the post of Clerk so also refix the pension in the cadre of Clerk and settle the arrears of monetary benefits within a period of 6 months from today. Disposed of.</i>	14-10-2016	21-03-2017	DEO Rohtak	Matter pending with L.R & A.G for opinion as case fit for filing LPA or not
2	<u>CWP 626/15</u> HR(ME)	Zile Singh v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Count the past service from 27.03.96 to 24.05.13 towards qualifying service for pension and other retiral benefits	17-03-2015	<i>Keeping in view the above, the instant petition is allowed in terms of Kesar Chand's case (supra). The respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of Kesar Chand's case (supra) and release the pensionary benefits to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Disposed of.</i>	13-04-2015	12-07-2015	DEO Rohtak	SLP has been filed by DEO Rohtak. Matter has been stayed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. LPA has already been dismissed.

Sr.No.	CaseNo/Branch	Title	Subject	Judgement Date	Judgement	Received Date	Compliance Date	Compliance By	Remarks
3	<u>CWP 9000/12</u> HR(PS)	Jeevan Jyoti Sr.Sec.School v/s State & Ors.	Reg.Grant Permanent Recognition	27-09-2012	<p><i>For orders, see Civil Writ Petition No.11416 of 2011 (G.C.Model Senior Secondary School, Tauru, District Mewat and another Vs. State of Haryana and others). decided on September 27, 2012.</i></p> <p><i>ORDER 11416 of 2011</i></p> <p><i>The petitioner-Institutions can not be allowed to escape their illegal activities. The petitioner-Institutions are, therefore, burdened with the costs of 5,000/- per student admitted by such Institutions in those classes, for which such Institutions did not have the proper recognition/sanction or affiliation from the competent authority as per the statute. The half of the costs imposed as damages shall be got deposited in the accounts of Legal Services Authority, Haryana and the remaining half shall be deposited in the Mediation and Conciliation Cell functioning in this Court.</i></p> <p><i>The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of with the above directions. The copy of the order be sent to the Director, School Education, Haryana, for ensuring compliance of the order.</i></p> <p><i>The copy be also forwarded to the Advocate General, Haryana, to ensure that the orders are properly complied with and implemented</i></p> <p><i>to recover the costs/damages and these are deposited in the appropriate head as directed above.</i></p>	23-10-2012		DEO Rohtak	Matter is being re-examined with regard to be students admitted by the schools.